following two pilot programs starting on November 1, 1995, and ending on October 31, 1996.

- 1. Timely Service. This pilot program allows official agencies to provide official services to facilities outside their assigned geographic area on a case-by-case basis when these official services cannot be provided in a timely manner by the official agency designated to serve that area.
- 2. Open Season. This pilot program allows official agencies to offer their services to facilities outside their assigned geographic area where no official sample-lot or official weighing services have been provided in the previous 6 months.

In the October 3, 1996, **Federal Register** (61 FR 51674) GIPSA extended the pilot programs to October 31, 1999.

In the January 15, 1998, **Federal Register** (63 FR 2360) GIPSA announced a pilot program allowing barges on all rivers to be sampled by probe by any official agency effective March 1, 1998, and ending October 31, 1999, concurrently with the two existing pilot programs.

GIPSA has evaluated these three pilot programs and believes that they have not had an adverse impact on the official system. However, participation in the pilot programs has been light, especially during the first 2 years. Participation in the third year of the pilot programs is already greater than the total of the first 2 years combined. GIPSA is still collecting and analyzing information to determine if exclusive

boundaries should be maintained as they are, eliminated, or modified. GIPSA believes that it needs additional time to evaluate the impact of allowing more than one official inspection agency to operate in a geographic area. Accordingly, GIPSA is extending the pilot programs to September 30, 2000, the end of the 1999 fiscal year.

The three pilot program provisions will remain the same as announced in the September 27, 1995, and January 15, 1998, **Federal Register's.** 

GIPSA will continue to monitor and evaluate the pilot programs. If, at any time, GIPSA determines that any pilot program is having a negative impact on the official system or is not working as intended, the program may be modified or discontinued.

**Authority:** Pub. L. 94–582, 90 Stat. 2867, as amended (7 U.S.C. 71 *et seq.*).

Dated: September 22, 1998.

# Neil E. Porter,

Director, Compliance Division.
[FR Doc. 98–26091 Filed 9–30–98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3410–EN–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## **International Trade Administration**

# Notice of Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department of Commerce ("the Department") is automatically initiating five-year ("sunset") reviews of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders, findings, and/or suspended investigations listed below. The International Trade Commission ("the Commission") is publishing concurrently with this notice its notices of Institution of Five-Year Reviews covering these same orders and/or suspended investigations.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Melissa G. Skinner, Scott E. Smith, or Martha V. Douthit, Office of Policy, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, at (202) 482–1560, (202) 482–6397 or (202) 482–3207, respectively, or Vera Libeau, Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Commission, at (202) 205-3176.

# **Initiation of Reviews**

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.218 (see Procedures for Conducting Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders, 63 FR 13516 (March 20, 1998)), we are initiating sunset reviews of the following antidumping and countervailing duty orders, findings, or suspended investigations:

DOC Case No.	ITC Case No.	Country	Product
C-408-046 A-423-077 A-427-078 A-428-082 A-122-085 A-588-015 A-580-008 A-583-009 A-588-090 A-427-098 A-427-098 A-427-091 A-588-005 A-428-061 A-570-007	C4-7 AA-198 AA-199 AA-200 A-3 AA-66 A-134 A-135 A-7 A-25 A-44 A-48 A-31 A-149	EC Belgium France Germany Canada Japan Korea (South) Taiwan Japan France France Japan Germany China, PR	Sugar. Sugar. Sugar. Sugar. Sugar. Sugar & Syrups. Television Receivers. Color Television Receivers. Color Television Receivers. Small Electric Motors (SA). Anhydrous Sodium Metasilicate. Sorbitol. High Power Microwave Amplifiers. Barium Carbonate. Barium Chloride.

# **Statute and Regulations**

Pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Act, an antidumping ("AD") or countervailing duty ("CVD") order will be revoked, or the suspended investigation will be terminated, unless revocation or termination would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of (1) dumping or a countervailable subsidy, and (2) material injury to the domestic industry.

The Department's procedures for the conduct of sunset reviews are set forth in Procedures for Conducting Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders, 63 FR 13516 (March 20, 1998) ("Sunset Regulations"). Guidance on methodological or analytical issues

relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98:3—
Policies Regarding the Conduct of Fiveyear ("Sunset") Reviews of
Antidumping and Countervailing Duty
Orders; Policy Bulletin, 63 FR 18871
(April 16, 1998) ("Sunset Policy Bulletin").

# **Filing Information**

As a courtesy, we are making information related to sunset proceedings, including copies of the *Sunset Regulations* and *Sunset Policy Bulletin*, the Department's schedule of sunset reviews, case history information (e.g., previous margins, duty absorption determinations, scope language, import volumes), and service lists, available to the public on the Department's sunset internet website at the following address: "http://www.ita.doc.gov/import\_admin/records/sunset/".

Åll submissions in the sunset review must be filed in accordance with the Department's regulations regarding format, translation, service, and certification of documents. These rules can be found at 19 CFR 351.303 (1998). Also, we suggest that parties check the Department's sunset website for any updates to the service list before filing any submissions. We ask that parties notify the Department in writing of any additions or corrections to the list. We also would appreciate written notification if you no longer represent a party on the service list.

Because deadlines in a sunset review are, in many instances, very short, we urge interested parties to apply for access to proprietary information under administrative protective order ("APO") immediately following publication in the Federal Register of the notice of initiation of the sunset review. The Department's regulations on submission of proprietary information and eligibility to receive access to business proprietary information under APO can be found at 19 CFR 351.304-306 (see Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Administrative Protective Order Procedures; Procedures for Imposing Sanctions for Violation of a Protective Order, 63 FR 24391 (May 4, 1998)).

# **Information Required From Interested Parties**

Domestic interested parties (defined in 19 CFR 351.102 (1998)) wishing to participate in the sunset review must respond not later than 15 days after the date of publication in the Federal **Register** of the notice of initiation by filing a notice of intent to participate. The required contents of the notice of intent to participate are set forth in the Sunset Regulations at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(ii). In accordance with the Sunset Regulations, if we do not receive a notice of intent to participate from at least one domestic interested party by the 15-day deadline, the Department will automatically revoke the order without further review.

If we receive a notice of intent to participate from a domestic interested party, the Sunset Regulations provide that all parties wishing to participate in the sunset review must file substantive responses not later than 30 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of initiation. The required contents of a substantive response are set forth in the Sunset Regulations at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3). Note that certain information requirements differ for foreign and domestic parties. Also, note that the Department's information requirements are distinct from the International Trade Commission's information requirements. Please consult the Sunset Regulations for information regarding the Department's conduct of sunset reviews.1 Please consult the Department's regulations at 19 CFR Part 351 (1998) for definitions of terms and for other general information concerning antidumping and countervailing duty proceedings at the Department.

This notice of initiation is being published in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(c).

Dated: September 25, 1998.

# Richard W. Moreland,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98-26322 Filed 9-30-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# **International Trade Administration**

# University of Vermont; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 98–041. Applicant: University of Vermont, Burlington, VT 05405–0084. Instrument: Roentgen Stereophotogrammetric Analysis System. Manufacturer: RSA BioMedical Innovations AB, Sweden. Intended Use: See notice at 63 FR 44840, August 21, 1998.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: These are compatible accessories for an existing instrument purchased for the use of the applicant. The instrument and accessories were made by the same manufacturer. The National Institutes of Health advises in its memorandum dated August 17, 1998, that the accessories are pertinent to the intended uses and that it knows of no comparable domestic accessories.

We know of no domestic accessories which can be readily adapted to the existing instrument.

# Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 98–26331 Filed 9–30–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [C-351-406]

# Certain Agricultural Tillage Tools From Brazil; Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. **ACTION:** Notice of final results of countervailing duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On July 13, 1998, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the Federal **Register** its preliminary results of administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain agricultural tillage tools from Brazil for the period January 1, 1996 through December 31, 1996 (63 FR 37532). The Department has now completed this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended. For information on the net subsidy for Marchesan Implementos Agricolas, S.A. ("Marchesan"), the reviewed company, and for all non-reviewed companies, please see the Final Results of Review section of this notice. We will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to liquidate without regard to countervailing duties, all shipments of the subject merchandise from Marchesan, as detailed in the Final Results of Review section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 1, 1998.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A number of parties commented that these interim-final regulations provided insufficient time for rebuttals to substantive responses to a notice of initiation (*Sunset Regulations*, 19 CFR 351.218(d)(4)). As provided in 19 CFR 351.302(b) (1998), the Department will consider individual requests for extension of that five-day deadline based upon a showing of good cause.