

requested for each pertinent Subject Country. As used below, the term "firm" includes any related firms.

(1) The name and address of your firm or entity (including World Wide Web address if available) and name, telephone number, fax number, and E-mail address of the certifying official.

(2) A statement indicating whether your firm/entity is a U.S. producer of the Domestic Like Product, a U.S. union or worker group, a U.S. importer of the Subject Merchandise, a foreign producer or exporter of the Subject Merchandise, a U.S. or foreign trade or business association, or another interested party (including an explanation). If you are a union/worker group or trade/business association, identify the firms in which your workers are employed or which are members of your association.

(3) A statement indicating whether your firm/entity is willing to participate in these reviews by providing information requested by the Commission.

(4) A statement of the likely effects of the revocation of the antidumping duty orders on the Domestic Industry in general and/or your firm/entity specifically. In your response, please discuss the various factors specified in section 752(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. § 1675a(a)) including the likely volume of subject imports, likely price effects of subject imports, and likely impact of imports of Subject Merchandise on the Domestic Industry.

(5) A list of all known and currently operating U.S. producers of the Domestic Like Product. Identify any known related parties and the nature of the relationship as defined in section 771(4)(B) of the Act (19 U.S.C. § 1677(4)(B)).

(6) A list of all known and currently operating U.S. importers of the Subject Merchandise and producers of the Subject Merchandise in Japan that currently export or have exported Subject Merchandise to the United States or other countries since 1970. A list of all known and currently operating U.S. importers of the Subject Merchandise and producers of the Subject Merchandise in Korea and Taiwan that currently export or have exported Subject Merchandise to the United States or other countries since 1983.

(7) If you are a U.S. producer of the Domestic Like Product, provide the following information on your firm's operations on that product during calendar year 1997 (report quantity data in thousands of units and value data in thousands of U.S. dollars, f.o.b. plant). If you are a union/worker group or trade/business association, provide the

information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms in which your workers are employed/which are members of your association.

(a) Production (quantity) and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total U.S. production of the Domestic Like Product accounted for by your firm's(s') production; and

(b) the quantity and value of U.S. commercial shipments of the Domestic Like Product produced in your U.S. plant(s).

(8) If you are a U.S. importer or a trade/business association of U.S. importers of the Subject Merchandise from the Subject Countries, provide the following information on your firm's(s') operations on that product during calendar year 1997 (report quantity data in thousands of units and value data in thousands of U.S. dollars). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) The quantity and value (landed, duty-paid but not including antidumping or countervailing duties) of U.S. imports and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total U.S. imports of Subject Merchandise from the Subject Countries accounted for by your firm's(s') imports; and

(b) the quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping and/or countervailing duties) of U.S. commercial shipments of Subject Merchandise imported from the Subject Countries.

(9) If you are a producer, an exporter, or a trade/business association of producers or exporters of the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Countries, provide the following information on your firm's(s') operations on that product during calendar year 1997 (report quantity data in thousands of units and value data in thousands of U.S. dollars, landed and duty-paid at the U.S. port but not including antidumping or countervailing duties). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) Production (quantity) and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total production of Subject Merchandise in the Subject Countries accounted for by your firm's(s') production; and

(b) the quantity and value of your firm's(s') exports to the United States of Subject Merchandise and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total exports to the United States of Subject Merchandise<sup>1</sup> from the Subject Countries accounted for by your firm's(s') exports.

(10) Identify significant changes, if any, in the supply and demand conditions or business cycle for the Domestic Like Product that have occurred in the United States or in the market for the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Countries since the Order Dates, and significant changes, if any, that are likely to occur within a reasonably foreseeable time. Supply conditions to consider include technology; production methods; development efforts; ability to increase production (including the shift of production facilities used for other products and the use, cost, or availability of major inputs into production); and factors related to the ability to shift supply among different national markets (including barriers to importation in foreign markets or changes in market demand abroad). Demand conditions to consider include end uses and applications; the existence and availability of substitute products; and the level of competition among the Domestic Like Product produced in the United States, Subject Merchandise produced in the Subject Countries, and such merchandise from other countries.

(11) (OPTIONAL) A statement of whether you agree with the above definitions of the Domestic Like Product and Domestic Industry; if you disagree with either or both of these definitions, please explain why and provide alternative definitions.

**Authority:** These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.61 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: September 22, 1998.

By order of the Commission.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-26325 Filed 9-30-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Second Amendment to May 24, 1994 Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act

Under 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on September 28, 1998, a proposed Second Amendment to the May 24, 1994 Consent Decree ("Second Amendment") in *United States and State of Michigan v. Wayne County et al.*, Civil Action No. 87-70992, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan.

The United States and the State of Michigan asserted claims in this case under the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C.

1251 *et seq.*, against Wayne County, Michigan, and 13 addition municipalities that send wastewater to the Wayne's Treatment Plant (the "Plant"). The case was resolved in 1994 by a Consent Decree pursuant to which defendants agreed to attain and maintain compliance with the Plant's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit limits and to comply with Decree-mandated interim limits during construction of Plant and collection-system improvements. On March 3, 1998, the Court entered a Amendment to the 1994 Consent decree providing for, among other things, the construction of an ultraviolet radiation ("UV") disinfection system to replace the current chlorination/dechlorination facilities.

In the course of planning to build the UV system, the defendants determined that they cannot continue to dechlorinate the Plant's effluent while constructing the UV disinfection system, due to physical space limitations at the Plant. Without dechlorinating, the Plant will not meet its 0.5 mg/l total residual chlorine ("TRC") limit. To resolve this issue, the proposed Second Amendment would allow the Plant to suspend compliance with its TRC limit during construction of the UV disinfection system, but would require the Plant to implement an Interim Chlorine Control Plan to minimize the use of chlorine while the TRC limit is suspended, to ensure that the federal and state regulators are kept informed regarding the plant's implementation of the Interim Plan, and to keep potentially affected downriver communities informed regarding the interim change in Wayne County's chlorine discharge limit. The Second Amendment also provides for stipulated penalties for failure to complete construction of the UV disinfection system on schedule, to submit the required Interim Chlorine Control Plan, or to submit required monthly reports regarding the Plan's implementation.

The court has directed the parties to seek entry of the proposed Second Amendment on or before October 15, 1998. Accordingly, pursuant to 28 CFR 50.7(c), the Department of Justice will receive for the period ending October 12, 1998, at 5:00 p.m., comments relating to the Second Amendment. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the

Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to *United States and State of Michigan v. Wayne County et al.*, D.J. Ref. 90-5-1-1-2766.

The Second Amendment may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Eastern District of Michigan, 211 W. Fort Street, Suit 2300, Detroit, MI 48226, at U.S. EPA Region 5, 77 West Jackson Blvd., Chicago, Illinois, 60604, and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 3rd Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the Second Amendment may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 3rd Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$6.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the Consent Decree Library.

**Joel M. Gross,**

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section/  
Environment and Natural Resources Division.  
[FR Doc. 98-26307 Filed 9-30-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### **United States of America v. Medical Mutual of Ohio; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement**

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. Section 16 (b) through (h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio, in *United States of America v. Medical Mutual of Ohio*, Civil Action No. 1:98-CV-2172. On Sept. 23, 1998, the United States filed a Complaint against Medical Mutual of Ohio alleging that Medical Mutual had unreasonably restrained competition in the greater Cleveland area in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. 1. The proposed Final Judgment, filed the same time as the Complaint, restrains Medical Mutual from enforcing a Most Favored Rates requirement and from requiring its participating hospitals in the Cleveland area to disclose to Medical Mutual the rates such hospitals offer or charge any

payers. Copies of the Complaint, proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement are available for inspection at the Department of Justice in Washington, DC in Room 400, 325 Seventh Street, NW., and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio, Ohio.

Public comment is invited within 60 days of the date of this notice. Such comments, and responses thereto, will be published in the **Federal Register** and filed with the Court. Comments should be directed to Gail Kursh, Chief, Healthcare Task Force, 325 Seventh Street, NW., Room 404, Antitrust Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, (telephone (202) 307-5799).

**Rebecca P. Dick,**

Director of Civil Non-Merger Enforcement.

### **Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment**

It is stipulated by and between the undersigned parties, by their respective attorneys, that:

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over both of the parties, and venue of this action is proper in the Northern District of Ohio.

2. The parties consent that a Final Judgment in the form attached may be filed and entered by the Court, upon the motion of either party or upon the Court's own action, at any time after compliance with the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (15 U.S.C. 16), and without further notice to any party or other proceedings, provided that Plaintiff has not withdrawn its consent, which it may do at any time before the entry of the proposed Final Judgment by serving notice thereof on Defendant and by filing that notice with the Court.

3. If Plaintiff withdraws its consent, or if the proposed Final Judgment is not entered pursuant to the terms of this Stipulation, this Stipulation shall be of no effect whatsoever, and the making of this Stipulation shall be without prejudice to either party in this or in any other proceeding.

4. Defendant agrees to be bound by the provisions of the proposed Final Judgment pending its approval by the Court.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_.