cannot reach the same conclusion in this case. Specifically, we note that the bill of materials Samsung provided for its HDTV prototype revealed that none of the four major components (i.e., the chipset, CPT, lens, and screen panel) were produced in Korea. In light of the won's depreciation, the cost of importing these components has risen and may be a disincentive to Samsung in keeping HDTV production in Korea. Therefore, based on the evidence on the record, we cannot conclude that HDTVs, once fully developed by Samsung, will be produced in Korea or dumped in the United States.

Affirmative Final Determination of Changed Circumstances

Based on the foregoing analysis, we determine, pursuant to Section 353.25(d) of the Department's regulations, that changed circumstances warrant partially revoking the antidumping duty order on CTVs from Korea with respect to merchandise exported by Samsung that is also manufactured by Samsung. Pursuant to our final results, we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service (Customs) to end the suspension of liquidation of merchandise subject to the order on CTVs from Korea, as it applies to Samsung, on or after the publication date of this notice of final determination, and to refund any estimated antidumping duties collected, for all unliquidated entries of such merchandise made on or after the publication date of this notice of final determination. We will also instruct Customs to pay interest on such refunds in accordance with Section 778 of the Act.

This final affirmative changed circumstances determination is in accordance with Section 751(b) of the Act and 19 C.F.R. 353.22(f).

Dated: August 26, 1998.

#### Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98–23669 Filed 9–1–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## International Trade Administration

[A-560-802]

Notice of Amended Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Preserved Mushrooms from Indonesia

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce. EFFECTIVE DATE: September 2, 1998.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Mary J. Jenkins or Irene Darzenta
Tzafolias, Import Administration,
International Trade Administration,
U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th
Street and Constitution Avenue, NW.,
Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202)
482–1756 or (202) 482–0922,
respectively.

## The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are references to 19 CFR part 351 (62 FR 27296; May 19, 1997).

Amended Preliminary Determination

We are amending the preliminary determination of sales at less than fair value for certain preserved mushrooms from Indonesia to reflect the correction of a ministerial error made in the margin calculations in that determination. We are publishing this amendment to the preliminary determination pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(e).

### Case History

On July 27, 1998, the Department preliminarily determined that certain preserved mushrooms from Indonesia are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value (63 FR 41783; August 5, 1998).

On July 29, 1998, we disclosed our calculations for the preliminary determination to counsel for PT Dieng Djaya(Dieng)/PT Surya Jaya Abadi Perkasa (Surya), and PT Zeta Agro Corporation (Zeta). On August 3, 1998, we disclosed our calculations to counsel for petitioners.

On August 3, 1998, we received a submission, timely filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(c)(2), from Dieng/Surya and Zeta alleging ministerial errors in the Department's preliminary determination. In their submission, Dieng/Surya and Zeta requested that these errors be corrected and an amended preliminary determination be issued reflecting these changes.

We did not receive ministerial error allegations from the petitioners. On August 11, petitioners filed comments on respondents' allegations. However, because it not the Department's practice to consider replies to comments submitted in connection with a preliminary determination under 19

CFR 351.224(c)(3), we did not consider these comments.

Amendment of Preliminary Determination

The Department's regulations provide that the Department will correct any significant ministerial error by amending the preliminary determination. See 19 CFR 351.224(e). A significant ministerial error is an error the correction of which, either singly or in combination with other errors: (1)would result in a change of at least five absolute percentage points in, but not less than 25 percent of, the weightedaverage dumping margin calculated in the original (erroneous) preliminary determination; or (2) would result in a difference between a weighted-average dumping margin of zero (or *de minimis*) and a weighted-average dumping margin of greater than de minimis, or vice versa. See 19 CFR 351.224(g).

After analyzing Dieng/Surya and Zeta's submission, we have determined that a ministerial error was made in the margin calculation for Dieng/Surya and Zeta in the preliminary determination. Specifically, we inadvertently used programming language that incorrectly applied the number of cans per carton in the constructed value (CV) data base.

Dieng/Surya and Zeta also alleged that the Department made three additional ministerial errors by: (1) overlooking record evidence of an Indonesian respondent in the calculation of CV profit and selling expenses, (2) failing to calculate combined weighted-average export prices for Dieng/Surya, and (3) incorrectly calculating general and administrative expenses for CV. However, the Department has determined that none of these errors is in fact a ministerial error as defined in 19 CFR 351.224(f), and therefore, did not consider them at this time. See Memorandum to Louis Apple from The Team, dated August 20, 1998, for further discussion of Dieng/Surya and Zeta's ministerial error allegations and the Department's analysis.

Pursuant to 19 ČFR 351.224(g)(1), the ministerial error acknowledged above for Zeta is not significant. Therefore, we have not recalculated the margin for Zeta. However, with regard to Dieng/Surya, because the correction of the ministerial error results in a difference between a weighted-average dumping margin of greater than *de minimis* and a weighted-average dumping margin of *de minimis*, the Department hereby amends its preliminary determination with respect to Dieng/Surya to correct this error. In addition, we have recalculated the "All Others Rate."

Pursuant to section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the Department has excluded the *de minimis* margin from the calculation of the "All Others Rate."

The revised weighted-average dumping margins are as follows:

Exporter/Manufacturer	Weighted-average margin percentage
PT Dieng Djaya/PT Surya Jaya Abadi Perkasa	0.42% (de minimis)
PT Zeta Agro Corporation.	29.58%
All Others	29.58%

## Suspension of Liquidation

We will instruct the U.S. Customs to discontinue the suspension of liquidation of all entries of mushrooms from Indonesia produced/exported by PT Dieng Djaya/PT Surya Jaya Abadi Perkasa. In accordance with section 733(d)(2) of the Act, the Department will direct the U.S. Customs Service to continue to suspend liquidation of all other entries of mushrooms from Indonesia that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, on or after the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register. The U.S. Customs Service shall continue to require a cash deposit or posting of bond equal to the estimated amount by which the normal value exceeds the U.S. price as show above. These instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

## International Trade Commission Notification

In accordance with section 733(f) of the Act, we have notified the International Trade Commission of the amended preliminary determination.

This amended preliminary determination is published pursuant to section 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(e).

Dated: August 26, 1998.

## Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98–23668 Filed 9–1–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# National Institute of Standards and Technology

Announcement of a Partially Closed Meeting of the Manufacturing Extension Partnership National Advisory Board

**AGENCY:** National Institute of Standards and Technology, Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of partially closed meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. app. 2, notice is hereby given that the National Institute of Standards and Technology's (NIST's) Manufacturing Extension Partnership National Advisory Board (MEPNAB) will meet to hold a meeting on Thursday, September 17, 1998. The MEPNAB is composed of nine members appointed by the Director of NIST who were selected for their expertise in the area of industrial extension and their work on behalf of smaller manufacturers. The Board was set up, under the direction of the Director of NIST, to fill a need for outside input on MEP. MEP is a unique program consisting of centers in all 50 states and Puerto Rico. The centers have been created by a state, federal and local partnership. The Board works closely with the MEP to provide input and advise on MEP's programs, plans, and policies. The purpose of this meeting is to delve into areas the Board selected at the previous meeting. The agenda includes a presentation by the co-chairs of the Next Generation Manufacturing Extension Partnership group under the United States Innovation Partnership on their vision for the future of manufacturing extension, MEP's work with client firms to increase their international competitiveness, and plans for a review of the process MEP uses to evaluate the centers. The portion of the meeting which involves personnel and proprietary budget information, will be closed to the public. All other portions of the meeting will be open to the public.

DATE AND ADDRESS: The meeting will convene on September 17, 1998, at 8:00 a.m. and adjourn at 3:30 p.m. and will be held at the Department of Commerce, Herbert C. Hoover Building, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, Washington, DC, Room 4830. The closed portion of the meeting is scheduled from 8:00–9:00 a.m.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Assistant Secretary for Administration with the concurrence of the General Counsel Formally determined on August 12, 1998, pursuant to Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, that these portions of the meeting may be properly closed because they are concerned with matters that are within the purview of 5 U.S.C. 522(c)(4), (6) and (9)(b). A copy of the determination is available for public inspection in the Central Reference and Records Inspection Facility, Room 6219, Main Commerce.

MEP's services to smaller manufacturers address the needs of the national market as well as the unique needs of each company. Since MEP is committed to providing this type of individualized service through its centers, the program requires the perspective of locally based experts to be incorporated into its national plans. The MEPNAB was established at the direction of the NIST Director to maintain MEP's focus on local and market-based needs. The MEPNAB was approved on October 24, 1996, in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. app. 2., to provide advice on MEP programs, plans, and policies; to assess the soundness of MEP plans and strategies; to assess the current performance against MEP program plans, and to function in an advisory capacity. The Board will meet three times a year and reports to the Director of NIST. This will be the third meeting of the MEPNAB in 1998. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Linda Acierto, Assistant to the Director for Policy, Manufacturing Extension Partnership, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, telephone number (301) 975–5033.

Dated: August 24, 1998.

## Robert E. Hebner,

 $Acting \ Deputy \ Director, \ NIST.$ 

[FR Doc. 98-23671 Filed 9-1-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-13-M

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Membership of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Performance Review Board

**AGENCY:** National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of membership of NOAA Performance Review Board.

SUMMARY: In accordance with 5 USC, 4314(c)(4), NOAA announces the appointment of persons to serve as members of the NOAA Performance Review Board (PRB). The NOAA PRB is responsible for reviewing performance appraisals and ratings of Senior Executive Service (SES) members and making written recommendations to the appointing authority on SES retention and compensation matters, including performance-based pay adjustments, awarding of bonuses and reviewing recommendations for potential Presidential Rank Award nominees. The