this AD, whichever occurs later, perform a sonic resonance inspection to detect debonding of the upper and lower reinforcement panels of the wing roots and a visual inspection to detect fatigue damage of the panel fasteners, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Aerospatiale Corvette Service Bulletin 57–24, Revision 1, dated May 30, 1994.

(1) If no panel debonding or fastener damage is found, repeat the sonic resonance inspection and the visual inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 1,000

flight cycles.

(2) If any panel debonding or fastener damage is found, prior to further flight, repair in accordance with a method approved by either the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, or the Direction Gónórale de l'Aviation Civile (DGAC), which is the airworthiness authority for France (or its delegated agent).

(b) For airplanes that have not been modified in accordance with Aerospatiale Corvette Service Bulletin 57–25, dated November 21, 1990: Prior to the accumulation of 8,200 total flight cycles, or within 100 flight cycles after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later, perform a sonic resonance inspection to detect debonding of the upper and lower reinforcement panels of the wing roots, and a visual inspection to detect fatigue damage of the panel fasteners, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Aerospatiale Corvette Service Bulletin 57–24, Revision 1, dated May 30,

- (1) For any reinforcement panel on which no debonding or fastener damage is found, repeat the sonic resonance inspection and the visual inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 2,500 flight cycles or three years, whichever occurs first.
- (2) For any reinforcement panel on which debonding is detected, and the total debonded area is less than or equal to 45% of the total area, and no contiguous debonded area on the panel is greater than 5% of the total area of the panel, repeat the sonic resonance inspection and the visual inspection thereafter at the interval specified in paragraph (b)(2)(i), (b)(2)(ii), or (b)(2)(iii), as applicable, of this AD.

(i) If the total debonded area on the panel is less than or equal to 10% of the total area, repeat the inspections of that panel thereafter at intervals not to exceed 2,500 flight cycles or 3 years, whichever occurs first.

(ii) If the total debonded area on the panel is greater than 10% and less than or equal to 30% of the total area, repeat the inspections of that panel thereafter at intervals not to exceed 2,000 flight cycles or 3 years, whichever occurs first.

(iii) If the total debonded area of the panel is greater than 30% and less than or equal to 45% of the total area, repeat the inspections of that panel thereafter at intervals not to exceed 1,000 flight cycles or 2 years, whichever occurs first.

(3) For any reinforcement panel on which debonding is detected, and the total debonded area of the panel is greater than 45% of the total area, or if any single debonded area on any single panel is greater

than 5% of the total area of that panel, or if any panel fastener damage is detected, accomplish the actions specified in paragraphs (b)(3)(i) and (b)(3)(ii) of this AD.

(i) Prior to further flight, inspect the skin to determine the level of corrosion relative to the skin thickness in accordance with a method approved by either the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, or the DGAC (or its delegated agent).

(A) If the depth of corrosion of the skin is less than or equal to 10% of the skin thickness, remove and replace the panel and treat the skin for corrosion, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Aerospatiale Corvette Service Bulletin 57–25, dated November 21, 1990.

(B) If the depth of corrosion of the skin exceeds 10% of the skin thickness, repair in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, or in accordance with a method approved by the DGAC (or its delegated agent).

(ii) For airplanes on which the actions of paragraph (b)(3)(i)(A) of this AD have been accomplished: Within 8,300 flight cycles after accomplishment of paragraph (b)(3)(i)(A) of this AD, perform a sonic resonance inspection to detect debonding of the panel and a visual inspection to detect fatigue damage of the panel fasteners, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Aerospatiale Corvette Service Bulletin 57–24, Revision 1, dated May 30, 1994.

(A) If no debonding or fastener damage is found, repeat the inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 1,000 flight cycles.

(B) If any debonding or fastener damage is detected, prior to further flight, repair in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, or in accordance with a method approved by the DGAC (or its delegated agent).

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM–116.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Note 3: The subject of this AD is addressed in French airworthiness directive 91-045-010(B)R1, dated August 3, 1994.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 20, 1998.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 98–22961 Filed 8–26–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 98-ASO-14]

Proposed Establishment of Class D Airspace; Albemarle, NC

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking

SUMMARY: This notice proposes to establish Class D airspace at Albemarle, NC. The North Carolina Air National Guard is installing a control tower at the Stanley County Airport. Class D surface area airspace is required when the control tower is open to accommodate current Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAPs) and for Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) operations at the airport. This would establish Class D airspace extending upward from the surface to and including 3,100 feet MSL within a 3.9-mile radius of the Stanley County Airport. Control tower hours of operation are tentatively scheduled for 1300–2100, Tuesday through Saturday.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before September 28, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on the proposal in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Docket No. 98–ASO–14 Manager, Airspace Branch, ASO–520, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320.

The official docket may be examined in the Office of the Regional Counsel for Southern Region, Room 550, 1701 Columbia Avenue, College Park, Georgia 30337, telephone (404) 305–5586.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy B. Shelton, Manager, Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320; telephone (404) 305–5586.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested parties are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy-related aspects of the proposal. Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be

submitted in triplicate to the address listed above. Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments on this notice must submit with those comments a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Airspace Docket No. 98– ASO-14." The postcard will be date/ time stamped and returned to the commenter. All communications received before the specified closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received. All comments submitted will be available for examination in the Office of the Regional Counsel for Southern Region, Room 550, 1701 Columbia Avenue, College Park, Georgia 30337, both before and after the closing date for comments. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerned with this rulemaking will be filed in the docket.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Manager, Airspace Branch, ASO–520, Air Traffic Division, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320. Communications must identify the notice number of this NPRM. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRMs should also request a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11–2A which describes the application procedure.

The Proposal

The FAA is considering an amendment to part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) to establish Class D airspace at Albemarle, NC. The North Carolina Air National Guard is installing a control tower at the Stanley County Airport. Due to a planned increase in military air traffic and the mixing of general aviation with military traffic, the National Guard Bureau has decided to establish an operating control tower at the Stanley County Airport. Class D surface area airspace is required when the control tower is open to accommodate current SIAPs and for IFR operations at the airport. Class D airspace designations for airspace areas extending upward from the surface are published in Paragraph 5000 of FAA Order 7400.9E, dated September 10, 1997, and effective September 16, 1997, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class D airspace designation

listed in this document would be published subsequently in the Order.

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore, (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (Air).

The Proposed Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, CLASS B, CLASS C, CLASS D, AND CLASS E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIRWAYS; ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

§71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9D, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated September 10, 1997, and effective September 16, 1997, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 5000 Class D Airspace.

ASO NC D Albemarle, NC [New]

Stanley County Airport, NC (Lat. 35°24′55″ N, long. 80°09′03″ W)

That airspace extending upward from the surface to and including 3,100 feet MSL within a 3.9-mile radius of Stanley County Airport. This Class D airspace area is effective during the specific dates and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will

thereafter be continuously published in the Airport/Facility Directory.

Issued in College Park, Georgia, on August 17, 1998.

Wade T. Carpenter,

Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division, Southern Region.

[FR Doc. 98–23007 Filed 8–26–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 98-ASO-15]

Proposed Amendment of Class E Airspace; Chester, SC

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This notice proposes to amend Class E airspace at Chester, SC. A Non-Directional Radio Beacon (NDB) Runway (RWY) 35 Standard Instrument Approach Procedure (SIAP) has been developed for Chester Municipal Airport. As a result, additional controlled airspace extending upward from 700 feet Above Ground Level (AGL) is needed to accommodate the SIAP and for Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) operations at Chester Municipal Airport. The Class E airspace would be increased from a 6.4-mile radius to a 7mile radius of the Chester Municipal Airport.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before September 28, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on the proposal in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Docket No. 98–ASO–15, Manager, Airspace Branch, ASO–520, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320.

The official docket may be examined in the Office of the Regional Counsel for Southern Region, Room 550, 1701 Columbia Avenue, College Park, Georgia 30337, telephone (404) 305–5586.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy B. Shelton, Manager, Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320;

telephone (404) 305–5586. **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Comments Invited

Interested parties are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis