

issues that should be analyzed in the EA. The times and locations of these meetings are as follows:

#### *Evening Scoping Meeting*

*Date:* September 21, 1998

*Time:* From 7:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m.

*Location:* OEC office

*Address:* 7479 REA Road, Oconto Falls, Wisconsin

#### *Morning Scoping Meeting*

*Date:* September 22, 1998

*Time:* From 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.

*Location:* OEC office

*Address:* 7479 REA Road, Oconto Falls, Wisconsin

Scoping Document 1 (SD1), which outlines the proposed project, alternatives, environmental issues, EA outline and schedule, and a request for information, will be mailed to the parties on the Commission's mailing list for the project. Copies of SD1 will also be available at the scoping meetings.

#### **Site Visit**

On Monday, September 21, 1998, OEC and the Commission staff will conduct a project site visit beginning at 1:00 p.m. All interested parties are invited to attend. All participants should meet at OEC's office, located at 7479 REA Road, Oconto Falls, Wisconsin. All participants are responsible for their own transportation to the site. Questions about the site visit can be directed to Mr. Tony Anderson, of OEC, at (920) 846-2816.

#### **Objectives**

The objectives of the scoping meetings are to: (1) summarize the environmental issues tentatively identified for analysis in the EA; (2) solicit from the meeting participants all available information, especially quantified data, on the resources at issues; and (3) encourage statements from experts and the public on issues that should be analyzed in the EA.

#### **Meeting Procedures**

The meetings will be recorded by a stenographer and will become part of the formal record of the Commission proceedings on the Stiles Project. Individuals presenting statements at the meetings will be asked to identify themselves for the record. Speaking time allowed for individuals will be determined before each meeting, based on the number of persons wishing to speak and the approximate amount of time available for the session, but all speakers will be provided at least five minutes to present their views.

Persons choosing not to speak at the meetings, but who have views on the

issues, may submit written statements for inclusion in the public record at the meetings. In addition, written scoping comments may be filed with the Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, until October 22, 1998. All filings should contain an original and eight copies, and must clearly show at the top of the first page, "Stiles Hydroelectric Project, FERC No. 1981-010".

For further information, please contact either Mr. Tony Anderson at (920) 846-2816 or Ms. Patti Leppert-Slack at (202) 219-2767.

**David P. Boergers,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-24685 Filed 9-14-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

#### **Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. EC98-60-000]

#### **PG&E Energy Services, Energy Trading Corporation; PG&E Energy Services Corporation; Notice of Filing**

September 10, 1998.

Take notice that on September 4, 1998, PG&E Energy Services, Energy Trading Corporation and PG&E Energy Services Corporation submitted an application pursuant to Section 203 of the Federal Power Act for authority to merge PG&E Energy Services, Energy Trading Corporation into PG&E Energy Services Corporation and to transfer any jurisdictional facilities. The proposed transaction is described more fully in the application, which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The application states that at the conclusion of the merger, PG&E Energy Services, Energy Trading Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of PG&E Energy Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of PG&E Energy Services Corporation would cease to exist. Thereafter, PG&E Energy Services Corporation would perform the power marketing functions currently performed by PG&E Energy Services, Energy Trading Corporation. The application declares that the proposed transaction will not affect jurisdictional facilities, rates or services.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest such filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice

and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions and protests should be filed on or before October 9, 1998. Protests will be considered by the Commission to determine the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

**David P. Boergers,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-24681 Filed 9-14-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

#### **Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. OR98-24-000]

#### **Tesoro Alaska Petroleum Company v. Amerada Hess Pipeline Corporation, ARCO Transportation Alaska, Inc., BP Pipelines (Alaska) Inc., Exxon Pipeline Company, Mobil Alaska Pipeline Company, Phillips Alaska Pipeline Corporation, and Unocal Pipeline Company; Notice of Complaint**

September 9, 1998.

Take notice that on August 20, 1998, pursuant to sections 1(5), 3(1), 9, 13(1) and 15(1) of the Interstate Commerce Act (ICA), 49 U.S.C. App. §§ 1(5), 3(1), 9, 13(1) and 15(1), Sections 42.06.370, 42.06.380, and 42.06.410 of the Alaska Pipeline Act the regulations of the Commission under 18 CFR part 343, and the regulations of the Alaska Public Utilities Commission (APUC), 3 AAC §§ 48.100, 48.130, Tesoro Alaska Petroleum Company (Tesoro) tendered for filing a complaint and request for investigation concerning the current Trans Alaska Pipeline System (TAPS) Quality Bank methodology and, in particular, the lawfulness of the values prescribed for naphtha and vacuum gas oil under such methodology.

Tesoro requests initiation of formal proceedings, including concurrent trail type hearings before the FERC and APUC, to investigate the lawfulness of the values assigned to the naphtha and VGO cuts under the current methodology.

Tesoro states that it is a shipper on TAPS and owns and operates a refinery in Kenai, Alaska. Tesoro competes with other TAPS shippers, particularly MAPCO and Petro Star, in the marketing and sale of refined products within Alaska and elsewhere. To the extent, therefore, the Quality Bank payments for the refinery return streams and other

heavy streams are artificially suppressed, Tesoro asserts that MAPCO, Petro Star and other shippers are subsidized and Tesoro is competitively disadvantaged. For these reasons, Tesoro states that it has since 1988 actively participated in the Quality Bank proceedings, including those in Docket No. OR96-14, to ensure that the various TAPS streams, including the refinery return streams, are accurately valued. On May 29, 1998, the presiding judge issued an initial decision in Docket No. OR96-14 (83 FERC ¶ 63,011) dismissing the Exxon Company, U.S.A. complaint at issue there, and held that Tesoro's issues were thereby rendered moot, but that Tesoro was free to file its own complaint.

Based upon the testimony and exhibits of Tesoro's witness in Docket No. OR96-14, Tesoro now seeks to modify the valuation procedure for naphtha by: (i) eliminating single market pricing in favor of using both West Coast prices; (ii) valuing West Coast naphtha as a function of the price of gasoline on the West Coast in recognition of the primary use of naphtha on the West Coast; and (iii) adjusting the values of the naphtha cuts of the various TAPS streams to account for differences in N + A content. Tesoro further proposes that the value of VGO by market-appropriate and, to that end, requests adoption of the OPIS quote for West Coast high-sulfur VGO for West Coast VGO.

Finally, Tesoro suggests that the Commission reinstate the procedural schedule in Docket No. OR96-14, as such schedule existed when the presiding judge terminated that proceeding and invited Tesoro to file its own compliant. Tesoro states that the answering evidence filed in Docket No. OR96-14 could be incorporated as part of the record in this complaint proceeding, and a new date set for the filing of rebuttal evidence, with a hearing date no later than 45 days thereafter. Tesoro asserts this avoids having to start from "square one".

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said complaint should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 214 and 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure 18 CFR 385.214, 385.211. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before September 21, 1998. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to

intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. Answers to this complaint shall be due on or before September 21, 1998.

**David P. Boergers,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-24676 Filed 9-14-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. 2299-040 and -042]

#### Turlock and Modesto Irrigation Districts; Notice of Application to Amend License

September 9, 1998.

By letter dated March 6, 1998, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) requested the Commission modify article 38 of the license for the Don Pedro Project, No. 2299. Consultation among the Turlock and Modesto Irrigation Districts (licensees) and the Corps resulted in a joint request, filed on August 14, 1998, to amend subparagraph (a) of article 38. The licensee requests the paragraph be amended to read:

*Article 38(a).* Flows below La Grange bridge may be altered by the licensees at any time in connection with the operation of the project for flood control purposes or other emergencies provided that, if such flood control operations are required, flows shall be made to meet the requirements of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineer's approved Water Control Plan, Water (Flood) Control Diagram, and Emergency Spillway Release Diagram or an approved deviation from these documents. The licensees shall take reasonable measures to insure that releases from the project do not cause the flow in the Tuolumne River at the Modesto gage to below Dry Creek to exceed 9,000 cfs unless otherwise agreed to by the Corps of Engineers. After flood control criteria within the reservoir have been met, the licensees shall reduce the releases from the project as soon as it is reasonably practicable.

Please submit any comments on the request within 30 days from the date of this notice. Any comments, conclusions, or recommendations that draw upon studies, reports, or other working papers of substance should be supported by appropriate documentation. Please affix Project No. 2299-042 on all filings.

Comments, protests and requests to intervene may be made in accordance with the following paragraphs.

Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the

requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protest, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

*Filing and Service of Responsive Documents*—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENT", "RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS", "PROTEST", OR "MOTION TO INTERVENE", as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. Any of the above-named documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies provided by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

*Agency Comments*—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

**David P. Boergers,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-24668 Filed 9-14-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP98-397-000]

#### Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company; Notice of Request for Waiver

September 9, 1998.

Take notice that on September 3, 1998, Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company (Williston Basin), tendered for filing a request for a one-time waiver of Section 7 of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1 and footnote A to the Notices of Currently Effective Rates for Rate Schedule FS-1.