repair scheme. Accomplishment of the proposed replacement (if necessary) would be required in accordance with the applicable maintenance manual.

#### **Cost Impact**

The FAA estimates that 600 airplanes in the U.S. registry would be affected by the proposed AD, that it would take approximately 2 workhours per airplane to accomplish the proposed initial inspection, and that the average labor rate is approximately \$60 an hour. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the initial inspection specified in the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$72,000, or \$120 per airplane.

These figures only take into account the costs of the proposed initial inspection and do not take into account the costs of repetitive inspections and the costs associated with any repair that would be necessary if cracks are found. The FAA has no way of determining the number of repetitive inspections an owner/operator will incur over the life of the airplane, or the number of airplanes that will need replacement or repair.

#### **Regulatory Impact**

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action has been placed in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

## List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

#### **The Proposed Amendment**

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD) to read as follows:

**Aerostar Aircraft Corporation:** Docket No. 97–CE-139–AD.

*Applicability:* All serial numbers of the following airplane models, certificated in any category:

PA-60-600 (Aerostar 600)

PA-60-601P (Aerostar 601P)

PA-60-700P (Aerostar 700P)

PA-60-601 (Aerostar 601)

PA-60-602P (Aerostar 602P)

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (d) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

*Compliance:* Required as indicated in the body of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To detect and correct fatigue cracking of the wing upper spar cap, which could result in structural failure of the wing spar to the point of failure with consequent loss of control of the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Within the next 100 hours time-inservice (TIS) after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 100 hours TIS, inspect the forward face of each wing's 55-percent upper spar cap for cracks above the main landing gear fitting in the top of the wheel well. Accomplish this inspection in accordance with the INSTRUCTIONS section of Aerostar Service Bulletin SB600–132, dated September 3, 1997. The initial inspection must be accomplished using dye penetrant methods and all subsequent inspections must be, at the very least, visual inspections.

(b) If any crack(s) is/are found during any inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, prior to further flight, accomplish either paragraph (b)(1) or (b)(2) of this AD (below):

(1) Replace the upper spar cap in accordance with the applicable maintenance manual, and continue to repetitively inspect as required by paragraph (a) of this AD; or

(2) Obtain a repair scheme from the manufacturer through the FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, at the address specified in paragraph (d) of this AD; incorporate this scheme; and continue to repetitively inspect as required by paragraph (a) of this AD, unless specified differently in the instructions to the repair scheme.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the initial or repetitive compliance times that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), 1601 Lind Avenue, SW, Renton, Washington 98055–4056. The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

(e) All persons affected by this directive may obtain copies of the document referred to herein upon request to the Aerostar Aircraft Corporation, 3608 S. Davison Boulevard, Spokane, Washington 99224–5799; or may examine this document at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on August 13, 1998.

#### Michael Gallagher,

Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 98–22542 Filed 8–20–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY**

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms

27 CFR Parts 4, 19, 24, 194, 250 and 251

(Notice No. 859)

RIN 1512-AB71

Implementation of Public Law 105–34, Sections 908, 910 and 1415, Related to Hard Cider, Semi-Generic Wine Designations, and Wholesale Liquor Dealers' Signs (97–2523)

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF), Department of the Treasury.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking cross referenced to temporary regulations.

**SUMMARY:** In the Rules and Regulations portion of this Federal Register, the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF) is issuing temporary regulations to implement sections 908, 910 and 1415 of the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997. The new law made changes in the excise tax on hard cider, clarified the authority to use semi-generic designations on wine labels, and repealed the requirement for wholesale dealers in liquors to post signs. The wine regulations are amended to incorporate the new cider tax rate and to recognize the labeling changes relative to the designation of hard cider. These regulations are also amended to incorporate the semi-generic wine designations, and the liquor dealers' regulations are amended to eliminate the requirement for posting a sign. Clarifying changes are made to parts 19, 250 and 251. In this notice of proposed rulemaking, ATF invites comments on the temporary rule.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before October 20, 1998. ADDRESSES: Send written comments to: Chief, Regulations Branch, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, PO Box 50221, Washington, DC 20091–0221, Attention: Notice Number 859.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marjorie D. Ruhf, Regulations Branch, 650 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20226; (202) 927–8230; or mdruhf@atfhq.atf.treas.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Executive Order 12866**

It has been determined that this proposed rule is not a significant regulatory action as defined by Executive Order 12866. Therefore, a regulatory assessment is not required.

#### Regulatory Flexibility Act

It is hereby certified that these proposed regulations will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, a regulatory flexibility analysis is not required. The revenue effects of this rulemaking on small businesses flow directly from the underlying statute. Likewise, any secondary or incidental effects, and any reporting, recordkeeping, or other compliance burdens flow directly from the statute. Pursuant to 26 U.S.C. 7805(f), this proposed regulation will be submitted to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration for comment on its impact on small business.

#### **Public Participation**

ATF requests comments on the temporary regulations from all interested persons. Comments received on or before the closing date will be carefully considered. Comments received after that date will be given the same consideration if it is practicable to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given except as to comments received on or before the closing date.

Comments may be submitted by facsimile transmission (FAX) to (202) 927–8602, provided the comments: (1) Are legible, (2) are  $8^{1/2}$ "  $\times$  11" in size, (3) contain a written signature, and (4) are three pages or less in length. This limitation is necessary to assure reasonable access to the equipment. Comments sent by FAX in excess of three pages will not be accepted. Receipt of FAX transmittals will not be acknowledged. Facsimile transmitted comments will be treated as originals.

ATF will not recognize any material in comments as confidential. Comments may be disclosed to the public. Any material which the commenter considers to be confidential or inappropriate for disclosure to the public should not be included in the comment. The name of the person submitting the comment is not exempt from disclosure. During the comment period, any person may request an opportunity to present oral testimony at a public hearing. However, the Director reserves the right, in light of all circumstances, to determine if a public hearing is necessary.

The temporary regulations in this issue of the **Federal Register** amend the regulations in 27 CFR Parts 4, 19, 24, 194, 250 and 251. For the text of the temporary regulations see T.D. ATF–398, published in the Rules and Regulations section of this issue of the **Federal Register**.

# **Drafting Information**

The principal author of this document is Marjorie D. Ruhf, Regulations Branch, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms. However, other personnel of ATF and the Treasury Department participated in developing the document.

Signed: July 23, 1998.

#### John W. Magaw,

Director

Approved: July 23, 1998.

#### John P. Simpson,

Deputy Assistant Secretary (Regulatory, Tariff and Trade Enforcement).

[FR Doc. 98–22502 Filed 8–20–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4810–31–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 184-0094; FRL-6149-4]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision; South Coast Air Quality Management District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

summary: EPA is proposing a disapproval of revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern the potential exemption of sources from applicable emission limits contained in permits and in source category specific rules when excess emissions occur due to an unavoidable malfunction. EPA has evaluated these revisions and is proposing to disapprove them because they contain deficiencies that, if approved, would weaken the SIP.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed action must be received in writing on or before September 21, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Copies of the rule and EPA's evaluation report of the rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule are also available for inspection at the following locations:

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460.

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 L Street, Sacramento, CA 95812.

South Coast Air Quality Management District, 21865 E. Copley Drive, Diamond Bar, CA 91765.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas C. Canaday, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, Telephone: (415) 744–1202.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# I. Applicability

The rule being proposed for disapproval is South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) Rule 430—Breakdown Provisions. Rule 430