record to 1.5 hours for an employee to have a medical exam

Total Burden Hours: 138,134 Total annualized capital/startup costs: 0.

Total annual cost (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$12,111,320

Description: The Cotton Dust standard and its information collection requirements provide protection for employees from the adverse health effects associated with occupational exposure to Cotton Dust. The standard requires that employers establish a compliance program, including exposure monitoring and medical records. These records are used by employees, physicians, employers and OSHA to determine the effectivess of the employers' compliance efforts. Also the standard requires that OSHA have access to various records to ensure that employers are complying with the disclosure provisions.

Agency: Occupational Safety and Health Administration.

*Title:* Acrylonitrile (29 CFR 1910.1045).

*OMB Number:* 1218–0126 (extension). *Frequency:* On Occasion.

Affected Public: Business or other forprofit; Federal Government; local or tribal government.

Number of Respondents: 26.
Estimated Time Per Respondent:
Ranges from 5 minutes to maintain a record to 1.5 hours for an employee to have a medical exam.

Total Burden Hours: 6,867. Total annualized capital/startup costs: 0.

Total annual costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$311,360.

Description: The Acrylonitrile (AN) standard and its information collection requirements provide protection for employees from the adverse health effects associated with occupational exposure to Acrylonitrile. The Standard requires employers to monitor employee exposure, establish and maintain a compliance program, provide medical surveillance, to train employees about the hazards of AN, and to establish and maintain accurate records of employee exposure to AN. These records are used by employees, physicians, employers and the OSHA to determine the effectiveness of the employers' compliance efforts. Also the standard requires that OSHA have access to various records to ensure that employers are complying with the disclosure provisions of the AN standard.

*Agency:* Mine Safety and Health Administration.

*Title:* Escape and Evacuation Plans. *OMB Number:* 1219–0046 (extension).

Frequency: On Occasion.

Affected Public: Business or other forprofit.

Number of Respondents: 270. Estimated Time Per Respondent: 24 nours.

Total Burden Hours: 6,480. Total annualized capital/startup costs: 0.

Total annual costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$2,430.

Description: Requires operators of underground coal mines to keep records of the results of mandatory weekly examinations of emergency escapeways. The records are used to determine that the integrity of the escapeway is being maintained.

#### Todd R. Owen,

Departmental Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. 98–22599 Filed 8–21–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–26–M

# NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

#### Lab Test On Kennedy Assassination Evidence

**AGENCY:** National Archives and Records Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) will work with the John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Review Board (Review Board) to arrange the analysis in an FBI laboratory of a piece of evidence from the assassination of President John F. Kennedy.

The evidence item is Warren Commission Exhibit (CE) #567, which is the nose portion of a bullet from the limousine seat in which the President was riding and which consists of five fragments—one larger copper and lead fragment and four smaller pieces of possibly organic material. The larger fragment still has "fibrous/plant debris" adhering to it. The testing will be done on the fibrous debris, not the fragment itself, and on the four small pieces of possibly organic material. The purpose of the test will be to determine specifically the composition of the fibrous material and the small fragments.

The testing of the fiber was recommended by the Firearms Examination Panel of the House Select Committee on Assassinations (HSCA) in 1979. This recommendation was not in the published Final Report of the Committee and thus the testing was never done. NARA agrees with the Review Board that conducting limited testing to complete this "unfinished business" is in the public interest.

The fibrous material may be from clothing the president was wearing, or the fiber may be from material in which the bullet was wrapped after the assassination, or the tests may be inconclusive. NARA chose the FBI laboratories for the analysis as the best equipped and most expertly staffed for the purpose. To assure objectivity, the Review Board will select one or more independent observers to verify the appropriateness of the procedure and to be present throughout the testing, each phase of which will be thoroughly documented. The report on the results of the testing will be made public.

Dated: August 17, 1998.

#### John W. Carlin,

Archivist of the United States.
[FR Doc. 98–22674 Filed 8–21–98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7515–01–P

#### NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR LITERACY

#### **Notice of Meeting**

**AGENCY:** National Institute for Literacy Advisory Board, National Institute for Literacy.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: This Notice sets forth the schedule and proposed agenda for a forthcoming meeting of the National Institute for Literacy Advisory Board (Board). This notice also describes the function of the Board. Notice of this meeting is required under Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. This document is intended to notify the general public of their opportunity to attend the meeting.

**DATE AND TIME:** September 10, 1998 from 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. and September 11, 1998 from 9:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: National Institute for Literacy, 800 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 200, Washington, DC 20006.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carolyn Staley, Deputy Director, National Institute for Literacy, 800

Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 200, NW 20006. Telephone (202) 632–1526.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Board is established under Section 384 of the Adult Education Act, as amended by Title I of P.L. 102–73, the National Literacy Act of 1991. The Board consists of ten individuals appointed by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate. The Board is established to advise and make recommendations to the Interagency Group, composed of the Secretaries of Education, Labor, and

Health and Human Services, which administers the National Institute for Literacy (Institute). The Interagency Group considers the Board's recommendations in planning the goals of the Institute and in the implementation of any programs to achieve the goals of the Institute. Specifically, the Board performs the following functions" (a) makes recommendations concerning the appointment of the Director and the staff of the Institute; (b) provides independent advice on operation of the Institute; and (c) receives reports from the Interagency Group and Director of the Institute. In additional, the Institute consults with the Board on the award of fellowships. The Board will meet in Washington, DC on September 10, 1998 from 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. and September 11, 1998 from 9:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. The meeting of the NIFL Advisory Board is open to the public. This meeting of the Advisory Board will focus on the following agenda items: the administrative structure of the NIFL and its staffing; a briefing on the 1998-99 Literacy Leader Fellowships; and testimony from invited State Directors of Adult Education. Records are kept of all Board proceedings and are available for public inspection at the National Institute for Literacy, 800 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 200, Washington, DC 20006 from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Dated: August 19, 1998.

### Andrew J. Hartman,

Executive Director, National Institute for Literacy.

[FR Doc. 98–22611 Filed 8–21–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6055–01–M

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-333]

Power Authority of the State of New York; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed no Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission (the Commission) is
considering issuance of an amendment
to Facility Operating License No. DPR–
59 issued to the Power Authority of the
State of New York (the licensee, also
known as the New York Power
Authority) for operation of the James A.
FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant
(FitzPatrick) located in Oswego County,
New York.

The proposed amendment would revise the FitzPatrick technical specifications to provide for installation of additional racks to increase spent fuel storage capacity, and correct the maximum exposure dependent, infinite lattice multiplication factor for fuel bundles.

The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of an Amendment published in the Federal Register on February 25, 1998 (63 FR 9613). This notice contained the Commission's proposed determination that the requested amendment involved no significant hazards considerations, offered an opportunity for comments on the Commission's proposed determination, and offered an opportunity for the applicant to request a hearing on the amendment and for persons whose interest might be affected to petition for leave to intervene.

Due to oversight, the February 25, 1998, Notice of Consideration of Amendment did not provide notice that this application involves a proceeding on an application for a license amendment falling within the scope of section 134 of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982. Such notice is required by the Commission's regulations, 10 CFR 2.1107.

The Commission hereby provides such notice that this is a proceeding on an application for a license amendment falling within the scope of section 134 of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 (NWPA), 42 U.S.C. 10154. Under section 134 of the NWPA, the Commission, at the request of any party to the proceeding, must use hybrid hearing procedures with respect to "any matter which the Commission determines to be in controversy among the parties."

The hybrid procedures in section 134 provide for oral argument on matters in controversy, preceded by discovery under the Commission's rules and the designation, following argument of only those factual issues that involve a genuine and substantial dispute, together with any remaining questions of law, to be resolved in an adjudicatory hearing. Actual adjudicatory hearings are to be held on only those issues found to meet the criteria of section 134 and set for hearing after oral argument.

The Commission's rules implementing section 134 of the NWPA are found in 10 CFR Part 2, Subpart K, "Hybrid Hearing Procedures for Expansion of Spent Fuel Storage Capacity at Civilian Nuclear Power Reactors" (published at 50 FR 41662 dated October 15, 1985). Under those rules, any party to the proceeding may

invoke the hybrid hearing procedures by filing with the presiding officer a written request for oral argument under 10 CFR 2.1109. To be timely, the request must be filed within ten (10) days of an order granting a request for hearing or petition to intervene. (As outlined below, the Commission's rules in 10 CFR Part 2, Subpart G continue to govern the filing of requests for a hearing and petitions to intervene, as well as the admission of contentions.) The presiding officer must grant a timely request for oral argument. The presiding officer may grant an untimely request for oral argument only upon a showing of good cause by the requesting party for the failure to file on time and after providing the other parties an opportunity to respond to the untimely request. If the presiding officer grants a request for oral argument, any hearing held on the application must be conducted in accordance with the hybrid hearing procedures. In essence, those procedures limit the time available for discovery and require that an oral argument be held to determine whether any contentions must be resolved in an adjudicatory hearing. If no party to the proceeding timely requests oral argument, and if all untimely requests for oral argument are denied, then the usual procedures in 10 CFR Part 2, Subpart G apply.

By September 23, 1998, the licensee, if it wishes to invoke the hybrid hearing procedures, may file a request for such hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to invoke the hybrid hearing procedures and to participate as a party in such proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Rochester Public Library, 115 South Avenue, Rochester, New York 14610. If a request for a hearing and petition for leave to intervene seeking to invoke the hybrid hearing procedures in accordance with this notice is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the