for identification, and, if properly authenticated, the relevant and material parts thereof may be read into the record, or, if the Commission or presiding officer so directs, a true copy of such matter in proper form shall be received in evidence as an exhibit. Copies of documents shall be delivered by the participant offering the same to the other participants or their attorneys appearing at the hearing, who shall be afforded an opportunity to examine the entire document and to offer in evidence in like manner other material and relevant portions thereof.

(2) Library references. The term "library reference" is a generic term or label that participants and others may use to identify or designate certain documents or things ("material") filed with the Commission's docket section. The practice of filing a library reference is authorized primarily as a convenience to participants and the Commission under certain circumstances. These include:

(i) when the participant satisfactorily demonstrates that the physical characteristics of the material, such as number of pages or bulk, are reasonably likely to render compliance with service requirements unduly burdensome;

(ii) when the participant satisfactorily demonstrates that interest in the material or things so labeled is likely to be so limited that service on the entire list would be unreasonably burdensome, and the participant agrees to serve the material on individual participants upon request;

(iii) when the participant satisfactorily demonstrates that designation of material as a library reference is appropriate because the material constitutes a secondary source. A "secondary source" is one that provides background for a position or matter referred to elsewhere in a participant's case or filing, but does not constitute essential support and is unlikely to be a material factor in a decision on the merits of issues in the proceeding;

(iv) when the participant satisfactorily demonstrates that the reference to, identification of, or use of the material would be facilitated if it is filed as a library reference; or

(v) when otherwise justified by circumstances, as determined by the Commission or presiding officer.

(3) Form and timing of required demonstration. The requisite demonstration shall be provided in the form of a motion. In general, the motion shall be accompanied by the simultaneous filing, with the Commission's docket section, of a copy of the material proposed for designation

as a library reference. If appropriate, a comprehensive description of the material may be filed with the docket section in lieu of the material itself.

The motion shall set forth with particularity the reason(s) why designation of the material as a library reference is being sought; explain how the material relates to the participant's case or to issues in the proceeding; indicate whether the material contains a survey or survey results; and provide a good-faith indication of whether the participant anticipates that the material will be entered, in whole or in part, into the evidentiary record. The motion shall also identify authors or others materially contributing to the preparation of the library reference.

If the participant filing the library reference anticipates seeking to enter all or part of the material contained therein into the evidentiary record, the motion also shall identity portions expected to be entered and the expected sponsor(s).

- (4) Conditional acceptance. Material accompanying a motion invoking the library reference designation shall be accepted in the Commission's docket section conditionally, pending a ruling on the merits of the motion.
- (5) Labels and descriptions. Material proposed to be filed as a library reference shall be labeled in a manner consistent with standard Commission notation and any other conditions the **Presiding Officer or Commission** establishes. In addition, material designated as a library reference shall include a preface or summary addressing the following matters: The proceeding and document or issue to which the material relates; the identity of the participant designating the library reference; the identity of the witness or witnesses who will be sponsoring the material or the reason why a sponsor cannot be identified; and to the extent feasible, other library references or testimony referred to within. In addition, the preface or summary shall explicitly indicate whether the library reference is an update or revision to a library reference filed in another Commission proceeding, and provide an adequate identification of the predecessor material.
- (6) Electronic version. Material filed as a library reference shall also be made available in an electronic version, absent a showing of why an electronic version cannot be supplied or should not be required to be supplied.
- (7) Status of library references.

  Designation of material as a library reference and acceptance in the Commission's docket section does not confer evidentiary status. The

evidentiary status of the material is governed by this section.

Dated: August 27, 1998.

### Margaret P. Crenshaw,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–23635 Filed 9–2–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[LA-47-1-7388b; FRL-6156-2]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Louisiana: Reasonable Available Control Technology for Emissions of Volatile Organic Compounds from Batch Processes

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** In this action, EPA is approving a revision to the Louisiana State Implementation Plan rule requiring Reasonable Available Control Technology for emissions of Volatile Organic Compounds from Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturing Industry Batch Processes. In the Rules and Regulations Section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. The rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to this rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives relevant adverse comments, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal informing the public that the final rule will not take effect, and all relevant public comments received during the 30-day comment period set forth below will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments must be received in writing by October 8, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to Thomas H. Diggs, Chief, Air Planning Section, at the EPA Region 6 Office listed below. Copies of the documents relevant to this proposed rule are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. Anyone wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least two working days in advance.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Air Planning Section (6PD-L), 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202– 2733.

Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality, Air Quality Division, 7290 Bluebonnet Boulevard, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70810.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bill Deese of the EPA Region 6 Air Planning Section (6PD-L) at (214) 665–7253 at the Region 6 address above.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** See the information provided in the direct final rule in the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: August 21, 1998.

#### Jerry Clifford,

Acting Director, Regional Administrator, Region 6.

[FR Doc. 98–24044 Filed 9–4–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[IL172-1b; FRL-6152-6]

### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plan; Illinois

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: On November 14, 1995, May 9 and 1996. June 14, 1996, the State of Illinois submitted State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision requests to meet commitments related to the conditional approval of Illinois' May 15, 1992, SIP submittal for the Lake Calumet (SE Chicago), McCook, and Granite City, Illinois, Particulate Matter (PM) nonattainment areas. The EPA is approving the SIP revision request as it applies to the McCook area, including the attainment demonstration for the McCook PM nonattainment area. The SIP revision request corrects, for the McCook PM nonattainment area, all of the deficiencies of the May 15, 1992, submittal (as discussed in the November 18, 1994, conditional approval notice). The EPA is also revising the codification of the conditional approval to remove issues which have been resolved. No action is being taken on the submitted plan revisions for the Lake Calumet area at this time; they will be addressed in a separate rulemaking action. Approval of the Granite City PM plan became

effective on May 11, 1998 (see 63 FR 11842). In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's requests as a direct final rule without prior proposal because EPA views this action as noncontroversial and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for approving the State's request is set forth in the direct final rule. The direct final rule will become effective without further notice unless the Agency receives relevant adverse written comment on this rulemaking within 30 days of publication of today's document. Should the Agency receive such comment, it will publish a timely withdrawal informing the public that the direct final rule will not take effect and such public comment received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. If no adverse written comments are received, the direct final rule will take effect on the date stated in that action and no further activity will be taken on this rule. EPA does not plan to institute a second comment period on this rule. Any parties interested in commenting on this rule should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before October 8, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be mailed to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Copies of the State submittal and EPA's analysis of it are available for inspection at: Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David Pohlman, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886–3299.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information see the direct final rule published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: August 11, 1998.

#### David A. Ullrich,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region V. [FR Doc. 98–24038 Filed 9–4–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 62

[VA 011-5034b; FRL-6156-1]

Approval and Promulgation of State Air Quality Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants, Commonwealth of Virginia; Control of Total Reduced Sulfur Emissions from Existing Kraft Pulp Mills

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA proposes to approve the Commonwealth of Virginia's 111(d) for the control of total reduced sulfur (TRS) emissions from existing Kraft pulp mills. In the final rules section of the Federal Register, EPA is approving the Commonwealth's plan as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule and in the accompanying technical support document. If no adverse comments are received in response to this rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this plan. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed plan. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments must be received in writing by October 8, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Makeba A. Morris, Chief, Technical Assessment Branch, Mailcode 3AP22, Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Artra B. Cooper at (215) 814–2096, or by e-mail at cooper.artra@epamail.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** See the information provided in the direct final rule with the same title which is located in the rules section of the **Federal Register**.

Dated: August 27, 1998.

#### Thomas C. Voltaggio,

Acting Director, Regional Administrator EPA Region III.

[FR Doc. 98–23889 Filed 9–4–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P