

participant, as defined in 18 CFR 385.102(b) is invited to attend.

The purpose of the conference is to discuss minimum flow recommendations made by the U.S. Department of the Interior prior to issuing new project licenses.

For further information, contact Nan Allen (202) 219-2938 or David Dunlap (202) 208-2138.

**David P. Boergers,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-26671 Filed 10-5-98; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP94-162-006]

#### High Island Offshore System; Notice of Motion for Extension of Time

September 30, 1998.

Take notice that on September 18, 1998, High Island Offshore System (HIOS), pursuant to Rules 212 and 2008 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the Commission, 18 CFR 385.212 and 385.2008, tendered for filing a request for an extension of time for the filing of its next general rate case pursuant to Section 4(e) of the Natural Gas Act.

HIOS states that by Letter Order issued September 11, 1995, the Commission approved an uncontested settlement in the captioned rate proceeding. Article V of the uncontested settlement provided that HIOS would file its next general rate case under Section 4(e) "by the end of three (3) years of the date of a final, non-appealable Commission order approving the Agreement without conditions unacceptable to HIOS".

HIOS requests that the deadline in the captioned proceeding by which it must file its next general rate case under Section 4(e) of the Act be extended to no later than January 1, 2003, and that the time period for filing answers to the uncontested motion be shortened to the maximum extent possible.

Any person desiring to file an answer to this filing should file an answer with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 385.213 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such answers must be filed within 15 days after HIOS' motion was filed. Answers will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make persons who file answers parties to the proceedings.

Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

**David P. Boergers,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-26674 Filed 10-5-98; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP98-418-000]

#### Koch Gateway Pipeline Company; Notice of Proposed Changes to FERC Gas Tariff

September 30, 1998.

Take notice that on September 28, 1998, Koch Gateway Pipeline Company (Koch) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets, to become effective September 7, 1998.

Fourth Revised Sheet No. 304  
Fifth Revised Sheet No. 1500  
Seventh Revised Sheet No. 1501

Koch states that it is filing the above mentioned tariff sheets to incorporate all tariff changes previously approved in Docket Nos. RP97-373 and RP98-274.

Koch states that copies of this filing have been served upon Koch's customers, state commissions and other interested parties.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

**David P. Boergers,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-26676 Filed 10-5-98; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP98-799-000]

#### Northwest Pipeline Corporation; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

September 30, 1998.

Take notice that on September 24, 1998, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest), 295 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, Utah 84108, filed in Docket No. CP98-799-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.211 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211 and 157.216) for authorization to upgrade its Richland "Y" Meter Station in Benton County, Washington, to better accommodate existing firm service delivery obligations to Cascade Natural Gas Corporation (Cascade), under Northwest's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-433-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Northwest states that the facilities at the Richland "Y" Meter Station are obsolete and undersized and need to be replaced. Therefore, Northwest proposes to remove the two existing 1-inch regulators, the existing 3-inch positive displacement meter, the existing relief valve, the existing line heater and appurtenances and install upgraded replacement facilities consisting of two new 1-inch regulators with 35 percent trim, a new 3-inch turbine meter, a new 2-inch x 3-inch full bore relief valve, a new 250,000 Btu per hour line heater and appurtenances. Northwest states that as a result of this proposed upgrade, the maximum design capacity of the meter station will increase from approximately 350 Dth per day at 150 psig to approximately 1,550 Dth per day at 150 psig, as limited by the regulators.

Northwest further states that the total cost of the proposed facility upgrade is estimated to be approximately \$101,404, including the cost of removing the old facilities.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor,

the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

**David P. Boergers,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-26668 Filed 10-5-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP98-417-000]

#### **PG&E Gas Transmission, Northwest Corporation; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff**

September 30, 1998.

Take notice that on September 25, 1998, PG&E Gas Transmission, Northwest Corporation (PG&E GT-NW) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1-A, Twentythird Revised Sheet No. 4.

PG&E GT-NW states that this tariff sheets is filed to modify the rate for service under Rate Schedule FTS-1(E-2) (WWP) in accordance with the negotiated rate formula for that service as specified in PG&E GT-NW's tariff. PG&E GT-NW requests that the above-referenced tariff sheets become effective November 1, 1998.

PG&E GT-NW further states that a copy of this filing has been served on PG&E GT-NW's jurisdictional customers and interested state regulatory agencies.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public

inspection in the Public Reference Room.

**David P. Boergers,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-26675 Filed 10-5-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project Nos. 135, 2195]

#### **Portland General Electric Company, Portland, Oregon; Notice of Portland General Electric Company's Request To Use Alternative Procedures in Filing a License Application**

September 30, 1998.

By letter dated September 1, 1998, Portland General Electric Company (PGE) of Portland, Oregon asked to use an alternative procedure in filing an application for a new license for its Oak Grove Project No. 135 and North Fork Project No. 2195.<sup>1</sup> PGE has demonstrated that they made a reasonable effort to contact the resource agencies, Indian tribes, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and others who may be affected by their proposal, and has submitted a communication protocol governing how participants in the proposed process may communicate with each other. PGE has also submitted evidence of support for their proposal, and it appears that a consensus exists that the use of an alternative procedure is appropriate in this case.

The purpose of this notice is to invite any additional comments on PGE's request to use the alternative procedure, as required under the final rule for Regulations for the Licensing of Hydroelectric Projects.<sup>2</sup> Additional notices seeking comments on the specific project proposal, interventions and protests, and recommended terms

<sup>1</sup> The 44-megawatt Oak Grove project consists of a 100-foot-high dam at the lower end of Timothy Lake, and a 68-foot-high diversion dam below Lake Harriet—both on the Oak Grove Fork of the Clackamas River. The Powerhouse is located on the Clackamas River. The Oak Grove project is located on U.S. Forest Service land. The 121-megawatt North Fork Project is comprised of three developments on the Clackamas River: a 206-foot-high dam with the powerhouse located at the lower end of North Fork Reservoir; a 47-foot-high dam with the powerhouse located at the lower end of Faraday Lake; and a 85-foot-high dam with powerhouse located at the lower end of Estacada Lake. The North Fork project is located on U.S. Forest Service land and Bureau of Land Management land.

<sup>2</sup> 81 FERC 61,103 (1997).

and conditions will be issued at a later date.

The alternative procedure being requested here combines the prefiling consultation process with the environmental review process, allowing the applicant to file a Third Party Contractor prepared Environmental Impact Statement (TPC-EIS) in lieu of Exhibit E of the license application. This differs from the traditional process, in which the applicant consults with agencies, Indian tribes, and NGOs during preparation of the application for the license and before filing it, but the Commission staff performs the environmental review after the application is filed. The alternative procedure is intended to simplify and expedite the licensing process by combining the prefiling consultation and environmental review processes into a single process, to facilitate greater participation, and to improve communication and cooperation among the participants. The alternative procedure can be tailored to the particular project under consideration.

#### **TPC-EIS Process and the Oak Grove and North Fork Projects Schedule**

PGE has begun working collaboratively with the various interested entities to identify issues that will need to be addressed and studies that will need to be conducted in relicensing the project. An initial information package will be disseminated to all interested parties in February 1999. Site visits of the project will be conducted in May 1999. Identification of issues and issuance of Scoping Document 1 will occur in December 1999. A Public Scoping Meeting will be held January 2000. Notice of the scoping meeting will be published at least 30 days prior to the meeting.

Studies will be conducted beginning April 1999, and continue through 2003. Opportunities for requesting additional studies will be noticed at least 30 days prior to any study request deadline. A draft license application with preliminary EIS would be distributed for comment in August 2003. The final license application and EIS must be filed with the Commission on or before August 31, 2004, two years before the expiration date on the existing license. A more detailed schedule and project description was distributed by PGE on September 1, 1998, to all parties expressing interest in the proceeding. Copies of the schedule and project description may be obtained from Portland General Electric, Hydro Licensing and Water Rights Office, 121