The FY 1998 HOPE VI Revitalization NOFA also announced the availability of \$26 million, as specifically appropriated by Congress, to fund projects proposing demolition of severely distressed elderly public housing projects and the replacement, where appropriate, and revitalization of the elderly public housing as new communities for the elderly designed to meet the special needs and physical requirements of the elderly. None of the applications received for these grants met eligibility thresholds, and therefore these funds were not awarded.

In accordance with section 102(a)(4)(C) of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989 (103 Stat. 1987, 42 U.S.C. 3545), the Department is publishing the names and addresses of HUD-approved agencies awarded funding under the FY 1998 HOPE VI Revitalization NOFA, and the amount of funds awarded to each public housing agency. This information is provided in Appendix A to this document.

Dated: October 20, 1998.

Deborah Vincent,

General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

Appendix A—HOPE VI Revitalization Grantees for Fiscal Year 1998

Albany Housing Authority, 4 Lincoln Square, Albany, NY 12202–1698 Amount Awarded: \$28,852,200 Development: Edwin Corning Homes Alexandria Redevelopment and Housing Authority, 600 North Fairfax Street, Alexandria, VA 22314 Amount Awarded: \$6,716,250 Development: Samuel Madden Homes Housing Authority of the City of Atlanta, 739 West Peachtree Street NE, Atlanta, GA 30365

Amount Awarded: \$34,669,400
Development: Carver Homes
Housing Authority of Baltimore City, 417 East
Fayette Street, Baltimore, MD 21207
Amount Awarded: \$21,500,000
Development: Flag House Courts
Housing Authority of the City of Charlotte,
1301 South Boulevard, Charlotte, NC
28203

Amount Awarded: \$34,724,570
Development: Fairview Homes
Chester Housing Authority, P.O. Box 380,
Chester, PA 19016–0380
Amount Awarded: \$9,751,178
Development: McCaffery Village
Chicago Housing Authority, 626 West
Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, IL 60661–

Amount Awarded: \$35,000,000 Development: ABLA—Abbott, Addams, Brooks Extension

Cincinnati Metropolitan Housing Authority, 16 West Central Parkway Cincinnati, OH 45210

Amount Awarded: \$31,093,590 Development: Lincoln Court Housing Authority of Dallas, 3939 North Hampton Road Dallas, TX 75212 Amount Awarded: \$34,907,186 Development: Roseland Homes Housing Authority of the City and County of Denver, 1100 West Colfax Avenue, Denver,

CO 80204 Amount Awarded: \$25,753,220 Development: Curtis Park

Greensboro Housing Authority, P.O. Box 21287, Greensboro, NC 27420 Amount Awarded: \$22,987,722 Development: Morningside Homes

Lexington—Fayette Urban County Housing Authority, 300 West New Circle Road, Lexington, KY 40505

Amount Awarded: \$19,331,116 Development: Charlotte Court

Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles, 2600 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA 90057

Amount Awarded: \$23,045,297 Development: Aliso Village

Housing Authority of the City of Milwaukee, P.O. Box 324, Milwaukee, WI 53201

Amount Awarded: \$34,230,500 Development: Parklawn

New Brunswick Housing and Urban Development Authority, 71 Neilsen Street, New Brunswick, NJ 08901

Amount Awarded: \$7,491,656 Development: New Brunswick Homes New York City Housing Authority, 250

Broadway, New York, NY 10007 Amount Awarded: \$21,405,213 Development: Prospect Plaza

Housing Authority of the City of Oakland, 1619 Harrison Street, Oakland, CA 94612

Amount Awarded: \$12,705,010

Development: Chestnut Court and 1114–14th Street

Philadelphia Housing Authority, 2012 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103 Amount Awarded: \$25,229,950 Development: Martin Luther King Plaza

City of Roanoke Redevelopment and Housing Authority, 2624 Salem Turnpike, NW, Roanoke, VA 24017

Amount Awarded: \$15,124,712 Development: Lincoln Terrace

Seattle Housing Authority, 120 Sixth Avenue North, Seattle, WA 98109–5003

Amount Awarded: \$17,020,880 Development: Roxbury House & Roxbury Village

Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa, P.O. Box 6369, Tulsa, OK 74148–0369

Amount Awarded: \$28,640,000

Development: Osage Hills Wilmington Housing Authority, 400 North

Walnut Street, Wilmington, DE 19801 Amount Awarded: \$16,820,350 Development: Eastlake Neighborhood

[FR Doc. 98–28651 Filed 10–26–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-33-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-4295-N-02]

Notice of Operating Cost Adjustment Factors for Low-Income Housing Preservation and Resident Homeownership Projects Assisted with Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, HUD. **ACTION:** Retraction and reissuance of February 25, 1998 Notice.

SUMMARY: The Low-Income Housing Preservation and Resident Homeownership Act of 1990 ("LIHPRHA") requires that future rent adjustments for LIHPRHA projects be made by applying an annual factor to be determined by the Secretary to the portion of rent attributable to operating expenses for the project and, where the owner is a priority purchaser, to the portion of rent attributable to project oversight costs. This notice supersedes and corrects HUD's February 25, 1998 Federal Register notice announcing the Operating Cost Adjustment Factors ("OCAF(s)") to be used for rent increases under LIHPRHA, which inadvertently set forth erroneous OCAFs.

In those cases where the application of an erroneous OCAF resulted in the use of a budget-based rent adjustment, the budget-based calculation will remain in effect for the remainder of the annual period. If an owner accepted the erroneous OCAF published in the February 25, 1998 notice without taking the budget-based rent adjustment option, then, at such owner's request, the Department will retroactively apply the revised OCAFs contained in this notice to the appropriate gross rent potential. The corrected OCAFs set forth in this notice apply in all other cases. The most recent published OCAF will be applied on the anniversary date of the housing assistance payments contract.

For the convenience of readers, this notice reprints the text of the February 25, 1998 notice, which included an explanation of the methodology employed to develop the OCAFs.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 1998. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ulyses Brinkley, Office of Multifamily Housing Management, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20410; telephone (202) 708–0558; (This is not a toll-free number). Hearing or

speech-impaired individuals may access this number via TTY by calling the tollfree Federal Information Relay Service at 1–800–877–8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. OCAFS

The Low-Income Housing Preservation and Resident Homeownership Act of 1990 "LIHPRHA") (see, in particular, section 222(a)(2)(G)(i) of LIHPRHA, 12 USC 4112(a)(2)(G) and the regulations at 24 CFR 248.145(a)(9)) requires that future rent adjustments for LIHPRHA projects be made by applying an annual factor to be determined by the Secretary to the portion of project rent attributable to operating expenses for the project and, where the owner is a priority purchaser, to the portion of project rent attributable to project oversight costs. The Secretary has determined to use the OCAF as the annual factor.

II. Budget-Based Method of Calculating Contract Rent Increases

If an owner believes that the contract rents approved by the Secretary pursuant to the OCAF are not adequate, an owner may request that its contract rent increase be calculated using the budget-based method. Owners shall: (1) submit documentation to HUD pursuant to the procedures in Chapter 7 of HUD Handbook 4350.1, Insured Project Servicing Handbook, and (2) demonstrate that an increase in contract rents above that provided by the OCAF is necessary to reflect extraordinary necessary expenses of owning and maintaining the Housing. If the Secretary determines that the project rents pursuant to the OCAF are insufficient to cover project operating expenses, the Secretary may increase contract rents in excess of the amount determined pursuant to the OCAF to reflect extraordinary necessary expenses of owning and maintaining the project. Any contract rent increase resulting from using the budget-based method shall be effective for the year approved.

III. Method for Calculating OCAF

In seeking to find the best operating cost adjustment factors for this purpose, the Department analyzed several sources of data. HUD's own data on rental project operating costs formed the largest and most reliable set of timeseries data on actual project expenses. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) data on wages and prices were found to offer the most reliable surrogate data sources.

After exploring alternative approaches, two methods of developing OCAFs were considered for detailed review. One was to use administrative and operating expense data for unsubsidized FHA-insured projects as

the basis for developing factors. The other was to use BLS data on wages and prices as a surrogate indicator of operating cost changes.

An analysis of the HUD FHA data from the form HUD-92410 showed that utility, tax, and insurance expenses had such a high degree of variability that measurements of area- or regional-level average or median expense changes had little relevance to most projects, and that these data could not be used to provide meaningful measures of change. Analysis efforts were therefore concentrated on the "Administrative" and "Operating and Maintenance" expense items reported on the form HUD-92410. It was found that a large percentage of FHA-insured, unassisted projects had unusual changes in year-toyear administrative and operating costs, possibly due to expensing of major repairs using reserve funds that are transferred into the operating expense account. This is of concern, since using operating expense change factors that partly reflect unspecified inclusions of reserve expenditures means that the data do not provide a good indicator of normal, on-going operating expenses or of changes in those expenses. This also appears to explain why change factors developed using FHA-insured administrative and operating expense data do not have a significant central grouping tendency, but instead are spread relatively evenly over a wide range of values. Use of an average or median value has less meaning in such situations than it normally does, since only a few projects have values near the average.

Starting in 1993, HUD began to collect more detailed budget information for all FHA-insured projects, including information on funds transferred from project reserves to cover work reported as operating and maintenance expenses. In future years, this information may make it feasible to develop reliable OCAFs based on costs incurred by unassisted, FHA-insured projects. The Department intends to re-examine the feasibility of this approach as more data become available, but believes that actual operating expense data are not a reliable basis for developing OCAFs at this time and does not intend to use these data to calculate OCAFs.

The second option studied takes advantage of the fact that nearly all administrative and operating expenses are either labor-related or are tied to the cost of non-food producer goods. Labor-related costs should normally tend to move with regional changes in wages, while the cost of most producer goods should change in a similar manner throughout the country. The cost of

changes in goods used in administrative and maintenance work can be measured by the BLS Producer Price Index. Wage and employment data are collected on a comprehensive and highly reliable basis by the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS). HUD uses BLS wage data in calculating median family income levels, and it uses BLS government wage data as the main determinant of the annual increases for Public Housing Allowed Expense Levels.

Research on Public Housing program administrative and operating expenses has shown that approximately 60 percent of such expenses are laborrelated and 40 percent are tied to purchased goods. Since 1983 HUD has used this 60-percent-wage/40-percentprice-index ratio to update Public Housing Allowed Operating Expenses. The approach has been the subject of research and has been found to work well. It was used to develop OCAF factors that measure changes in "Administrative" and "Operating and Maintenance" expenses, as follows: OCAF=(60%*BLS private sector wage change+40%*BLS non-food PPI change)*(avg. operating and maintenance costs/avg. non-debt

service costs) The FY 1998 OCAF figures, shown on the accompanying appendix, were produced for the metropolitan and nonmetropolitan area parts of each of the ten HUD Regions using the BLS data from the final annual ES-202 series data on employment and wages. This is the same level of geography used for Section 8 Annual Adjustment Factors (AAFs), and has the advantage of capturing regional economic trends while avoiding the sometimes erratic changes that would result from use of more localized data. Future OCAF factors will be published on an annual basis.

IV. Findings and Certifications

Environmental Impact

In accordance with 24 CFR 50.19(c)(6) of the HUD regulations, the policies and procedures contained in this notice set forth rate determinations and related external administrative requirements and procedures which do not constitute a development decision that affects the physical condition of specific project areas or building sites, and therefore are categorically excluded from the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act.

Executive Order 12612, Federalism

The General Counsel, as the Designated Official under section 6(a) of Executive Order 12612, Federalism, has determined that the policies contained in this notice will not have substantial direct effects on States or their political subdivisions, or the relationship between the Federal government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. As a result, the notice is not subject to review under the Order. This notice pertains to Operating Cost Adjustment Factors ("OCAF(s)"), to be used for rent increases under LIHPRHA, and does not substantially alter the established roles of the Department, the States, and local governments.

(The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number for this program is 14 187)

Dated: September 25, 1998.

Andrew Cuomo,

Secretary.

APPENDIX—LOW INCOME HOUSING PRESERVATION AND RESIDENT HOMEOWNERSHIP ACT OF 1990 [FY 1998 Operating Cost Adjustment Factors]

HUD region	Area	Metro (percent)	Nonmetro (percent)
1	NEW ENGLAND	2.5	1.8
2	NEW YORK-NEW JERSEY	2.4	1.8
3	MID-ATLANTIC	2.1	1.7
4	SOUTHEAST	2.4	2.0
5	MIDWEST	2.1	1.8
6	SOUTHWEST	2.2	1.8
7	GREAT PLAINS	2.5	2.0
8	ROCKY MOUNTAINS	2.2	1.8
9	PACIFIC/HAWAII	2.0	1.6
10	NORTHWEST/ALASKA	2.5	2.1
U.S.TOTAL		2.2	1.9

[FR Doc. 98–28648 Filed 10–26–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4210–27–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-4407-N-01]

Performance Review Board

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, HUD. **ACTION:** Notice of appointments.

SUMMARY: The Secretary has appointed new members to the Departmental Performance Review Board as follows:

Saul N. Ramirez, Jr., as Chairperson and Joseph F. Smith as Vice Chairperson; Marcella E. Belt, Warren DeBlasio-Wilhelm, Susan M. Forward, Jacqueline L. Johnson, Jill D. Khadduri, Frank M. Malone, Mercedes M. Marquez, and John M. Simmons as members; and Gloria R. Parker as an alternate member.

The address is: Department of Housing and Urban Development, Washington, D.C. 20410.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Persons desiring any further information about the Performance Review Board and its members may contact Earnestine Pruitt, Director, Executive Personnel Management Division, Department of Housing and Urban Development, Washington, D.C. 20410, telephone (202) 708–1381. (This is not a toll-free number.)

Dated: October 16, 1998.

Saul N. Ramirez, Jr.,

Acting Deputy Secretary, Department of Housing and Urban Development.
[FR Doc. 98–28647 Filed 10–26–98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4210–32–M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

U.S. Geological Survey

National Satellite Land Remote Sensing Data Archive Advisory Committee Committee; Meeting

AGENCY: U.S. Geology Survey. **ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Public Law 92-463, the National Satellite Land Remote Sensing Data Archive (NSLRSDA) Advisory Committee will meet at the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) Earth Resources Observation Systems (EROS) Data Center (EDC) near Sioux Falls, South Dakota. The Committee, comprised of 15 members from academia, industry, government, information science, natural science, and social science, and policy/law, will provide the USGS, EDC management with advice and consultation on defining and accomplishing the NSLRSDA's archiving and access goals to carry out the requirements of the Land Remote Sensing Policy Act; on priorities of the NSLRSDA's tasks; and, on issues of archiving, data management, science, policy, and public-private partnerships.

Topics to be reviewed and discussed by the Committee include determining the content of and upgrading the basic data set as identified by the Congress; metadata content and accessibility, product characteristics, availability, and delivery; and, archiving, data access, and distribution policies.

DATES: October 28–30, 1998, commencing at 8:30 a.m. October 28 and adjourning at 12 noon on October 30.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Thomas M. Holm, Assistant to the Center Chief, National Land Satellite Archive, U.S. Geological Survey, EROS Data Center, Sioux Falls, South Dakota, 57198 at (605) 594–6960 or email at holm@edcmail.cr.usgs.gov

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Meetings of the National Satellite Land Remote Sensing Data Archive Advisory Committee are open to the public. The required lead time for notification of this meeting could not be met due to an unforseen need to move the meeting to an alternate and earlier date. Inadequate motel and commercial airline connections to Sioux Falls, South Dakota forced the rescheduling of the Committee Meeting to the only available date given the conflicts with Sioux Falls accommodations.

Dated: October 21, 1998.

Richard E. Witmer,

Chief, National Mapping Division.
[FR Doc. 98–28630 Filed 10–26–98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–Y7–M