

and orders responded to the notice of initiation by the September 16, 1998, deadline (see section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of *Procedures for Conducting Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 63 FR 13520 (March 20, 1998) ("*Sunset Regulations*").

Determination To Revoke

Pursuant to section 752(c)(3)(A) of the Act and section 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(B)(3) of the *Sunset Regulations*, if no interest party responds to the notice of initiation, the Department of Commerce shall issue a final determination, within 90 days after the initiation of the review, revoking the finding or order of terminating the suspended investigation. Because no domestic interested party responded to the notice of initiation by the applicable deadline, September 16, 1998 see section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the *Sunset Regulations*, we are revoking these antidumping findings and countervailing duty orders.

Effective Date of Revocation

Pursuant to section 751(c)(6)(A)(iv) of the Act, the Department will instruct the United States Customs Service to terminate the suspension of liquidation of the merchandise subject to these findings and orders entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after January 1, 2000. Entries of subject merchandise prior to the effective date of revocation will continue to be subject to suspension of liquidation and duty deposit requirements. The Department will complete any pending administrative reviews of these findings and orders and will conduct administrative reviews of all entries prior to the effective date of revocation in response to appropriately filed requests for review.

Dated: October 15, 1998.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98-28394 Filed 10-21-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

August 1998 Sunset Reviews: Final Results and Revocations

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Sunset Reviews and Revocation of Antidumping Duty Findings for: Racing

Plates from Canada (A-122-050), Acrylic Sheet from Japan (A-588-055).

SUMMARY: On August 3, 1998, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated sunset reviews of the antidumping findings on racing plates from Canada and acrylic sheet from Japan. Because no domestic interested party responded to the sunset review notice of initiation by the applicable deadline, the Department is revoking these findings.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 1, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Martha V. Douthit, Scott E. Smith, or Melissa G. Skinner, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Pennsylvania Avenue and 14th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3207, (202) 482-6397, or (202) 482-1560, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Treasury Department issued antidumping findings on racing plates from Canada (37 FR 11772, June 14, 1972), and acrylic sheet from Japan (37 FR 11772, June 14, 1972). Pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department initiated sunset reviews of these findings by publishing notice of the initiation in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 41227). In addition, as a courtesy to interested parties, the Department sent letters, via certified and registered mail, to each party listed on the Department's most current service list for these proceedings to inform them of the automatic initiation of a sunset review on these findings.

No domestic interested parties in any of these sunset reviews of these findings responded to the notice of initiation by the August 18, 1998, deadline (see section 351.218 (d)(1)(i) of *Procedures for Conducting Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 63 FR 13520 (March 20, 1998) ("*Sunset Regulations*").

Determination to Revoke

Pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Act and section 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(B)(3) of the *Sunset Regulations*, if no interested party responds to the notice of initiation, the Department of Commerce shall issue a final determination, within 90 days after the initiation of the review, revoking the finding or terminating the suspended investigation. Because no domestic interested party responded to the notice of initiation by the applicable deadline of August 18, 1998 (see section

351.218(d)(1)(i) of the *Sunset Regulations*), we are revoking these antidumping findings.

Effective Date of Revocation

Pursuant to section 751(c)(6)(A)(iv) of the Act, the Department will instruct the United States Customs Service to terminate the suspension of liquidation of the merchandise subject to these findings entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after January 1, 2000. Entries of subject merchandise prior to the effective date of revocation will continue to be subject to suspension of liquidation and duty deposit requirements. The Department will complete any pending administrative reviews of these findings and will conduct administrative reviews on all entries prior to the effective date of revocation in response to appropriately filed requests for review.

Dated: October 15, 1998.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98-28397 Filed 10-21-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-836]

Glycine From the People's Republic of China; Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of rescission of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On April 24, 1998, in response to a request by an exporter and a producer, the Department of Commerce initiated the first administrative review of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the People's Republic of China. The period of review was March 1, 1997, through February 28, 1998. The request was made by one exporter of subject merchandise, Sinochem Tianjin Chemicals Import and Export Corporation, and one producer of subject merchandise, Yotech Chemical Industrial Co. Ltd. This review has now been rescinded as a result of the withdrawal of the request for administrative review by the exporter and the producer, as no other interested party requested the review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 22, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Andrew Nulman or Rick Johnson, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-0374 and (202) 482-3818, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On January 30, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published an antidumping duty order on glycine from the People's Republic of China (60 FR 5620). On March 11, 1998, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of "Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the People's Republic of China (63 FR 11868).

On March 18, 1998, an exporter, Sinochem Tianjin Chemicals Import and Export Corporation, and a producer, Yotech Chemical Industrial Co., Ltd., requested an administrative review of the antidumping order on glycine from the People's Republic of China. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b), we initiated the review on April 24, 1998 (63 FR 20378) covering the period of March 1, 1997, through February 28, 1998. On September 17, 1998, the exporter withdrew its request for administrative review.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations refer to 19 CFR part 351 (62 FR 27296 (May 19, 1997)).

Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations, the Department will allow a party that requests an administrative review to withdraw such request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the administrative review. Furthermore, the Department may extend this time limit if the Secretary decides it is reasonable to do so, per 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

This request for withdrawal was made early in the review process and there were no requests for review from other interested parties. Additionally, the Petitioners have submitted comments on the record supporting rescission.

Therefore, the Department is rescinding this review. This rescission of administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act 19 CFR 351.213(d).

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: October 14, 1998.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, Enforcement Group III.

[FR Doc. 98-28395 Filed 10-21-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A-351-828, A-588-846, and A-821-809]

Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Certain Hot-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products From Brazil, Japan, and the Russian Federation

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 22, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rick Johnson (Russian Federation) at (202) 482-3818; Linda Ludwig (Brazil), at (202) 482-3833; and Steven Presing (Japan) at (202) 482-0194, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Initiation of Investigations*The Applicable Statute and Regulations*

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are references to the provisions codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (1998).

The Petition

On September 30, 1998, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") received petitions filed in proper form by Bethlehem Steel Corporation, U.S. Steel Group (a unit of USX Corporation), Ispat Inland Steel, LTV Steel Company, National Steel Company,¹ California Steel Industries, Gallatin Steel Company, Geneva Steel, Gulf States Steel, IPSCO Steel, Steel Dynamics, Weirton Steel Corporation, Independent Steelworkers Union, and United Steelworkers of America (collectively petitioners). The Department received supplemental information to the petitions on October 9, 1998.

In accordance with section 732(b) of the Act, petitioners allege that imports of certain hot-rolled flat-rolled carbon-quality steel products ("hot-rolled steel") from Japan, Brazil, and the Russian Federation ("Russia") are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value within the meaning of section 731 of the Act, and that such imports are materially injuring an industry in the United States.

The Department finds that petitioners filed these petitions on behalf of the domestic industry because they are interested parties as defined in sections 771(9)(C) and (D) of the Act and they have demonstrated sufficient industry support with respect to each of the antidumping investigations they are requesting the Department to initiate (see *Determination of Industry Support for the Petition* below).

Scope of Investigations

For purposes of this investigation, the products covered are certain hot-rolled flat-rolled carbon-quality steel products of a rectangular shape, of a width of 0.5 inch or greater, neither clad, plated, nor coated with metal and whether or not painted, varnished, or coated with plastics or other non-metallic substances, in coils (whether or not in successively superimposed layers) regardless of thickness, and in straight lengths, of a thickness less than 4.75 mm and of a width measuring at least 10 times the thickness. Universal mill plate (*i.e.*, flat-rolled products rolled on four faces or in a closed box pass, of a width exceeding 150 mm but not exceeding 1250 mm and of a thickness of not less than 4 mm, not in coils and without patterns in relief) is not included within the scope of these investigations.

Specifically included in this scope are vacuum degassed, fully stabilized

¹ National Steel is not a petitioner in the Japan case.