

Intermediary and Technical Assistance): 1-6.

Estimated Annual Time Per

Respondent: Core and Intermediary, 100 hours; Technical Assistance, 50 hours; Certification Only, 15 hours; Recertification, 7 hours.

Estimated Annual Time Per

Recordkeeper: 36-51 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden

Hours: 32,570 hours.

Requests for Comments

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information.

Authority: 12 U.S.C. 4703, 4717; chapter X, Pub.L. 104-19, 109 Stat. 237 (12 U.S.C. 4703 note), 12 CFR part 1805.

Dated: October 5, 1998.

Maurice A. Jones,

*Deputy Director of Policy and Programs,
Community Development Financial
Institutions Fund.*

[FR Doc. 98-27059 Filed 10-7-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-70-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Notice of Open Meeting of Citizen Advocacy Panel

SUMMARY: An open meeting of the Citizen Advocacy Panel will be held in Sunrise, Florida.

DATES: The meeting will be held Friday, October 23, 1998 and Saturday, October 24, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Ferree at 1-888-912-1227, or 954-572-6231.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. (1988) that an open meeting of the Citizen Advocacy Panel will be held Friday,

October 23, 1998 from 6:00 pm to 9:00 pm and Saturday, October 24, 1998 from 9:00 am to 12 Noon, in Room 225, CAP Office, 7771 W. Oakland Park Blvd., Sunrise, Florida 33351. The public is invited to make oral comments from 10:00 am to 11:00 am on Saturday, October 24, 1998. Individual comments will be limited to 10 minutes. If you would like to have the CAP consider a written statement, please call 1-888-912-1227 or 954-572-6231, or write Nancy Ferree, CAP Office, 7771 W. Oakland Park Blvd. Rm. 225, Sunrise, FL 33351. Due to limited conference space, notification of intent to attend the meeting must be made with Nancy Ferree. Ms. Ferree can be reached at 1-888-912-1227 or 954-572-6231.

The agenda will include the following: various IRS issue updates and reports by the CAP sub-groups.

Note: Last minute changes to the agenda are possible and could prevent effective advance notice.

Dated: September 30, 1998.

Mary Ellen Ledger,

Designated Federal Official.

[FR Doc. 98-27054 Filed 10-7-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830-01-U

UNITED STATES INFORMATION AGENCY

NIS Training Program for Russia, Ukraine, Belarus, Moldova, Georgia, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, and Uzbekistan

ACTION: Request for proposals.

SUMMARY: The Russia/Eurasia Division of the Office of Citizen Exchanges of the United States Information Agency's Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs announces an open competition for an assistance award. U.S. public and private non-profit organizations meeting the provisions described in IRS regulations 26 CFR 1.501(c) may submit proposals to develop training programs. Grants are subject to the availability of funds.

Overall grant making authority for this program is contained in the Mutual Educational and Cultural Exchange Act of 1961, Public Law 87-256, as amended, also known as the Fulbright-Hays Act. The purpose of the Act is "to enable the Government of the United States to increase mutual understanding between the people of the United States and the people of other countries * * *; to strengthen the ties which unite us with other nations by demonstrating the educational and cultural interests, developments, and achievements of the people of the United States and other

nations * * * and thus to assist in the development of friendly, sympathetic and peaceful relations between the United States and the other countries of the world." The funding authority for the program above is provided through the Fulbright-Hays Act and the Freedom Support Act.

Programs and projects must conform with Agency requirements and guidelines outlined in the Solicitation Package.

Announcement Title and Number: All correspondence with USIA concerning this RFP should reference the above title and number E/PN-99-10.

Deadline for Proposals: All proposal copies must be received at the U.S. Information Agency by 5 p.m. Washington, D.C. time on December 30, 1998. Faxed documents will not be accepted at any time. Documents postmarked the due date but received on a later date will not be accepted.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, CONTACT: The Russia/Eurasia Division, Office of Citizen Exchanges, (E/PN), Room 224, U.S. Information Agency, 301 4th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20547, attn: Cassandra Barber, tel: 202-619-5327 and fax: 202-619-4350 or Internet address: cbarber@usia.gov, to request a Solicitation Package. The Solicitation Package contains detailed award criteria, required application forms, specific budget instructions and standards guidelines for proposal preparation.

To Download a Solicitation Package via Internet: The entire Solicitation Package may be downloaded from USIA's website at <http://www.usia.gov/education/rfps>. Please read all information before downloading.

To Receive a Solicitation package via FAX on Demand: The entire Solicitation Package may be requested from the Bureau's "Grants Information Fax on Demand System," which is accessed by calling 202/401-7616. The "Table of Contents" listing available documents and order numbers should be the first order when entering the system.

Please specify USIA Program Officer Cassandra Barber on all inquiries and correspondence. Please read the complete **Federal Register** announcement before sending inquiries or submitting proposals. Once the RFP deadline has passed, Agency staff may not discuss this competition with applicants until the proposal review process has been completed.

Submission: Applicants must follow all instructions in the Solicitation Package. The original and ten (10) copies of the application should be sent to: U.S. Information Agency, Ref.: E/PN-

99-10. Office of Grants Management, E/XE, Room 326, 301 4th Street, SW, Washington, DC 20547.

Diversity, Freedom and Democracy Guidelines: Pursuant to the Bureau's authorizing legislation, programs must maintain a non-political character and should be balanced and representative of the diversity of American political, social, and cultural life. "Diversity" should be interpreted in the broadest sense and encompass differences including, but not limited to ethnicity, race, gender, religion, geographic location, socio-economic status, and physical challenges. Applicants are strongly encouraged to adhere to the advancement of this principle both in program administration and in program content. Please refer to the review criteria under the "Support of Diversity" section for specific suggestions on incorporating diversity into the total proposal. Public Law 104-319 provides that "in carrying out programs of educational and cultural exchange in countries whose people do not fully enjoy freedom and democracy." USIA "shall take appropriate steps to provide opportunities for participation in such programs to human rights and democracy leaders of such countries." Proposals should reflect advancement of this goal in their program contents, to the full extent deemed feasible.

Program Information

Overview

USIA is interested in proposals that encourage the growth of democratic institutions in Russia, Ukraine, Belarus, Moldova, Georgia, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, and Uzbekistan. Exchanges and training programs supported by Office of Citizen Exchanges institutional grants should operate at two levels: they should enhance institutional relationships; and they should offer practical information to individuals to assist them with their professional responsibilities. Strong proposals usually have the following characteristics: An existing partner relationship between an American organization and an in-country institution in one of the countries targeted in this announcement; a proven track record of conducting program activity; cost-sharing from American or in-country sources, including donations of air fares, hotel and/or housing costs, experienced staff with language facility; and a clear, convincing plan showing how permanent results and continuing activity will be implemented as a result of the activity funded by the grant. USIA wants to see tangible forms of time and

money contributed to the project by the prospective and American and NIS grantee institutions, as well as funding from third party sources.

Unless otherwise specified below, project activity may include: Internships; study tours; short-term training; consultations; and extended, intensive workshops taking place as a two-way exchange in the United States and in Russia, Ukraine, Belarus, Moldova, Georgia, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, and Uzbekistan. Proposals should reflect the applicants' understanding of the political, economic, and social environment in which the program activity will take place. Program designs based on a one-way exchange will be considered under circumstances where the proposal outlines as exceptional program.

USIA encourages applicants to design programs for non-English speakers. Programs can take place in the United States or in the target countries. USIA is interested in proposals whose designs take into account the need for ongoing sharing of information, training and concrete plans for self-sustainability. Examples include: support for training centers in the target countries; plans to create professional networks or professional associations to share information; establishing ongoing internet communication; and/or train the trainers models.

USIA will consider proposals that respond to the following country-specific topics for the countries listed in this announcement:

Women's Leadership Training

Women's Leadership Programs for Russia, Ukraine, Belarus and Moldova

Over the past decade women and women's groups in many countries in Newly Independent States have come forth as the leaders in grassroots activism and have been the cornerstone of social development. Women's groups have shown their willingness to cooperate and coordinate with organizations both in the NIS and the West. Women have begun to take their place in the political arena, in NGO development, and in advocacy groups. The dedication and commitment of women's groups have contributed to democratic and civil values taking root in the region. USIA recognizes, however, that there are many places where women's groups are still nascent and thus need basic organizational and leadership training, just as there are other regions where women's organizations are at a different stages of

development, requiring more sophisticated programs.

USIA is looking for proposals that offer leadership training to women active in their communities in Russia, Ukraine, Belarus, and Moldova. In each country, programs should target women in the outlying regions and not focus on capital cities. The thrust of the training programs should be on identifying priorities, creating organizational and work plans, forming networks and coalitions, and advocacy training regarding specific issues important to their local communities and regions. Proposals are not limited to a one-country focus. They may address building regional associations and networks among women's organizations in several countries. For projects with Belarusian and Moldovan women's organizations, USIA will also consider project proposals that build bridges between women's groups in Central European countries, particularly Poland and Romania.

Prospective grantee institutions should identify the NIS local organizations and individuals with whom they are proposing to collaborate and describe in detail previous cooperative programming and contacts. Detailed information about the NIS organizations' activities and accomplishments in their own communities is also required. Program activity may take place either in the target countries and/or in the United States. These programs are intended to provide NIS women and women's groups opportunities to capitalize on their potential and to strengthen their collective voice in the political, social and democratic arena.

Women's Leadership Programs for Armenia, Azerbaijan, and Georgia

USIA is also interested in training programs for women leaders from the Caucasus region. The issues described in the above section on Russia, Ukraine, Belarus, and Moldova, largely apply to the Caucasus region. Programs should be designed to reflect these concerns. USIA is particularly interested in training proposals that have a regional focus: linking women together in the three countries of the Caucasus region.

Women's Leadership Programs for Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, and Uzbekistan

Again, the same general concerns regarding the status and role of women apply to these three Central Asian countries. Women in Central Asia are eager to work at the grassroots level to effect change in their communities.

For Organizations Which Received USIA Funding for Women's Leadership Training in FY98

USIA welcomes proposals from organizations which received FY98 funding to continue and extend the activity on current USIA Women's Leadership Programs. These follow-on proposals should outline a plan for implementing a more advanced phase of the program with an emphasis on true sustainability. Such proposals should also include an exchange component to complement in-country training programs.

For Russia and Ukraine

Prevention of Trafficking in Women

Trafficking of women and girls from the NIS has grown at an alarming rate. The U.S. Government is seeking to assist NIS governments and NGOs in the region to address the problem by: (1) Educating young women and girls about trafficking so that they will not fall victim to traffickers' tactics of coercion, fraud and deceit; (2) providing protection and assistance for victims; (3) enhancing the capability of law enforcement officials to combat trafficking.

Public attention in these countries is increasingly focused on this serious problem. Information campaigns, including the production and distribution of informational materials are seeking to inform the public about this issue. A major interagency initiative is underway in Ukraine. Efforts in other NIS countries are still nascent. USIA is seeking creative proposals which are designed to assist people in the region to meet the goals stated above: prevention, protection and prosecution. Proposals which show a strong knowledge about efforts that have already been implemented, which show an ability to integrate or otherwise use existing materials and human resources, and which outline a concrete plan for innovative programming with proven experience on the ground and the ability to reach populations in outlying regions are strongly encouraged. USIA is particularly interested in proposals which build an indigenous capacity to address the issue. Proposals developed in partnership with local NIS organizations will be given priority.

For Russia

Distance Learning in the Field of Business Management

USIA is looking for proposals that establish or expand distance learning programs in business and management at Russian universities or institutes

outside of Moscow. Programs which create new or continue existing partnerships with institutions participating in the Yeltsin Presidential Management Training Initiative (PMTI) will receive special consideration, in particular, the following institutions: Mordova State University Stavropol State Technical University Udmurtia State University Bashkir Consortium (Bashkir Academy of State Service, Ufa State University) Petrozavodsk State University Omsk State University Krasnoyarsk State University Kuzbass Consortium (Kemerovo State University) Ulyanovsk State University Yaroslavl State University Krasnodar Kray Consortium (Krasnodar Institute of Agrobusiness, Kuban State University) Perm State University Ryazan State Radio-Technical Academy

The beneficiaries of such a program would be both students and business people already working for Russian enterprises. Specific programs could include the delivery of management and business content through low-end technologies such as e-mail, CD Rom, video or text-based Internet, so that the project model might be replicated in other regions. Travel to the United States by Russian providers and travel to Russia by American course organizers is an essential component of these programs. Proposals should address in detail: technical requirements for delivery of business/management content through distance learning mechanisms, training requirements for instructors and faculty on utilization of the media (i.e., train the trainers), integration of appropriate print materials with a specific distance learning approach, and language of instruction issues. Modest purchases of equipment and software is acceptable in a proposal, subject to negotiations with USIA.

Proposals should demonstrate Russian institutional commitment (written letters of support) and tangible Russian cost-sharing in such things as space, security, salaries, and support for visiting Americans (local housing and transportation). Interested American organizations should plan trips to Russia of at least two weeks in duration to start programs and to monitor progress. Short-term visits of a few days duration are discouraged. Successful grantee institutions will be expected to consult closely with USIA and USIS Moscow to determine a list of final partner institutions.

For Russia

Management and Financial Reform of Russian Universities

Russian universities need advice on how to overhaul their own management structures to prepare for a fast approaching future of vanishing state and regional government subsidies. USIA is looking for proposals from U.S. institutions of higher learning with strong partnerships with Russian universities or with U.S. educational organizations with relevant experience in providing strategic advice to American educational institutions to work with Russian universities in designing strategies to become financially solvent. These could include strategies such as continuing education and other services to the local business community; licensing and commercialization of intellectual product; textbook publishing and software development; contract services to government; ongoing access to advice through the world wide web and other sources of support, and other sources of revenue generation. Practical "nuts and bolts" topics should also be considered: proper budgeting; collection of tuition and fees; long-range financial planning; creation of endowments. Proposals should not duplicate activities underwritten by the Soros Foundation or other Western funders engaged in educational reform in Russia.

For Russia and Ukraine

Ethics of the Public Sector

Government employees in Russia and Ukraine suffer a litany of ills: Low salaries which are rarely paid; difficult working conditions; lack of support from political leaders and senior administrators; and out-of-date equipment and records. For their part, citizens expect public servants to be unresponsive at best, and corrupt at worst. Education is needed for both the public and civil servants on what each can expect of the other. For the government side, this could include training in public relations, discussion of ethical standards, and strategies for improving government procedures. Citizen action could be encouraged in monitoring government performance, working through channels (rather than offering bribes), and lobbying elected leaders to create responsive, honest, and open government structures. Proposals should include training for public sector employees as well as concerned citizens, in separate and mixed groups, with participation of elected officials also desirable. While existing Russian and Ukrainian NGOs active in civic

affairs would be logical partners in this program, proposals which envision the creation of NGOs will be considered if the grantee can demonstrate success in grassroots organizing in Russia. Other possible partners would be schools, media, and business associations.

Visa Regulations

Foreign participants on programs sponsored by the Office of Citizen Exchanges Programs are granted J-1 Exchange Visitor visas by the U.S. Embassy in the sending country. All programs must comply with J-1 visa regulations. Please refer to Solicitation Package for further information.

Project Funding

Since USIA grant assistance constitutes only a portion of total project funding, proposals should list and provide evidence of other sources of financial and in-kind support. Proposals with substantial private sector support from foundations, corporations and other institutions will be considered highly competitive.

Applicants are encouraged to submit proposals not to exceed \$120,000. Because of the complexity of the Distance Learning Program, however USIA will consider funding in the \$150,000-\$200,000 range for initiatives addressing that topic. Organizations with less than four years of experience in managing international exchange programs are limited to \$60,000. Applicants are invited to provide both an all-inclusive budget as well as separate sub-budgets for each program component, phase, location or activity in order to facilitate USIA decisions on funding. While a comprehensive line item budget based on the model in the Solicitation Package must be submitted, separate component budgets are optional.

The following project costs are eligible for consideration for funding:

1. International and domestic air fares; visas; transit costs; ground transportation costs.

2. Per Diem. For the U.S. program, organizations have the option of using a flat \$160/day for program participants or the published U.S. Federal per diem rates for individual U.S. cities. For activities outside of the U.S., the published Federal per diem rates must be used. NOTE: U.S. escorting staff must use the published Federal per diem rates, not the flat rate. Per diem rates may be accessed at <http://www.policyworks.gov/>.

3. Interpreters: If needed, interpreters for the U.S. program are provided by the U.S. State Department Language Services Division. Typically, a pair of

simultaneous interpreters is provided for every four visitors who need interpretation. USIA grants do not pay for foreign interpreters to accompany delegations from their home country. Grant proposal budgets should contain a flat \$160/day per diem for each Department of State interpreter, as well as home-program-home air transportation of \$400 per interpreter plus any U.S. travel expenses during the program. Salary expenses are covered centrally and should not be a part of the applicant's proposed budget.

4. Book and cultural allowance. Participants are entitled to and escorts are reimbursed a one-time cultural allowance of \$150 per person, plus a participant book allowance of \$50. U.S. Staff do not receive these benefits.

5. Consultants. Consultants may be used to provide specialized expertise or to make presentations. Daily honoraria generally do not exceed \$250 per day. Subcontracting organizations may also be used, in which case the written agreement between the prospective grantee and subcontractor should be included in the proposal.

6. Room rental. Room rental should not exceed \$250 per day.

7. Materials development. Proposals may contain costs to purchase, develop and translate materials for participants.

8. One working meal per project. Per Capita costs may not exceed \$5-\$8 for a lunch and \$14-\$20 for a dinner, excluding room rental. The number of invited guests may not exceed participants by more than a factor of two-to-one.

9. A return travel allowance of \$70 may be provided to each participant to be used for incidental expenditures during international travel.

10. All USIA-funded delegates will be covered under the terms of USIA-sponsored health insurance policy. The premium is paid by USIA directly to the insurance company.

11. Administrative Costs. Other costs necessary for the effective administration of the program including salaries for grant organization employees, benefits and other direct and indirect costs as described in the detailed instructions in the application package. While this announcement does not proscribe a rigid ratio of administrative to program costs, in general, priority will be given to proposals whose administrative costs are less than twenty-five (25) percent of the total requested from USIA. Proposals should show cost-sharing, including both contributions from the applicant and from other sources.

Please refer to the Application Package for complete budget guidelines.

Review Process

USIA will acknowledge receipt of all proposals and will review them for technical eligibility. Proposals will be deemed ineligible if they do not fully adhere to the guidelines stated herein and in the Solicitation Package. All eligible proposals will be reviewed by the program office, as well as the USIA Office of East European and NIS Affairs and the USIA post overseas, where appropriate. Eligible proposals will be forwarded to panels of USIA officers for advisory review. Proposals may also be reviewed by the Office of the General Counsel or by other Agency elements. Final funding decisions are at the discretion of USIA's Associate Director for Educational and Cultural Affairs. Final technical authority for assistance awards (grants or cooperative agreements) resides with the USIA Grants Officer.

Review Criteria

Technically eligible applications will be competitively reviewed according to the criteria stated below. These criteria are not rank ordered.

1. Program Planning and Ability To Achieve Objectives

Program objectives should be stated clearly and precisely and should reflect the applicant's expertise in the subject area and the region. Objectives should respond to the priority topics in this announcement and should relate to the current conditions in the included countries. Objectives should be reasonable and attainable. A detailed work plan should explain step by step how objectives will be achieved, including a timetable for completion of major tasks and activities and an outline of the selection process. The substance of the seminars, presentations, workshops, consulting, internships and itineraries should be spelled out in detail. Responsibilities of in-country partners should be clearly described.

2. Multiplier Effect/Impact

Proposed programs should strengthen long-term mutual understanding, including maximum sharing of information and establishment of long-term institutional and individual linkages.

3. Support of Diversity

Proposals should demonstrate substantive support of the Bureau's policy on diversity. Achievable and relevant features should be cited in both program administration (selection of participants, program venue and program evaluation) and program content (orientation and wrap-up

sessions, program meetings, resource materials and follow-up activities).

4. Institutional Capability

Proposed personnel and institutional resources should be adequate and appropriate to achieve the program's goals. The narrative should demonstrate proven ability to handle logistics. Proposals should reflect the institution's expertise in the subject area and knowledge of the conditions in the targeted region(s).

5. Follow-on Activities

Proposals should provide a plan for continued follow-on activity (without USIA support) ensuring that USIA supported programs are not isolated events.

6. Project Evaluation

Proposals should include a plan and methodology to evaluate the program's successes, both as activities unfold and at the end of the program. USIA recommends that the proposals include a draft survey questionnaire or other technique plus description and/or plan for use of another measurement technique (such as a focus group) to link outcomes to original project objectives.

7. Cost-Effectiveness and Cost Sharing

Overhead and administrative costs in the proposal, including salaries, subcontracts for services and honoraria, should be kept low. Proposals should maximize cost-sharing through other private sector support as well as institutional direct funding contributions.

Notice: The terms and conditions published in this RFP are binding and may not be modified by any USIA representative. Explanatory information provided by the Agency that contradicts published language will not be binding. Issuance of the RFP does not constitute an award commitment on the part of the Government. The Agency reserves the right to reduce, revise or increase proposal budgets in accordance with the needs of the program and the availability of funds. Awards made will

be subject to periodic reporting and evaluation requirements. Organizations will be expected to cooperate with USIA in evaluating their programs under the principles of the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, which requires federal agencies to measure and report on the results of their programs and activities.

Notification

Final awards cannot be made until funds have been appropriated by Congress, allocated and committed through internal USIA procedures.

Dated: October 2, 1998.

Judith Siegel,

Deputy Associate Director for Educational and Cultural Affairs.

[FR Doc. 98-27032 Filed 10-7-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8230-01-M

UTAH RECLAMATION MITIGATION AND CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Notice of Availability of the Draft Environmental Assessment for the Construction of the Diamond Fork Campground; Utah County, UT

AGENCY: The Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission (Mitigation Commission) and the Spanish Fork Ranger District of the Uinta National Forest, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

ACTION: Notice of availability of the draft environmental assessment.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) issued a Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) in 1984 and a Final Supplement to the Final EIS in 1990 for the Diamond Fork System recommending among other things, the construction of a campground and associated recreation facilities in Diamond Fork Canyon to mitigate for camping facilities impacted by the construction activities and to provide recreational opportunities for growing populations along the Wasatch Front.

The Spanish Fork Ranger District of the Uinta National Forest and the Mitigation Commission released an Environmental Assessment (EA) dated February 23, 1997, describing the environmental effects of a proposal to redesign and upgrade the existing Diamond and Palmyra campgrounds. Based on public and agency input, the Spanish Fork Ranger District and the Mitigation Commission have revised the EA to incorporate a new alternative that responds to concerns raised. The new proposal would rehabilitate the existing Diamond and Palmyra Campgrounds, yet reduce the capacity approximately by 33%. This is a significant change from the previous proposal where the campground capacity would have been increased by approximately 46%. This change in the proposal reduces the impacts on riparian vegetation and minimizes the potential impacts on future stream restoration efforts. These were the two primary concerns raised by agencies and the public during the initial release of the EA.

A pre-decisional EA was prepared jointly by the U.S. Forest Service and the Commission and released for public review on September 28, 1998. A 30-day public comment period closed on October 28, 1998.

DATES: Comments are most useful if received by October 28, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Copies of the the Draft EA or Executive Summary can be obtained at the address and telephone number below: Richard Mingo, Natural Resource Specialist, Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission, 102 West 500 South, Suite 315, Salt Lake City, UT 84101-2328, Telephone: (801) 524-3146.

Dated: October 1, 1998.

Michael C. Weland,

Executive Director, Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission.

[FR Doc. 98-27014 Filed 10-7-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-P