

Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395-7285. Additionally comments may also be submitted to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Justice Management Division, Information Management and Security Staff, Attention: Department Deputy Clearance Officer, Suite 850, 1001 G Street, NW, Washington, DC 20530. Written comments and/or suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the function of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information:

(1) *Type of information collection:* Extension of previously approved collection.

(2) *The title of the form/collection:* Analysis Protocol: Enhanced Evaluation PSP.

(3) *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* Form COPS 24/01. Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, United States Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Local law enforcement agencies that received a Problem-Solving Partnerships (PSP) grant and that were selected to participate in an enhanced evaluation of their PSP grant.

The PSP grant is one one-year grant program designed to support local law enforcement agencies in entering collaborative agreements with non-profit community-based entities to fight a specific crime problem through an innovative community policing plan. Grants were awarded to 470 jurisdictions in 1997. As described by the PSP initiative, it was required that a minimum of 5% of awarded funds be used to assess the impact of the

problem-solving approach on the target problem. Currently of COPS Office is entering into collaborative agreements with a sub-group of approximately 15 PSP grantees to fund the implementation of an enhanced evaluation. This enhanced evaluation will allow the COPS Office to document the process and outcomes of applying a problem-solving model to five problem types: auto-theft, loitering/disorderly conduct, residential burglary, robbery, and street-level drug dealing. The analysis protocol in consideration covers all areas necessary to document the processes and outcomes of sites' problem-solving projects.

*Primary:* State, Local or Tribal Government.

*Other:* none.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply:* Enhanced Evaluation PSP: Approximately 120 respondents, at 7 hours per respondent (including record-keeping).

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* Approximately 840 hours.

If additional information is required contact: Ms. Brenda Dyer, Deputy Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 850, Washington Center, 1001 G Street, NW, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: August 19, 1998.

**Brenda E. Dyer,**

*Department Deputy Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 98-22756 Filed 8-24-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-AT-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Office of Community Oriented Policing Services; Agency Information Collection Activities: Extension of a Currently Approved Application; Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection under review; COPS Visiting Fellowship Program application form.

The Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services has submitted the following information collection request for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction of 1995. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval is being sought for the information collection listed below.

This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** on April 22, 1998 allowing for a 60-day public comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comment until September 24, 1998. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20530. Additionally, comments may also be submitted to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Justice Management Division, Information Management and Security Staff, Attention: Department Deputy Clearance Officer, Suite 850, 1001 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies should address one or more of the following points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Overview of this information:* COPS Visiting Fellowship Program Application Form.

(1) *Type of information collection:* Extension of previously approved collection.

(2) *The title of the form/collection:* COPS Visiting Fellowship Program Application Form.

(3) *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* Form: COPS 26/01. Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, United States Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief*

*abstract.* Applicants interested in contributing to the use and enhancement of community policing to address crime and related problems in communities across the country. Applicants may include individuals, public agencies, colleges or universities, nonprofit organizations, and profit-making organizations willing to waive their fees.

The COPS Visiting Fellowship Program is intended to offer researchers, law enforcement professionals and legal experts an opportunity to undertake independent research, program development activities and policy analysis designed to (1) improve police-citizen cooperation and communication; (2) to enhance police relationships within the criminal justice system, as well as at all levels of local government; (3) to increase police and citizens' ability to innovatively solve community problems; (4) to facilitate the restructuring of agencies to allow the fullest use of departmental and community resources; (5) to promote the effective flow and use of information both within and outside an agency; and (6) to improve law enforcement responsiveness to members of the community. Visiting fellows study a topic of mutual interest to the Fellow and the COPS Office for up to 12 months. While in residence with the COPS Office, Fellows contribute to the development of community policing programs that are national in scope.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* COPS Visiting Fellowship Program Application Form: Approximately 15 respondents, at 22 hours per respondent (including record-keeping).

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection.* Approximately 330 hours.

If additional information is required contact: Ms. Brenda E. Dyer, Deputy Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 850, Washington Center, 1001 G Street NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: August 19, 1998.

**Brenda E. Dyer,**

*Department Deputy Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 98-22757 Filed 8-24-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-AT-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Drug Enforcement Administration

#### Gary C. Hassmann, M.D.; Denial of Application

On January 13, 1998, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), issued an Order to Show Cause to Gary C. Hassmann, M.D. of Tulsa, Oklahoma, notifying him of an opportunity to show cause as to why DEA should not deny his application for registration as a practitioner under 21 U.S.C. 823(f), for reason that such registration would be inconsistent with the public interest. Specifically, the Order to Show Cause alleged that:

1. Between February 1987 and August 1987, [Dr. Hassmann] met with DEA undercover agents on at least five occasions for the purpose of investing in a cocaine smuggling operation. During that period, [Dr. Hassmann] supplied the agents with \$99,200.00 to finance the purchase of approximately fourteen kilograms of cocaine for distribution in the United States.

2. On February 19, 1988, in the United States District Court, Western District of Texas, [Dr. Hassmann] pled guilty to one felony count of traveling in interstate and foreign commerce for the purpose of distributing the proceeds of an unlawful activity. [Dr. Hassmann was] sentenced to five years imprisonment.

3. As a result of [his] conviction, on September 24, 1998, the Texas State Board of Medical Examiners revoked [his] license to practice medicine in that state. Effective February 1, 1991, the Oklahoma State Board of Medical Licensure and Supervision placed [his] state license to practice medicine on probation for a period of five years. In addition, on March 18, 1991, the New Jersey State Board of Medical Examiners revoked [his] license to practice medicine in that state.

4. [Dr. Hassmann] materially falsified [his] December 23, 1995, application for [a] DEA Certificate of Registration by failing to indicate the revocation of [his] licenses to practice medicine in Texas and New Jersey and the imposition of probation on [his] Oklahoma medical license.

5. On [his] December 23, 1995, application for [a] DEA Certificate of Registration, [Dr. Hassmann] applied for controlled substance authority in Schedules II through V. Subsequently, [he] entered into a written stipulation with the Oklahoma Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs Control, effective September 1, 1996, in which it was agreed that [he] would be granted a limited narcotics registration on a probationary status for a period of five years. It was further agreed that during the five-year probationary period, [his] state narcotic registration would be limited to controlled substance authority in Schedules III, IV and V. Therefore, [Dr. Hassmann is] currently without authorization to handle Schedule II controlled substances in the State of Oklahoma. 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3).

The order also notified Dr. Hassmann that should no request for a hearing be filed within 30 days, his hearing right would be deemed waived. The DEA received a signed receipt indicating that Dr. Hassmann received the order on February 4, 1998. No request for a hearing or any other reply was received by the DEA from Dr. Hassmann or anyone purporting to represent him in this matter. Therefore, the Acting Deputy Administrator, finding that (1) 30 days have passed since the receipt of the Order to Show Cause, and (2) no request for a hearing having been received, concludes that Dr. Hassmann is deemed to have waived his hearing right. After considering material from the investigative file in this matter, the Acting Deputy Administrator now enters his final order without a hearing pursuant to 21 CFR 1301.43 (d) and (e) and 1301.46.

The Acting Deputy Administrator finds that between February and August 1987, Dr. Hassmann met on at least five occasions with undercover DEA agents for the purpose of investing in a cocaine smuggling operation. Dr. Hassmann supplied the undercover agents with \$99,200.00 to finance the purchase of approximately 14 kilograms of cocaine for distribution in the United States. Dr. Hassmann indicated that he intended to take the profits from the sale of the cocaine and reinvest the money in the purchase of an additional 40 kilograms. On August 11, 1987, Dr. Hassmann was arrested and charged with attempted possession with intent to distribute cocaine in violation of 21 U.S.C. 841(a)(1).

Ultimately, Dr. Hassmann was charged in a one count information in the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas with the use of interstate facilities to commit a crime in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1952(a)(1). The information charged that Dr. Hassmann traveled in interstate and foreign commerce with money from the Bahamas to the United States and distributed the money with intent to facilitate the attempted purchase and possession for distribution of a quantity of cocaine. Pursuant to a plea agreement filed on February 19, 1998, Dr. Hassmann pled guilty to the information and agreed to surrender his DEA and state controlled substance privileges. On March 24, 1998, Dr. Hassmann was sentenced to five years imprisonment and fined \$25,000.00.

On September 24, 1988, the Texas State Board of Medical Examiners revoked Dr. Hassmann's license to practice medicine in that state based upon his conviction and his failure to practice medicine in an acceptable