deposit rate for this case will continue to be 15.67 percent, the "All Others" rate made effective by the LTFV investigation. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with section 353.34(d) of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and section 353.22 of the Department's regulations.

Dated: October 5, 1998.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98–27876 Filed 10–15–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

North American Free-Trade Agreement (NAFTA), Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews

AGENCY: NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of decision of panel.

SUMMARY: On August 26, 1998 the binational panel issued its decision in the review of the final injury determination made by the Canadian International Trade Tribunal, in the material injury investigation respecting Concrete Panels, Reinforced with Fiberglass Mesh, Originating in or Exported from the United States of

America, NAFTA Secretariat File Number CDA-97-1904-01. The panel affirmed the final determination in all respects. Copies of the panel decision are available from the U.S. Section of the NAFTA Secretariat.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James R. Holbein, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue, Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482–5438.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Chapter 19 of the North American Free-Trade Agreement ("Agreement") establishes a mechanism to replace domestic judicial review of final determinations in antidumping and countervailing duty cases involving imports from a NAFTA country with review by independent binational panels. When a Request for Panel Review is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national courts to review expeditiously the final determination to determine whether it conforms with the antidumping or countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination.

Under Article 1904 of the Agreement, which came into force on January 1, 1994, the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada and the Government of Mexico established Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews ("Rules"). These Rules were published in the Federal Register on February 23, 1994 (59 FR 8686). The panel review in this matter has been conducted in accordance with these Rules.

BACKGROUND: On July 21, 1997 Custom Building Products, Inc. filed a First Request for Panel Review with the Canadian Section of the NAFTA Secretariat pursuant to Article 1904 of the North American Free Trade Agreement. Panel review was requested of the final injury determination made by the Canadian International Trade Tribunal, in the material injury investigation respecting Concrete Panels, Reinforced with Fiberglass Mesh, Originating in or Exported from the United States of America. This determination was published in the Canada Gazette, Part I, Vol. 13, No. 28, page 1957-58 on July 12, 1997. The NAFTA Secretariat assigned Case Number CDA-97-1904-01 to this request. The panel reviewed the complaints, briefs and other documents and heard oral argument in this matter.

PANEL DECISION: The panel affirmed the final determination of the CITT on all five issues raised by the complainants in their briefs.

Dated: August 28, 1998.

James R. Holbein,

U.S. Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat.
[FR Doc. 98–27842 Filed 10–15–98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–GT–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

Record of Decision (ROD) on the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for the Disposal and Reuse of the Evans Subpost, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DoD. **ACTION:** Record of Decision.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Army is announcing the Record of Decision (ROD) on the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for the disposal and reuse of the Evans Subpost, in accordance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Pub. L. 101–510, as amended.

ADDRESSES: A copy of the ROD may be obtained by writing to Mrs. Shirley Vance, U.S. Army Materiel Command, ATTN: AMCSO, 5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22333–0001. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Shirley Vance, U.S. Army Materiel Command, at (703) 617–8172.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Act, the Secretary of the Army has been delegated the authority to dispose of excess real property and facilities located at a military installation being closed and realigned. The Army is required to comply with the National Environmental Policy Act during the process of property disposal and must prepare appropriate analyses of the impacts of disposal and, indirectly, of reuse of the property on the environment. The ROD and the FEIS satisfy requirements of the law to examine the environmental impacts of disposal and reuse of the Evans Subpost, Ft. Monmouth.

The Army has three alternatives to consider: encumbered disposal, unencumbered disposal, and no action (caretaker status). An encumbrance is any Army imposed or legal constraint on the future use or development of the property. Unencumbered disposal would involve transfer or conveyance of the property to be disposed of with fewer Army imposed restrictions on future use. The no action or caretaker status alternative would result in the Army retaining the property indefinitely.

In the ROD, the Army concludes that the FEIS adequately addresses the

impacts of property disposal and documents its decision to transfer the property with encumbrances. The ROD concludes that the 215-acre property will be conveyed subject to notices and restrictions (identified in the FEIS) relating to remediation and radiological decommissioning activities, natural resources, cultural resources, and the protection of human health and the environment. Additionally, mitigation measures for reuse activities are identified in the FEIS, which future owners may employ to avoid, reduce, or compensate for adverse impacts that might occur as a result of disposal.

Copies of the Final EIS may be obtained by writing to Dr. Susan Rees, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Mobile District, ATTN: CESAM-PD-EC, 109 St. Joseph Street, Mobile, Alabama 36628–0001, or by telephone at (334) 694–4141 or telefax at (334) 690–2721.

Dated: October 9, 1998.

Richard E. Newsome,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Environment, Safety and Occupational Health) OASA (I,L&E).

[FR Doc. 98–27787 Filed 10–15–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–08–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. OA97-715-000]

Arizona Public Service Company; Notice of Filing

October 9, 1998.

Take notice that on September 11, 1997, Arizona Public Service Company tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions and protests should be filed on or before October 20, 1998. Protests will be considered by the Commission to determine the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the

Commission and are available for public inspection.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-27776 Filed 10-15-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER99-35-000]

Boston Edison Company; Notice of Filing

October 9, 1998.

Take notice that on October 2, 1998, Boston Edison Company (Boston Edison), tendered for filing a wholesale restructuring filing which includes fuel adjustment clause and stranded cost revisions to the wholesale contracts with Town of Braintree Electric Light Department under Rate Schedule FERC No. 179, Concord Municipal Light Department under Rate Schedule FERC No. 169, Reading Municipal Light Department under Rate Schedule FERC No. 168, and Town of Wellesley Municipal Light Department under Rate Schedule FERC No. 167.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions and protests should be filed on or before October 22, 1998. Protests will be considered by the Commission to determine the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-27775 Filed 10-15-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP98-113-004]

Colorado Interstate Gas Company; Notice of Tariff Compliance Filing

October 9, 1998.

Take notice that on October 6, 1998, Colorado Interstate Gas Company (CIG), tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, the Tariff sheets listed in Appendix A to the filing, to be effective October 1, 1998.

CIG states that the purpose of this filing is to comply with the order that issued on September 29, 1998 in Docket No. RP98–113–003 (Order). CIG states these tariff sheets reflect the requirements of the Order and approved settlement relating to gas quality controls associated with volumes which are delivered on CIG's so called Valley Line.

CIG further states that copies of the filing have been mailed to all affected customers and state regulatory commissions.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–27769 Filed 10–15–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP98-426-001]

Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

October 9, 1998.

Take notice that on October 6, 1998, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia) tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second