Weight not over (pounds)	Single-piece ¹	5-digit	BMC 1
65	20.07	19.58	19.89
	20.35	19.86	20.17
	20.63	20.14	20.45
	20.91	20.42	20.73
	21.19	20.70	21.01
	21.47	20.98	21.29

¹ For barcoded discount, deduct \$0.03 per piece (machinable parcels only). Barcoded discount is not available for parcels mailed at the 5-digit rate.

[Delete current 8.0 and renumber current 9.0 as 8.0.]

8.0 FEES

8.1 Mailing

[Add new 8.1e as follows:] Fee, as appropriate, per 12-month period:

e. Presorted Library Mail: \$100.00.

An appropriate amendment to 39 CFR 111.3 will be published to reflect these changes.

Neva R. Watson,

Attorney, Office of Legal Policy.
[FR Doc. 98–28802 Filed 10–27–98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 271

[FRL-6178-3]

Arizona: Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revisions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Immediate final rule.

SUMMARY: The State of Arizona has applied for final authorization of revisions to its hazardous waste program under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), as amended. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has completed its review of Arizona's application and has made a decision, subject to public review and comment, that Arizona's hazardous waste program revisions satisfy all of the requirements necessary to qualify for final authorization. Thus, EPA intends to approve Arizona's hazardous waste program revisions. Arizona's application for program revision is available for public review and comment.

DATES: Final authorization for Arizona is effective December 28, 1998 unless

EPA publishes a prior **Federal Register** action withdrawing this immediate final rule. All comments on Arizona's program revision application must be received by the close of business November 27, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Copies of Arizona's program revision application are available during the business hours of 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. at the following addresses for inspection and copying:

Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, 3033 N. Central Avenue, Phoenix, AZ 85012, Contact: Russell F. Rhoades, Director, Phone: 602/207– 4211 or 1–800–234–5677.

U.S. EPA Region IX Library-Information Center, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, Phone: 415/ 744–1510.

Written comments should be sent to: Jean Killpack, U.S. EPA Region IX (WST-3), 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, Phone: 415/744–2033.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jean Killpack, U.S. EPA Region IX (WST-3), 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105 Phone: 415–744–2033. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

States with final authorization under section 3006(b) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA" or "the Act"), 42 U.S.C. 6926(b), have a continuing obligation to maintain a hazardous waste program that is equivalent to, consistent with, and no less stringent than the Federal hazardous waste program. Revisions to State hazardous waste programs are necessary when Federal or State statutory or regulatory authority is modified or when certain other changes occur. Most commonly, State program revisions are necessitated by changes to EPA's regulations in 40 CFR parts 260– 266, 268, 124, 270 and 279.

B. Arizona

Arizona received final authorization for the base program on November 20, 1985. Arizona has since received final authorization for revisions to its hazardous waste program on August 6, 1991, July 13, 1992, and November 23, 1992, October 27, 1993, June 12, 1995, and May 6, 1997. These revisions include substantially all the Federal RCRA implementing regulations published in the **Federal Register** through July 1, 1995. On April 20, 1998, Arizona submitted an application for additional revision approvals. Today, Arizona is seeking approval of its program revisions in accordance with 40 CFR 271.21(b)(3).

EPA has reviewed Arizona's application, and has made an immediate final decision that Arizona's hazardous waste program revisions satisfy all of the requirements necessary to qualify for final authorization. Consequently, EPA intends to approve final authorization for Arizona's hazardous waste program revisions. The public may submit written comments on EPA's immediate final decision up until November 27, 1998. Copies of Arizona's applications for program revision are available for inspection and copying at the locations indicated in the "Addresses" section of this notice.

Approval of Arizona's program revisions is effective in 60 days unless an adverse comment pertaining to the State's revisions discussed in this notice is received by the end of the comment period. If an adverse comment is received, EPA will publish either (1) a withdrawal of the immediate final decision or (2) a notice containing a response to the comment which either affirms that the immediate final decision takes effect or reverses the decision.

Arizona is applying for authorization for changes and additions to the Federal RCRA implementing regulations that occurred between July 1, 1995 and July 1, 1996 and three that were promulgated after July 1, 1996, consisting of the following Federal hazardous waste regulations:

Federal Requirements:

Criteria for Classification of Solid Waste Disposal Facilities and Practices; Identification and Listing of Hazardous Waste; Requirements for (HSWA) Authorization of state Hazardous Waste Programs (61 FR 34252, July 1, 1996)

Identification and Listing of Hazardous Waste; Amendments to Definition of Solid Waste (Non-HSWA) (61 FR 13103, March 26, 1996)

State Analog:

Arizona Revised Statutes (ARS) 49–922.A&B; Arizona Administrative Code (AAC)R18-8–261.A,B, G & H..

Hazardous Waste Management; Liquids in Landfills (HSWA)(60 FR 35703, July 11, 1995).

Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities and Hazardous Waste Generators; Organic Air Emission Standards for Tanks, Surface Impoundments, and Containers (HSWA) (61 FR 59932, November 25, 1996).

RCRA Expanded Public Participation (Non-HSWA) (60 FR 63417, July 11, 1996).

Land Disposal Restrictions Phase III-Decharacterized Wastewaters, Carbamate Waste, and Spent Potliners (HSWA) (61 FR 15566, April 8, 1996; 61 FR 15660, April 8, 1996; 61 FR 19177, April 30, 1996; 61 FR 33680, June 28, 1996; 61 FR 36419, July 10, 1996; 61 FR43924, August 26, 1996; 62 FR 7502, February 19, 1997.

ARS 49-922.A&B; AAC R18-8-261.A&B

ARS 49-922.A&B; AAC R18-8-264.A, R18-8-265.A

ARS 49–922.A&B; AAC R18–8-261.A&B, R-18–8-262.A&B, R18-8-264.A, R18–8-265.A

ARS 49-922.A&B; AAC R-18-8-271.A, R18-8-271.A

ARS 49-922.A&B; AAC R18-8-268

The State is responsible for issuing, denying, modifying, reissuing and terminating permits for all hazardous waste treatment, storage and disposal facilities in a manner consistent with all Federal requirements for which Arizona is authorized. Arizona is not being authorized to operate any portion of the hazardous waste program on Indian lands

C. Decision

I conclude that Arizona's application for program revision meets all of the statutory and regulatory requirements established by RCRA. Accordingly, Arizona is granted final authorization to operate its hazardous waste program as revised.

Arizona is now responsible for permitting treatment, storage, and disposal facilities within its borders and carrying out the aspects of the RCRA program described in its revised program application, subject to the limitations of the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984 (Public Law 98–616, November 8, 1984) ("HSWA"). Arizona also has primary enforcement responsibilities, although EPA retains the right to conduct inspections under section 3007 of RCRA and to take enforcement actions under sections 3008, 3013 and 7003 of RCRA.

D. Administrative Requirements

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA), P.L. 104– 4, establishes requirements for Federal agencies to assess the effects of their regulatory actions on State, local, and tribal governments and the private sector. Under section 202 of the UMRA, EPA generally must prepare a written statement, including a cost-benefit analysis, for proposed and final rules

with "Federal mandates" that may result in expenditures to State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or to the private sector, of \$100 million or more in any one year. Before promulgating an EPA rule for which a written statement is needed, section 205 of the UMRA generally requires EPA to identify and consider a reasonable number of regulatory alternatives and adopt the least costly, most costeffective or least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule. The provisions of section 205 do not apply when they are inconsistent with applicable law. Moreover, section 205 allows EPA to adopt an alternative other than the least costly, most cost-effective or least burdensome alternative if the Administrator publishes with the final rule an explanation why that alternative was not adopted. Before EPA establishes any regulatory requirements that may significantly or uniquely affect small governments, including tribal governments, it must have developed under section 203 of the UMRA a small government agency plan. The plan must provide for notifying potentially affected small governments, enabling officials of affected small governments to have meaningful and timely input in the development of EPA regulatory proposals with significant Federal intergovernmental mandates, and informing, educating, and advising small governments on compliance with the regulatory requirements. EPA has determined that section 202

EPA has determined that section 202 and 205 requirements do not apply to today's action because this rule does not contain a Federal mandate that may result in annual expenditures of \$100 million or more for State, local, and/or tribal governments in the aggregate, or the private sector. Costs to State, local and/or tribal governments already exist

under the Arizona program, and today's action does not impose any additional obligations on regulated entities. In fact, EPA's approval of State programs generally may reduce, not increase, compliance costs for the private sector. Further, as it applies to the State, this action does not impose a Federal intergovernmental mandate because UMRA does not include duties arising from participation in a voluntary federal program.

The requirements of section 203 of UMRA also do not apply to today's action because this rule contains no regulatory requirements that might significantly or uniquely affect small governments. Although small governments may be hazardous waste generators, transporters, or own and/or operate TSDFs, they are already subject to the regulatory requirements under the existing State laws that are being authorized by EPA, and, thus, are not subject to any additional significant or unique requirements by virtue of this program approval.

Certification Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act

Pursuant to the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq., as amended by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996), whenever an agency is required to publish a notice of rulemaking for any proposed or final rule, it must prepare and make available for public comment a regulatory flexibility analysis that describes the effect of the rule on small entities (i.e., small businesses, small organizations, and small governmental jurisdictions). This analysis is unnecessary, however, if the agency's administrator certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact

on a substantial number of small entities.

The EPA has determined that this authorization will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Such small entities which are hazardous waste generators, transporters, or which own and/or operate TSDFs are already subject to the regulatory requirements under the existing State laws that are now being authorized by EPA. The EPA's authorization does not impose any significant additional burdens on these small entities. This is because EPA's authorization would simply result in an administrative change, rather than a change in the substantive requirements imposed on these small entities.

Pursuant to the provision at 5 U.S.C. 605(b), the Agency hereby certifies that this authorization will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This authorization approves regulatory requirements under existing State law to which small entities are already subject. It does not impose any new burdens on small entities. This rule, therefore, does not require a regulatory flexibility analysis.

Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. The EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in today's **Federal Register**. This rule is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Compliance With Executive Order 12866

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of Executive Order 12866.

Compliance with Executive Order 12875

Under Executive Order 12875, EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute and that creates a mandate upon a State, local or tribal government, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance

costs incurred by those governments, or EPA consults with those governments. If EPA complies with consulting, Executive Order 12875 requires EPA to provide to the Office of Management and Budget a description of the extent of EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected State, local and tribal governments, the nature of their concerns, copies of any written communications from the governments, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, Executive Order 12875 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected officials and other representatives of State, local and tribal governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory proposals containing significant unfunded mandates.

This rule does not create a mandate on State, local or tribal governments. The rule does not impose any enforceable duties on these entities. The State administers its hazardous waste program voluntarily, and any duties on other State, local or tribal governmental entities arise from that program, not from today's action. Accordingly, the requirements of Executive Order 12875 do not apply to this rule.

Compliance With Executive Order 13045

Executive Order 13045, "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks," applies to any rule that: (1) the Office of Management and Budget determines is "economically significant" as defined under Executive Order 12866, and (2) concerns an environmental health or safety risk that EPA has reason to believe may have a disproportionate effect on children. If the regulatory action meets both criteria, the Agency must evaluate the environmental health or safety effects of the planned rule on children and explain why the planned regulation is preferable to other potentially effective and reasonably feasible alternatives considered by the Agency.

This rule is not subject to E.O. 13045 because it is not an economically significant rule as defined by E.O. 12866, and because it does not involve decisions based on environmental health or safety risks.

Compliance With Executive Order 13084

Under Executive Order 13084, EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute, that significantly or uniquely affects the communities of Indian tribal governments, and that imposes substantial direct compliance costs on those communities, unless the

Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by the tribal governments, or EPA consults with those governments. If EPA complies with consulting, Executive Order 13084 requires EPA to provide to the Office of Management and Budget, in a separately identified section of the preamble to the rule, a description of the extent of EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected tribal governments, a summary of the nature of their concerns, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, Executive Order 13084 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected officials and other representatives of Indian tribal governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory policies on matters that significantly or uniquely affect their communities.'

This rule is not subject to E.O. 13084 because it does not significantly or uniquely affects the communities of Indian tribal governments. Arizona is not authorized to implement the RCRA hazardous waste program in Indian country. This action has no effect on the hazardous waste program that EPA implements in the Indian country within the State.

Paperwork Reduction Act

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*, Federal agencies must consider the paperwork burden imposed by any information request contained in a proposed rule or a final rule. This rule will not impose any information requirements upon the regulated community.

National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

Section 12(d) of the National **Technology Transfer and Advancement** Act of 1995 ("NTTAA"), Pub L. No. 104-113, § 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs EPA to use voluntary consensus standards in its regulatory activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, and business practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies. The NTTAA directs EPA to provide Congress, through OMB, explanations when the Agency decides not to use available and applicable voluntary consensus standards.

This action does not involved technical standards. Therefore, EPA did

not consider the use of any voluntary consensus standards.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 271

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Confidential business information, Hazardous materials transportation, Hazardous waste, Indian lands, Intergovernmental relations, Penalties, Reporting and record keeping requirements, Water pollution control, Water supply.

Authority: This notice is issued under the authority of Sections 2002(a), 3006 and 7004(b) of the Solid Waste Disposal Act as amended, 42 U.S.C. 6912(a), 6926, and 6974(b).

Dated: August 30, 1998.

Felicia Marcus,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 98-28870 Filed 10-27-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 300

[FRL-6181-1]

National Oil and Hazardous Substances Contingency Plan; National Priorities List Update

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule; notice of deletion of the Operable Unit 2 of the South Andover Salvage Yards Superfund site from the National Priorities List (NPL).

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) announces the deletion of the Operable Unit 2 of the South Andover Salvage Yards Superfund Site in Minnesota from the National Priorities List (NPL). The NPL is Appendix B of 40 CFR part 300, which is the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Contingency Plan (NCP), which EPA promulgated pursuant to section 105 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended. This action is being taken by EPA and the State of Minnesota, because it has been determined that Responsible Parties have implemented all appropriate response actions required for this particular operable unit. Moreover, EPA and the State of Minnesota have determined that remedial actions conducted at the site to date remain protective of public health, welfare, and the environment.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 28, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John O'Grady at (312) 886-1477 (SR-6J), Remedial Project Manager or Gladys Beard at (312) 886-7253, Associate Remedial Project Manager, Superfund Division, U.S. EPA—Region V, 77 West Jackson Blvd., Chicago, IL 60604. Information on the site is available at the local information repository located at: Andover City Hall, 1685 N. W. Crosstown Blvd., Andover, MN 55303. Requests for comprehensive copies of documents should be directed formally to the Regional Docket Office. The contact for the Regional Docket Office is Jan Pfundheller (H-7J), U.S. EPA, Region V, 77 W. Jackson Blvd., Chicago, IL 60604, (312) 353-5821.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The portion of the site to be deleted from the NPL is: Operable Unit 2 of the South Andover Salvage Yards located in Andover, Minnesota. A Notice of Intent to Delete for this portion of the site was published September 15, 1998 (63 FR 178). The closing date for comments on the Notice of Intent to Delete was October 14, 1998. EPA received no comments and therefore no Responsiveness Summary was prepared.

The EPA identifies sites which appear to present a significant risk to public health, welfare, or the environment and it maintains the NPL as the list of those sites. Sites on the NPL may be the subject of Hazardous Substance Response Trust Fund (Fund-) financed remedial actions. Any site or portion of a site deleted from the NPL remains eligible for Fund-financed remedial actions in the unlikely event that conditions at the site warrant such action. Section 300.425(e)(3) of the NCP states that Fund-financed actions may be taken at sites deleted from the NPL in the unlikely event that conditions at the site warrant such action. Deletion of a site from the NPL does not affect responsible party liability or impede agency efforts to recover costs associated with response efforts.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 300

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Chemicals, Hazardous substances, Hazardous waste, Intergovernmental relations, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Superfund, Water pollution control, Water supply.

Dated: October 19, 1998.

David Ullrich,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region V.

40 CFR part 300 is amended as follows:

PART 300—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 300 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1321(c)(2); 42 U.S.C. 9601–9657; E.O. 12777, 56 FR 54757, 3 CFR, 1991 Comp.; p.351; E.O. 12580, 52 FR 2923, 3 CFR, 1987 Comp.; p. 193.

Appendix B—[Amended]

2. Table 1 of Appendix B to part 300 is amended by removing the Site "South Andover Site, Andover, Minnesota."

[FR Doc. 98–28868 Filed 10–27–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 97-130, RM-8751]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Ottumwa, IA

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document substitutes Channel 224C3 for Channel 224C2 at Ottumwa, Iowa, and modifies the license of Station KTWA, Ottumwa, Iowa, to specify operation on Channel 224C2. See 62 FR 27710, May 21, 1997; The reference coordinates for Channel 224C2 at Ottumwa, Iowa, are 41–01–11 and 92–27–33. With this action, the proceeding is terminated.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 1, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Hayne, Mass Media Bureau (202) 418–2177.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's *Report and Order* in MM Docket No. 97–130, adopted October 7, 1998, and released October 16, 1998. The full text of this decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW, Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., (202) 857–3805, 1231 M Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Part 73 of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows: