

jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be necessary for Maritimes to appear or be represented at the hearing.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-26956 Filed 10-7-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP98-724-000]

#### Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline, L.L.C.; Supplemental Notice of Application

October 2, 1998.

Take notice that the processing procedure for the above referenced proceeding has been changed. As originally filed on August 13, 1998, and supplemented on August 20, 1998, Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline, L.L.C. (Maritimes), filed its request in this proceeding pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.211(b)) (blanket certificate—prior notice).<sup>1</sup> On October 1, 1998, Maritimes filed a letter with the Commission which requested that the Commission process its filing in this proceeding pursuant to Sections 157.7 of the Commission's Regulations (18 CFR 157.7) (abbreviated Section 7(c) certificate application).

This change in processing procedure is required because Maritimes has added a request to this proceeding which is not appropriate under the blanket certificate—prior notice procedure. Maritimes now requests

approval, under Section 154.112(b) of the Commission's Regulations, of a service agreement provision that may constitute a material deviation from Maritimes's Rate Schedule MN365 form of service agreement. In this proceeding, Article III of the service agreement for Gorham Energy Limited Partnership (Gorham Energy) provides that, because Gorham Energy is only paying for capacity on the Gorham Delivery Point Lateral, Gorham Energy's rights under Maritimes' tariff including capacity rights, capacity release rights, and flexible point rights relate only to Gorham Energy's capacity on the Gorham Delivery Point Lateral. The Commission can consider such a request if the processing of this proceeding is under the abbreviated Section 7(c) certificate application process.

No other changes in Maritimes proposal has been made and the due date for any person to file a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and/or protest pursuant to Rules 214 and/or 211 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214 and 385.211) remains October 13, 1998, the due date originally proscribed under the blanket certificate—prior notice procedure.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-26957 Filed 10-7-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP98-423-000]

#### Mississippi River Transmission Corporation; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

October 2, 1998.

Take notice that on September 3, 1998, Mississippi River Transmission Corporation (MRT) tendered for filing as part of its Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, the tariff sheets listed below to be effective November 1, 1998.

Thirty First Revised Sheet No. 5  
Thirty First Revised Sheet No. 6  
Twenty Eighth Revised Sheet No. 7

MRT states that the purpose of this filing is to remove MRT's Gas Supply Realignment Costs (GSRC) surcharges included in MRT's Firm Transportation rates and in that portion that MRT collects GSRC in its volumetric rates, pursuant to Section 16.3 of the General Terms and Conditions of MRT's FERC Gas Tariff. MRT further states that the removal of these charges does not foreclose MRT from making future

GSRC recovery filings, as reflected in its General Terms and Conditions of its Tariff.

MRT states that a copy of this filing is being mailed to each of MRT's customers and to the state commissions of Arkansas, Illinois and Missouri.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC, 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-26944 Filed 10-7-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. TM99-1-16-000]

#### National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation; Notice of Tariff Filing

October 2, 1998.

Take notice that on September 30, 1998, National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation (National) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Fourth Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheet to become, effective October 1, 1998.

Twelfth Revised Sheet No. 9

National asserts that the purpose of this filing is to comply with the Commission's order issued February 16, 1996, in Docket Nos. RP94-367-000, et al. Under Article I, Section 4, of the settlement approved in that order, National must redetermine quarterly the Amortization Surcharge to reflect revisions in the Plant to be Amortized, interest and associated taxes, and a change in the determinants. The recalculation produced an Amortization Surcharge of 10.92 cents per dth.

National Fuel states that copies of its filing have been served upon all

<sup>1</sup> See Notice of Request under Blanket Certificate, issued by Commission on August 27, 1998, and published in the **Federal Register** on September 2, 1998, at 63 FR 46781.

customers on the service list and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commissions Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-26951 Filed 10-7-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. TM99-1-31-000]

#### NorAm Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

October 2, 1998.

Take notice that on September 30, 1998, NorAm Gas Transmission Company (NGT) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Fourth Revised Volume No. 1, the following revised tariff sheets to be effective November 1, 1998:

Thirteenth Revised Sheet No. 5  
Thirteenth Revised Sheet No. 6

NGT states that the purpose of this filing is to adjust NGTs fuel percentages pursuant to Section 21 of its General Terms and Conditions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings.

Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-26952 Filed 10-7-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP98-419-000]

#### OkTex Pipeline Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

October 2, 1998.

Take notice that on September 29, 1998, OkTex Pipeline Company (OkTex) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, the tariff sheets listed on the Appendix to the filing, with an effective date of November 2, 1998.

OkTex states that the filing of the tariff sheets are in compliance with the Commission's directives in Order No., 587-H.

OkTex states that the tariff sheets reflect the changes to OkTex's tariff that resulted from the Gas Industry Standards Board's (GISB) consensus standards that were adopted by the Commission in its July 15, 1998 Order No. 587-H in Docket No. RM96-1-008. OkTex further states that Order No. 587-H contemplates that OkTex will implement the GISB consensus standards for November 1998 business, and that the tariff sheets therefore reflect an effective date of November 2, 1998.

OkTex states that copies of the filing have been mailed to all affected customers and state regulatory commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public

inspection in the Public Reference Room.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-26940 Filed 10-7-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP98-804-000]

#### Paiute Pipeline Company; Notice of Application

October 2, 1998.

Take notice that on September 28, 1998, Paiute Pipeline Company (Paiute), P.O. Box 94197, Las Vegas, Nevada 89193-4197, filed an application pursuant to sections 7(b) and 7(C) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and part 157 of the Commission's Regulations thereunder for an order granting a certificate of public convenience and necessity and permission and approval to abandon facilities, so as to enable Paiute to relocate a segment of its existing North Tahoe Lateral Pipeline Facilities in Washoe County, Nevada, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Paiute proposes to construct and operate approximately 3,225 feet of new 8-inch replacement pipeline on its North Tahoe Lateral and to abandon in place approximately 2,925 feet of existing 8-inch pipeline in the same vicinity. Paiute states that the existing 8-inch pipeline was constructed in 1966, and that a portion of the segment to be abandoned lies within a stream zone. Paiute proposes to relocate the existing 8-inch pipeline segment into the same alignment and right-of-way utilized by a new 16-inch loop pipeline that Paiute installed in a nearby right-of-way, outside of the stream zone, in 1997.

Paiute states that the proposed relocation project will enable Paiute to avoid encroachment on its existing 8-inch pipeline that will occur as a result of the proposed residential development of the surrounding property. Paiute further states that by consolidating its two pipelines in the area into a common right-of-way, the relocation project will enable Paiute to conduct more efficient pipeline maintenance activities in the area, will permit other uses of the original pipeline right-of-way property, and will provide long-term environmental and cost benefits in that Paiute will be able to avoid conducting future maintenance activities in the stream zone.