incurred in response to the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at or from the Automatic Die Casting Site ("the Site") located in St. Clair Shores, Michigan. U.S. EPA proposed to address the potential liability of the Settling Parties by execution of a CERCLA Section 122(h)(1) Administrative Order on Consent ("AOC") prepared pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 9622(h)(1). The Site is not on the NPL, and no further response activities at the Site are anticipated at this time.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Hedi Bogda-Cleveland of the Office of Regional Counsel, U.S. EPA Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604 or contact Ms. Bogda at (312) 886–5825.

William E. Muno,

Director, Superfund Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 5. [FR Doc. 98–24145 Filed 9–9–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6158-2]

Proposed 42 U.S.C. Section 9622(h) Settlement Dixie Auto Salvage Site, Danville, IL

ACTION: Proposed section 122(h) settlement.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 122(i) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), notice is hereby given of a proposed administrative cost recovery settlement under section 122(h)(1) of CERCLA concerning the Dixie Auto Salvage Superfund Site near Danville, Illinois, which was signed by the Director of the Superfund Division, EPA, Region V. The settlement resolves an EPA claim under section 107(a) of CERCLA against the General Electric Company. The settlement requires the General Electric Company to complete the required removal action at the Site and to pay the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (U.S. EPA's) past and future oversight costs that exceed \$900,000.00.

DATES: Comments must be submitted to U.S. EPA, Region V, on or before October 13, 1998.

ADDRESSES: The proposed settlement and additional background information relating to the settlement are available for public inspection at the Region V Records Center identified below. A copy of the proposed settlement may be

obtained from Gloria Carvajal, U.S. EPA, Region V, (312)886–5312. Comments should reference the Dixie Auto Salvage Superfund Site and should be addressed to Richard J. Clarizio, U.S. EPA, Region V, Office of Regional Counsel, 77 West Jackson, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard J. Clarizio, EPA, Region V, Office of Regional Counsel, 77 West Jackson, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886–0559.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The site is located on a semi-rural, partiallywooded lot adjacent to the North Fork of the Vermilion River. During the 1960's and 1970's ravine portions of the site were filled with waste by the former owner. The hazardous constituents of concern found at the site consist of lead and polychlorinated biphenyls. The site was identified as a removal site and has not been listed on the National Priorities List (NPL). The General Electric Company (GE) removed approximately 800 tons of contaminated soil, capacitors and other debris in 1995 under an Administrative Order on Consent with U.S. EPA. In June of 1998 EPA issued a proposed plan for completion of removal activities at this Site. Consistent with that proposed plan, GE in the settlement agreement will, among other things, excavate and consolidate on-site certain areas of contamination, construct a cap over the on-site consolidation area, perform leachate monitoring and collection, monitor groundwater and restrict the use of the property. It is estimated that these activities will cost approximately \$4,000,000.00. As part of the settlement U.S. EPA agrees to not seek reimbursement for up to \$900,000.00 in oversight costs it has or will incur at this Site. GE agrees to pay oversight costs that exceed \$900,000.00.

Pursuant to section 122(i) of CERCLA, the 30-day period for comments on the proposed settlement with this Respondent begins on the date of publication of today's notice.

James Mayka,

Acting Director, Superfund Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region V. [FR Doc. 98–24146 Filed 9–9–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6158-7]

Notice of Proposed Administrative Cost Recovery Settlement Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 122(i) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), notice is hereby given of a proposed administrative cost recovery settlement under section 122(h)(1) of CERCLA concerning the Sauget Area 2, Site Q site in Sauget and Cahokia, Illinois which was signed by the EPA Regional Administrator, Region 5, on August 20, 1998. The settlement resolves an EPA claim under section 107(a) of CERCLA against Eagle Marine Industries, Inc., Monsanto Company, and Solutia Inc. The settlement requires the settling parties to pay \$180,000 to the Hazardous Substances Superfund.

For thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this document, the Agency will receive written comments relating to the settlement. The Agency will consider all comments received and may modify or withdraw its consent to the settlement if comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. The Agency's response to any comments received will be available for public inspection at Cahokia Public Library, 140 Cahokis Park Dr., Cahokia, Illinois, 62206 and U.S. EPA's Region 5, Record Center, 77 W. Jackson Ave., 7th floor, Chicago, Illinois.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before October 13, 1998.

ADDRESSES: The proposed settlement and additional background information relating to the settlement are available for public inspection at U.S. EPA's Region 5, Record Center, 77 W. Jackson Ave., 7th floor, Chicago, Illinois. A copy of the proposed settlement may be obtained from Leslie A. Kirby, Assistant Regional Counsel, U.S. EPA, 77 W. Jackson Ave., C-14J, Chicago, Illinois or by telephone at (312) 886-7166. Comments should reference Sauget Area 2, Site Q and EPA Docket No. V-W-'98-C-494 and should be addressed to Leslie A. Kirby, Assistant Regional Counsel, 77 W. Jackson Blvd., C-14J, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Leslie A. Kirby, Assistant Regional

Counsel, 77 W. Jackson Blvd., C–14J, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Dated: August 20, 1998.

William E Muno,

Director, Superfund Division, Region 5. [FR Doc. 98–24332 Filed 9–9–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Public Information Collections Approved by Office of Management and Budget

August 28, 1998.

The Federal Communications
Commission (FCC) has received Office
of Management and Budget (OMB)
approval for the following public
information collections pursuant to the
Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub.
L. 104–13. An agency may not conduct
or sponsor and a person is not required
to respond to a collection of information
unless it displays a currently valid
control number. For further information
contact Shoko B. Hair, Federal
Communications Commission, (202)
418–1379.

Federal Communications Commission

OMB Control No.: 3060–0842. Expiration Date: 02/28/99. Title: Revenue Benchmark Data Request.

Form No.: N/A.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit.

Estimated Annual Burden: 16 respondents; 250 hours per response (avg.); 4000 total annual burden hours for all collections.

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Cost Burden: \$0.

Frequency of Response: One-time requirement.

Description: Pursuant to Congress's directive in the Telecommunications Act of 1996 that the Commission establish support mechanisms to ensure the delivery of affordable telecommunication service to all Americans, the Commission determined on May 8, 1997 that universal service support for rural, insular, and high cost areas should be based on forward looking economic costs. As part of the forward-looking economic cost methodology, the Commission determined that it would select two revenue benchmarks to calculate the amount of federal universal service support that eligible non-rural carriers should receive. The data request solicits

information from non-rural local exchange carriers to calculate the revenue benchmarks that will determine the level of universal service support. The data request solicits information on annual data for 1996 through first Quarter 1998; interstate switched access revenues for July 1998; Intrastate Switched Access Revenues for July 1998; Residential, single-Line business, and Multi-Line Business Local Service Revenues for July 1998; and Residential, Single-Line Business, and Multi-Line Business Local Service and IntraLATA Toll Revenues for July 1998. The data request was issued in CC Dockets 96-45 and 97-160; DA 98-1576. The data request will be used to assist the Commission in implementing the forward-looking economic cost methodology used to estimate the amount of universal service support that will be provided to eligible non-rural carriers beginning July 1, 1999. Obligation to respond: Mandatory.

OMB Control No.: 3060–0843. Expiration Date: 2/28/99.

Title: Carrier Identification Codes Blocking Data Request.

Form No.: N/A.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit.

Estimated Annual Burden: 6 respondents; 8 hours per response (avg.); 48 total annual burden hours.

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Cost Burden: \$0.

Frequency of Response: One-time requirement.

Description: The five regional Bell Operating Companies and GTE are required to submit reports to the Common Carrier Bureau describing their progress in phasing out three-digit Carrier Identification Codes (CICs). This data is critical to the general and specific implementation and oversight responsibilities that the Commission bears under the Communications Act to evaluate the status and development of competition in the provision of local exchange telecommunications services. The data request will be used to evaluate the status of developing competition in the long distance telecommunications markets. The information will be used by the Commission to determine whether the phase-out of three-digit CICs is being implement. Statutory authority for information collection from carriers and other entities is set out in the following sections of the Communications Act: Sections 4(i), 215, and 218. Obligation to respond: Mandatory.

OMB Control No.: 3060–0816. Expiration Date: 02/28/99.

Title: Local Competition in the Local Exchange Telecommunications Services Report.

Form No.: N/A.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit.

Estimated Annual Burden: 20 respondents; 900 hours per response (avg.); 18,000 total annual burden hours for all collections.

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Cost Burden: \$0.

Frequency of Response: On occasion; quarterly.

Description: The Telecommunications

Act of 1996 (1996 Act) directed the Commission to undertake various initiatives to implement new statutory directives concerning the development of local exchange competition. Central to these directives are new Section 251, governing incumbent local exchange carrier (LEC) provision of interconnection to competitors, and new Section 271 which provides a means whereby Bell Operating Companies (BOCs)—long prohibited from entering various telecommunications marketmay now do so upon submission of qualifying applications. Pursuant to its new statutory obligations and in its general capacity as chief federal regulatory agency tasked with implementing the 1996 Communications Act amendments, the Commission must evaluate the status and development—nationwide—of local competition, i.e., competition in the provision of local exchange telecommunications services. Approximately twenty telecommunications companies

including Bell Operating Companies are asked to voluntarily submit information to the Commission to evaluate the status and development of developing competition in the local exchange telecommunications markets. The request is limited to technical queries about the nature and extent of carrierprovided access facilities; switch ports and non-switched service lines; number of customers purchasing specific services; state operations data; total carrier-handled switched local, intrastate toll, and interstate toll minutes; and number of local telephone numbers ported as of end-of-year 1997. The information will be used by Commission economists and carrier analysts to advise the Commission about the efficacy of Commission rules and policies adopted to implement the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Obligation to respond: Voluntary.

OMB Control No.: 3060–0774. Expiration Date: 11/30/98. Title: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service—CC Docket No. 96–