generate cost sharing and other forms of financial leveraging for programs.

## **Notification**

Final awards cannot be made until funds have been appropriated by Congress, allocated and committed through internal USIA procedures.

Dated: August 13, 1998.

#### James D. Whitten,

Acting Associate Director for Educational and Cultural Affairs.

[FR Doc. 98–22299 Filed 8–19–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8230–01–M

# UNITED STATES INFORMATION AGENCY

# US Based Training Program (USBT); Request for Proposals

**SUMMARY:** The Advising and Student Services Branch of the United States Information Agency's Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs announces an open competition for an assistance award. Public and private nonprofit organizations meeting the provisions described in IRS regulation 26 CFR 1.501(c)(3)-1 may apply to develop one training program for USIAaffiliated overseas educational advisers to take place in spring 1999. The basic function of an overseas educational adviser is to provide accurate, objective information to foreign audiences on U.S. study opportunities at accredited academic institutions, and to guide students and professionals in selecting a program appropriate to their needs. Participants will be drawn from educational advisers working at USIAaffiliated overseas educational advising centers. The training program is intended for approximately fifteen to eighteen participants. The program must be at least two and one half weeks in duration and must include workshops on advising issues of concern, an internship or other form of substantive professional stayover at a U.S. academic institution(s), and attendance at the national NAFSA: Association of International Educators Conference. USIA anticipates awarding up to \$150,000 to one organization to administer this program.

Overall grant making authority for this program is contained in the Mutual Educational and Cultural Exchange Act of 1961, Public Law 87–256, as amended, also known as the Fulbright-Hays Act. The purpose of the Act is "to enable the Government of the United States to increase mutual understanding between the people of the United States and the people of other countries to strengthen the ties which unite us with

other nations by demonstrating the educational and cultural interests, developments, and achievements of the people of the United States and other nations and thus to assist in the development of friendly, sympathetic and peaceful relations between the United States and the other countries of the world."

Programs and projects must conform with Agency requirements and guidelines outlined in the Solicitation Package. USIA projects and programs are subject to the availability of funds.

Announcement Title and Number: All communications with USIA concerning this RFP should refer to the announcement's title and reference number E/ASA-99-05.

Deadline for Proposals: All copies must be received at the U.S. Information Agency by 5:00 p.m. Washington, D.C. time on Thursday, October 1, 1998. Faxed documents will not be accepted at any time. Documents postmarked by the due date but received at a later date will not be accepted.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Office of Academic Programs, Advising and Student Services Branch, *E/ASA*, U.S. Information Agency, 301 4th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20547, telephone: (202) 619–5434, fax: (202) 401–1433, E-mail: dmora@usia.gov, to request a Solicitation Package containing more detailed criteria. Please request required application forms and standard guidelines for preparing proposals, including specific criteria for preparation of the proposal budget.

To Download a Solicitation Package Via Internet: The entire Solicitation Package may be downloaded from USIA's website at http://www.usia.gov/ education/rfps. Please read all information before downloading.

To Receive a Solicitation Package Via Fax on Demand: The entire Solicitation Package may be received via the Bureau's "Grants Information Fax on Demand System," which is accessed by calling 202/401–7616. Please request a "Catalog" of available documents and order numbers when first entering the system.

Please specify USIA Program Officer Dorothy Mora on all inquiries and correspondences. Interested applicants should read the complete **Federal Register** announcement before sending inquiries or submitting proposals. Once the RFP deadline has passed, Agency staff may not discuss this competition in any way with applicants until the Bureau proposal review process has been completed.

Submissions: Applicants must follow all instructions given in the Solicitation

Package. The original and eight copies of the application should be sent to: U.S. Information Agency, Ref.: *E/ASA-99-05*, Office of Grants Management, E/XE, Room 326, 301 4th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20547.

Diversity, Freedom and Democracy Guidelines: Pursuant to the Bureau's authorizing legislation, programs must maintain a non-political character and should be balanced and representative of the diversity of American political, social, and cultural life. "Diversity" should be interpreted in the broadest sense and encompass differences including, but not limited to ethnicity, race, gender, religion, geographic location, socio-economic status, and physical challenges. Applicants are strongly encouraged to adhere to the advancement of this principle both in program administration and in program content. Please refer to the review criteria under the "Support for Diversity" section for specific suggestions on incorporating diversity into the total proposal. Public Law 104-319 provides that "in carrying out programs of educational and cultural exchange in countries whose people do not fully enjoy freedom and democracy," USIA "shall take appropriate steps to provide opportunities for participation in such programs to human rights and democracy leaders of such countries." Proposals should account for advancement of this goal in their program contents, to the full extent deemed feasible.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Overview**

The training program's objectives are twofold: to strengthen and develop the skills of overseas educational advisers; and to build a corps of knowledgeable advisers who are skilled as trainers and can advance the field of educational advising in their home countries with new and current expertise, techniques and knowledge of applicable technology. Each component of the training program should be designed to provide detailed, hands-on learning in areas such as facilitating access to U.S. higher education, communicating crossculturally, and managing an advising center. Special attention should be given to the use of technology, both as a necessary advising skill, and as a potential tool to develop new and creative advising approaches. Similarly, a significant emphasis should be placed on outreach, partnership and costsharing strategies and skills development.

#### **Guidelines**

#### 1. Participants

For the purposes of this RFP, eligible advisers are defined as those who have demonstrated the skills associated with the four major components of overseas educational advising: (1) basic knowledge of the U.S. and home country educational systems; (2) basic knowledge of the U.S. higher education application process; (3) demonstrated educational advising and cross-cultural communication skills; and (4) demonstrated office management skills as they relate to an overseas advising center. In addition, each participant must demonstrate leadership and a commitment to the profession. Approximately 15–18 participants are expected for this training program. Participants will be selected by USIA based on nominations from overseas posts. To be eligible, an adviser must have at least two to five years of experience and a demonstrated commitment to the field of overseas advising.

# 2. Program Design

USIA invites organizations to submit creative and flexible program plans which can be tailored, in close consultation with E/ASA, to the selected advisers' individual needs. However, the proposal should still include an overall project framework which identifies objectives, an implementation plan and measurable, expected outcomes

expected outcomes.

Possible topics to incorporate in the program include: degree equivalency and accreditation; international student admissions; financial aid; standardized testing; ESL programs; immigration and visa issues; fields of study; cultural adjustment/U.S. societal diversity; specialized Internet usage; distance learning; proposal writing; fundraising; public relations and marketing; determining appropriate fees for students and others, given each host country's environment; trends in advising center cost sharing and training and management of volunteer staff.

#### 3. Timing/Program Phases

The program should include attendance at, and active participation in, the spring national NAFSA conference where workshops and seminars address various issues of current interest to international educators and overseas advisers and where the opportunity to brainstorm and to share information plays an important part. Advisers should have opportunities to present and/or participate in panels and pre-

conference/conference workshops. In 1999, the national conference is scheduled for May 23–28 in Denver, Colorado. In addition, the program should include an internship experience at a U.S. college or university. Ideally, advisers should be on campus while classes are in session to optimize their experience through interaction with students.

#### 4. Logistics

The recipient organization will be responsible for arrangements associated with this program. These include organizing a coherent progression of activities, providing international and domestic travel arrangements for all advisers, making lodging and local transportation arrangements, orienting and debriefing advisers, preparing any necessary support material, locating host campuses and working with host institutions and experts in the field of higher education and overseas advising to achieve maximum program effectiveness through hands-on applications and training and direct involvement in the administration of practices and policies in institutions of higher education.

## 5. Evaluation/Follow-Up

The proposal must include a detailed evaluation and follow-up plan. Special emphasis should be given to designing a program which incorporates outcome measurement strategies that assess its ultimate effectiveness.

# 6. Visa/Insurance/Tax Requirements

The program must comply with B-1 tourist visa regulations. Participant health and accident insurance will be provided to the overseas advisers by USIA; the recipient organization will be responsible for enrolling participants in USIA's insurance program and providing any necessary assistance should medical care be needed. Administration of the program must be in compliance with reporting and withholding regulations for federal, state, and local taxes as applicable. Recipient organizations should demonstrate tax regulation adherence in the proposal narrative and budget.

# 7. Printed Materials

Drafts of all printed materials developed for this program should be submitted to E/ASA for review and approval. All official documents should highlight the U.S. government's role as program sponsor and funding source. USIA requests that it receive the copyright use and be allowed to distribute any of this material if it sees fit to do so.

# Proposed budget

Applicants must submit a comprehensive line item budget based on the budget guidelines in the PSI for the entire program. USIA's grant assistance, up to \$150,000 in total, is expected to constitute only a portion of the total project funding. Cost sharing is required and the proposal should list other anticipated sources of support.

Grants awarded to eligible organizations with less than four years of experience in conducting international exchange programs will be limited to \$60,000.

Applicants must submit a comprehensive budget for the entire program. There must be a summary budget as well as a breakdown reflecting both the administrative budget and the program budget. For further clarification, applicants may provide separate sub-budgets for each program component, phase, location, or activity in order to facilitate USIA decisions on funding.

Allowable costs for the program include the following:

- (1) Salaries and fringe benefits; travel and per diem;
- (2) Other direct costs, inclusive of rent, utilities, etc.;
  - (3) Indirect expenses, auditing costs;
- (4) Participant program costs; i.e., international/domestic travel, per diem, conference attendance, resource materials. Please refer to the Solicitation Package for complete budget guidelines and formatting instructions.

# **Review Process**

USIA will acknowledge receipt of all proposals and will review them for technical eligibility. Proposals will be deemed ineligible if they do not fully adhere to the guidelines stated herein and in the Solicitation Package. Eligible proposals will be forwarded to panels of USIA officers for advisory review. All eligible proposals will be reviewed by the program office, as well as the USIA Area Offices. Proposals may be reviewed by the Office of the General Counsel or by other Agency elements. Funding decisions are at the discretion of the USIA Associate Director for Educational and Cultural Affairs. Final technical authority for assistance awards (grants or cooperative agreements) resides with the USIA grants officer.

# **Review Criteria**

Technically eligible applications will be competitively reviewed according to the criteria stated below. These criteria are not rank ordered and all carry equal weight in the proposal evaluation:

# 1. Quality of the program idea

Proposals should exhibit originality, substance, precision, and relevance to Agency mission.

## 2. Program planning

A detailed agenda and relevant work plan should demonstrate substantive undertakings and logistical capacity. Agenda and plan should adhere to the program overview and guidelines described above.

# 3. Ability to achieve program objectives

Objectives should be reasonable, feasible, and flexible. Proposals should clearly demonstrate how the institution will meet the program's objectives and plan.

# 4. Multiplier effect/impact

Proposed programs should strengthen long-term mutual understanding, including maximum sharing of information and establishment of long-term institutional and individual linkages.

## 5. Support of diversity

Proposals should demonstrate substantive support of the Bureau's policy on diversity. Achievable and relevant features should be cited in both program administration (program venue and program evaluation) and program content (orientation and wrap-up sessions, program meetings, resource materials and follow-up activities).

## 6. Institutional capacity

Proposed personnel and institutional resources should be adequate and appropriate to achieve the program or project's goals.

#### 7. Institution's Record/Ability

Proposals should demonstrate an institutional record of successful exchange programs, including responsible fiscal management and full compliance with all reporting requirements for past Agency grants as determined by USIA's Office of Contracts. The Agency will consider the past performance of prior recipients and the demonstrated potential of new applicants.

# 8. Project Evaluation

Proposals should include a plan to evaluate the activity's success, both as the activities unfold and at the end of the program. A draft survey questionnaire or other technique plus description of a methodology to use to link outcomes to original project objectives is recommended. Successful applicants will be expected to submit intermediate reports after each project component is concluded or quarterly, whichever is less frequent.

## 9. Cost-effectiveness

The overhead and administrative components of the proposal, including salaries and honoraria, should be kept as low as possible. All other items should be necessary and appropriate.

## 10. Cost-sharing

Proposals should maximize costsharing through other private sector support as well as institutional direct funding contributions.

#### **Notice**

The terms and conditions published in this RFP are binding and may not be modified by any USIA representative. Explanatory information provided by the Agency that contradicts published language will not be binding. Issuance of the RFP does not constitute an award commitment on the part of the Government. The Agency reserves the right to reduce, revise, or increase proposal budgets in accordance with the needs of the program and the availability of funds. Awards made will be subject to periodic reporting and evaluation requirements.

## **Notification**

Final awards cannot be made until funds have been appropriated by Congress, allocated and committed through internal USIA procedures.

Dated: August 13, 1998.

#### James D. Whitten,

Acting Associate Director for Educational and Cultural Affairs.

[FR Doc. 98–22298 Filed 8–19–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8230–01–M