This action increases the assessment obligation imposed on handlers. While assessments impose some additional costs on handlers, the costs are minimal and uniform on all handlers. Some of the additional costs may be passed on to producers. However, these costs are offset by the benefits derived by the operation of the marketing order. In addition, the Board's meeting was widely publicized throughout the California almond industry and all interested persons were invited to attend the meeting and participate in Board deliberations on all issues. Like all Board meetings, the June 4, 1998, meeting was a public meeting and all entities, both large and small, were able to express views on this issue.

This rule imposes no additional reporting or recordkeeping requirements on either small or large California almond handlers. As with all Federal marketing order programs, reports and forms are periodically reviewed to reduce information requirements and duplication by industry and public sector agencies.

The Department has not identified any relevant Federal rules that duplicate, overlap, or conflict with this rule.

A proposed rule concerning this action was published in the **Federal Register** on July 24, 1998 (63 FR 39755). Copies of the proposed rule were also mailed or sent via facsimile to all almond handlers. Finally, the proposal was made available through the Internet by the Office of the Federal Register.

A 30-day comment period ending August 24, 1998, was provided for interested persons to respond to the proposal. One comment in support of the proposed rule was received from a large cooperative handler. This handler supports increasing the assessment rate and continuing the credit-back program mentioned earlier.

The proposed regulatory language in § 981.343 incorrectly stated that the assessment rate of \$0.025 per pound of assessable almonds would apply on and after June 4, 1998. The date should have been August 1, 1998, and has been corrected.

After consideration of all relevant material presented, including the information and recommendation submitted by the Board, the comment received, and other available information, it is hereby found that this rule, as hereinafter set forth, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act.

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553, it also found and determined that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this rule until 30 days after publication

in the Federal Register because the 1998–99 crop year began on August 1, 1998, and the marketing order requires the assessment rate to apply to all almonds received during the 1998-99 and subsequent crop years. Further, handlers are already receiving 1998-99 crop year almonds from growers, the Board needs to have sufficient funds to cover its expenses that are incurred on a continuous basis, and handlers are aware of this rule which was recommended unanimously at a public meeting. Also, a 30-day comment period was provided for in the proposed rule, and a comment was received in support of this action from a large cooperative almond handler.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 981

Almonds, Marketing agreements, Nuts, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 981 is amended as follows:

PART 981—ALMONDS GROWN IN CALIFORNIA

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 981 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 601-674.

2. Section 981.343 is revised to read as follows:

§ 981.343 Assessment rate.

On and after August 1, 1998, an assessment rate of \$0.025 per pound is established for California almonds. Of the \$0.025 assessment rate, \$0.0125 per assessable pound is available for handler credit-back.

Dated: September 8, 1998.

Robert C. Keeney,

Deputy Administrator, Fruit and Vegetable Programs.

[FR Doc. 98–24535 Filed 9–11–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–02–U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-NM-03-AD; Amendment 39-10487]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Bombardier Model CL-215-6B11 (CL-415 Variant) Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Direct final rule; withdrawal.

SUMMARY: This action withdraws a direct final rule with request for comments that adopted a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to all Bombardier Model CL-215-6B11 (CL-415 Variant) series airplanes. That action would have required revising the Airplane Flight Manual (AFM) to provide the flightcrew with procedures to address a temporary loss of battery bus power during engine failure and consequent erroneous indications of hydraulic system pressure, brake pressure, rudder pressure, and rudder and elevator reversion to manual mode. Since the issuance of the direct final rule, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has received a written adverse comment. Accordingly, the direct final rule is withdrawn.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rodrigo J. Huete, Flight Test Pilot, Systems and Flight Test Branch, ANE– 172, FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate, New York Aircraft Certification Office, 10 Fifth Street, Third Floor, Valley Stream, New York 11581; telephone (516) 256–7518; fax (516) 568–2716.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA published a direct final rule with request for comments in the Federal **Register** on July 9, 1998 (63 FR 37063). That direct final rule amended part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to add a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to all Bombardier Model CL-215-6B11 (CL-415 Variant) series airplanes, to require revising the Airplane Flight Manual (AFM) to provide the flightcrew with procedures to address a temporary loss of battery bus power during engine failure and consequent erroneous indications of hydraulic system pressure, brake pressure, rudder pressure, and rudder and elevator reversion to manual mode. That action was prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. The specified actions were intended to ensure that the flightcrew is advised of the potential hazard associated with a temporary loss of battery bus power during failure of the left engine or the left generator on the left engine and of the procedures necessary to address it.

Actions Since the Issuance of the Direct Final Rule

During the comment period for the direct final rule, the FAA received a written adverse comment. Accordingly, the direct final rule is hereby withdrawn.

Withdrawal of this direct final rule constitutes only such action, and does

not preclude the agency from issuing a notice in the future, nor does it commit the agency to any course of action in the future.

Regulatory Impact

Since this action only withdraws a direct final rule, it has no adverse economic impact and imposes no additional burden on any person. It will have no substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this action does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Withdrawal

Accordingly, the direct final rule with request for comments, Docket 98–NM–03–AD, published in the **Federal Register** on July 9, 1998 (63 FR 37063), is withdrawn.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on September 4, 1998.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 98–24549 Filed 9–11–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 97

[Docket No. 29330; Amdt. No. 1890]

RIN 2120-AA65

Standard Instrument Approach Procedures; Miscellaneous Amendments

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment establishes. amends, suspends, or revokes Standard **Instrument Approach Procedures** (SIAP's) for operations at certain airport. These regulatory actions are needed because of the adoption of new or revised criteria, or because of changes occurring in the National Airspace System, such as the commissioning of new navigation facilities, addition of new obstacles, or changes in air traffic requirements. These changes are designed to provide safe and efficient use of the navigable airspace and to promote safe flight operations under instrument flight rules at the affected airports.

DATES: An effective date for each SIAP is specified in the amendatory provisions.

Incorporation by reference-approved by the Director of the Federal Register on December 31, 1980, and reapproved as of January 1, 1982.

ADDRESSES: Availability of matters incorporated by reference in the amendment is as follows:

For Examination

- 1. FAA Rules Docket, FAA Headquarters Building, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591;
- 2. The FAA Regional Office of the region in which the affected airport is located; or
- 3. The Flight Inspection Area Office which originated the SIAP.

For Purchase

Individual SIAP copies may be obtained from:

- 1. FAA Public Inquiry Center (APA–200), FAA Headquarters Building, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; or 2. The FAA Regional Office of the
- 2. The FAA Regional Office of the region in which the affected airport is located.

By Subscription

Copies of all SIAP's, mailed once every 2 weeks, are for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Donald P. Pate, Flight Procedure Standards Branch (AMCAFS-420), Flight Technologies and Program Division, Flight Standards Service, Federal Aviation Administration, Mike Monroney Aeronautical Center, 6500 South MacArthur Blvd. Oklahoma City, OK. 73169 (Mail Address: P.O. Box 25082 Oklahoma City, OK. 73125) telephone: (405) 954-4164.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This amendment to part 97 of the Federal

Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 97) establishes, amends, suspends, or revokes SIAP's. The complete regulatory description of each SIAP is contained in official FAA form documents which are incorporated by reference in this amendment under 5 U.S.C. 552(a), 1 CFR part 51, and § 14 CFR 97.20 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR). The applicable FAA Forms are identified as FAA Form 8260–5. Materials incorporated by reference are available for examination or purchase as stated above.

The large number of SIAP's, their complex nature, and the need for a special format make their verbatim publication in the Federal Register expensive and impractical. Further, airmen do not use the regulatory text of the SIAPs, but refer to their graphic depiction on charts printed by publishers of aeronautical materials. Thus, the advantages of incorporation by reference are realized and publication of the complete description of each SIAP contained in FAA form documents is unnecessary. The provisions of this amendment state the affected CFR sections, with the types and effective dates of the SIAPs. This amendment also identifies the airport, its location, the procedure identification and the amendment number.

This amendment to part 97 is effective upon publication of each separate SIAP as contained in the transmittal. The SIAP's contained in this amendment are based on the criteria contained in the United States Standard for Terminal Instrument Approach Procedures (TERPS). In developing these SIAPs, the TERPS criteria were applied to the conditions existing or anticipated at the affected airports.

The FAA has determined through testing that current non-localizer type, non-precision instrument approaches developed using the TERPS criteria can be flown by aircraft equipped with a Global Positioning System (GPS) and or Flight Management System (FMS) equipment. In consideration of the above, the applicable SIAP's will be altered to include "or GPS or FMS" in the title without otherwise reviewing or modifying the procedure. (Once a stand alone GPS or FMS procedure is developed, the procedure title will be altered to remove "or GPS or FMS" from these non-localizer, non-precision

The FAA has determined through extensive analysis that current SIAP's intended for use by Area Navigation (RNAV) equipped aircraft can be flown by aircraft utilizing various other types of navigational equipment. In consideration of the above, those SIAP's

instrument approach procedure titles.)