industry practice, economic behavior, and other relevant criteria are invited.

 Comments requesting the Department to readopt any of the vacated provisions should include suggestions on how the Department could better justify doing so in light of the concerns raised by the court.

Accordingly, the Department is requesting comments on the matters stated above and is requesting proposals to replace provisions for the vacated portions of the Policy Statement.

### Petitions for Rulemaking

The petitions for rulemaking of ACI/ AAAE and ATA evidently start from different assumptions and propose significantly divergent policies. Moreover, as discussed above, the Department has determined that additional information and input is needed before a specific proposal is formulated. Accordingly, the Department is opening a new docket to receive comments on fee reasonableness. The Department is taking no further action on these petitions at this time. Therefore, this Advance Notice of Proposed Policy is limited to the issues raised by Air Transport Association of America v. Department of Transportation, 119 F.3d 38 (D.C. Cir. 1997). The substance of the two petitions will be considered along with the comments submitted by other interested parties. Comments on the petitions may be submitted during the reply period.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on August 5, 1998.

### Rodney E. Slater,

Secretary of Transportation.

### Jane F. Garvey,

Adminstrator, Federal Aviation Administration.

[FR Doc. 98–21607 Filed 8–11–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### Aviation Proceedings, Agreements Filed During the Week Ending July 31, 1998

The following Agreements were filed with the Department of Transportation under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. Sections 412 and 414. Answers may be filed within 21 days of date of filing.

Docket Number: OST-98-4265.

Date Filed: July 30, 1998.

Parties: Members of the International

Air Transport Association. Subject: PTC2 EUR–ME 0059 dated July 14, 1998. Europe-Middle East Resolutions r1–35 PTC2 EUR–ME 0060 dated July 17, 1998—Minutes, PTC2 EUR-ME Fares 0019 dated July 28, 1998—Tables Intended effective date: January 1, 1999.

### Dorothy W. Walker,

Federal Register Liaison.

[FR Doc. 98–21584 Filed 8–11–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-62-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

Intent to Rule on Application to Impose a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Chicago O'Hare International Airport, Chicago, Illinois and Use FPC Revenue at Gary Regional Airport, Gary, Indiana

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent to Rule on

application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose a FPC at Chicago O'Hare International Airport and use the revenue from a PFC at Gary Regional Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before September 11, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Federal Aviation
Administration, Chicago Airports
District Office, 2300 East Devon
Avenue, Room 201, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Ms. Mary Rose Loney, Commissioner, of the City of Chicago Department of Aviation at the following address: Chicago O'Hare International Airport, P.O. Box 66142, Chicago, Illinois 60666. Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the City of Chicago Department of Aviation under section 158.23 of Part 158.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Philip M. Smithmeyer, Manager, Chicago Airmonto District Office, 2200

Chicago Airports District Office, 2300 East Devon Avenue, Room 201, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018, (847) 294–7335. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose

a PFC at Chicago O'Hare International Airport and use the revenue from a PFC at Gary Regional Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

On July 15, 1998, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by City of Chicago Department of Aviation was substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of Part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than November 5, 1998.

The following is a brief overview of the application. PFC application number: 98–09–C–00–ORD.

Level the PFC: \$3.00.

Original charge effective date: September 1, 1993.

Revised proposed charge expiration date: November 1, 2011.

*Total estimated PFC revenue:* \$1,540,000.00.

Brief description of proposed project(s):

- a. Phase II Airport Master Plan
- b. Terminal Apron Expansionc. Snow Removal Equipment

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: Air Taxi operators.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT. In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the City of Chicago Department of Aviation.

Issued in Des Plaines, Illinois on August 6, 1998.

### Robert Benko,

Acting Manager, Planning/Programming Branch, Airports Division, Great Lakes Region.

[FR Doc. 98–21602 Filed 8–11–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-98-4209]

Red River Manufacturing, Inc., Receipt of Application for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance

Red River Manufacturing, Inc. (Red River), a manufacturer of trailers, of

West Fargo, North Dakota, has determined that since March 14, 1996, its tire and rim label information was not in full compliance with 49 CFR 571.120, Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 120, "Tire Selection and Rims for Vehicles Other Than Passenger Cars," and has filed an appropriate report pursuant to 49 CFR Part 573, "Defect and Noncompliance Reports." Red River has also applied to be exempted from the notification and remedy requirements of 49 U.S.C. Chapter 301—"Motor Vehicle Safety" on the basis that the noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety.

This notice of receipt of an application is published under 49 U.S.C. 30118 and 30120 and does not represent any agency decision or other exercise of judgment concerning the

merits of the application. Paragraph S5.3 of FMVSS No. 120 states that each vehicle shall show the information specified on the tire information level in both English and metric units. The standard also shows an example of the prescribed format.

Since the law went into effect on March 14, 1996, Red River manufactured and/or distributed 1.063 trailers that do not meet the requirements stated in the standard. The certification label affixed to Red River's trailers pursuant to Part 567 failed to comply with S5.3 of FMVSS No. 120 because of the omission of metric measurements, and Red River did not separately provide the metric measurements on another label, an alternative allowed by FMVSS No. 120. The use of metric measurements is required by FMVSS No. 120, pursuant to Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards: Metric Conversion, 50 FR 13639, published on March 14, 1995, and effective on March 14, 1996.

Red River supports its application for inconsequential noncompliance with the following statements:

1. The label contained the correct English unit information.

Red River had been unaware of the

metric measurement requirement because Red River interpreted Part 567 as suggesting the use of metric measurements is permissive, not mandatory, and did not understand that FMVSS No. 120 made the use of certain metric measurements mandatory.

3. FMVSS No. 120's metric measurement requirements were not mandated for safety purposes. Rather, in designating the matric system as the preferred system of weights and measures, Congress was concerned chiefly with the contributions that the metric system could make to the international competitiveness of U.S.

industries and to the efficiency of governmental operations.

- 4. The dual labeling requirement is to continue until consumers become familiar with metric measurements.
- 5. The omission of metric measurements from Red River's FMVSS No. 120 certification label is highly unlike to have any effect whatever on motor vehicle safety, both because the correct English units are used on Red River's labels and because of the small number of trailers involved.
- 6. As soon as practicable upon learning of its noncompliance, Red River has converted its labels to metric measurements, in conformity with those requirements.

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments on the application described above. Comments should refer to the docket number and be submitted to: U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Management, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C., 20590. It is requested that two copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated below will be considered. The application and supporting materials, and all comments received after the closing date, will also be filed and will be considered to the extent possible. When the application is granted or denied, the notice will be published in the Federal Register pursuant to the authority indicated below.

Comment closing date: September 11, 1998.

(49 U.S.C. 30118, 30120; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8)

Issued on: August 6, 1998.

## L. Robert Shelton,

Associate Administrator for Safety Performance Standards.

[FR Doc. 98-21583 Filed 8-11-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY**

**Internal Revenue Service** 

[PS-268-82]

## **Proposed Collection; Comment** Request For Regulation Project

**AGENCY:** Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Treasury, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and

other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). Currently, the IRS is soliciting comments concerning an existing final regulation, PS-268-82 (TD 8696), Definitions Under Subchapter S of the Internal Revenue Code (§ 1.1377–1). DATES: Written comments should be received on or before October 13, 1998 to be assured of consideration. ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Garrick R. Shear, Internal Revenue Service, room 5571, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20224. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the regulation should be directed to Carol Savage, (202) 622-3945, Internal Revenue Service, room 5569, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20224.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Definitions Under Subchapter S of the Internal Revenue Code. OMB Number: 1545-1462.

Regulation Project Number: PS-268-

Abstract: Section 1.1377-1(b)(4) of the regulation provides that an S corporation making a terminating election under Internal Revenue Code section 1377(a)(2) must attach a statement to its timely filed original or amended return required to be filed under Code section 6037(a). The statement must provide information concerning the events that gave rise to the election and declarations of consent from the S corporation shareholders.

Current Actions: There is no change to this existing regulation.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Business or other forprofit organizations, and individuals.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 4,000.

Estimated Time Per Respondent: 15 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1,000.

The following paragraph applies to all of the collections of information covered by this notice:

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the 3 collection of information displays a valid OMB control number.

Books or records relating to a collection of information must be retained as long as their contents may become material in the administration of any internal revenue law. Generally,