

23. The California Power Exchange Corp.

[Docket No. ER98-4085-000]

On July 31, 1998, the California Power Exchange Corporation (PX), tendered for filing a PX Participation Agreement between the PX and Portland General Electric Company in compliance with the Commission's May 19, 1998 order. California Power Exch. Corp., 83 FERC 61,186 (1998).

The PX states that this filing has been served upon all parties on the official service list in the above-captioned docket.

Comment date: August 20, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

24. The California Power Exchange Corp

[Docket No. ER98-4086-000]

On July 31, 1998, the California Power Exchange Corporation (PX), tendered for filing a PX Participation Agreement between the PX and the Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District in compliance with the Commission's May 19, 1998 order. California Power Exch. Corp., 83 FERC 61,186 (1998).

The PX states that this filing has been served upon all parties on the official service list in the above-captioned docket.

Comment date: August 20, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

25. The California Power Exchange Corp.

[Docket No. ER98-4087-000]

On July 31, 1998, the California Power Exchange Corporation (PX), tendered for filing a PX Participation Agreement between the PX and the Sierra Pacific Power Company in compliance with the Commission's May 19, 1998 order. California Power Exch. Corp., 83 FERC 61,186 (1998).

The PX states that this filing has been served upon the parties on the official service list in the above-captioned docket.

Comment date: August 20, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

26. Gulf Power Company

[Docket No. DR98-57-000]

Take notice that on July 22 1998, Gulf Power Company, filed under protest a request for approval of changes in depreciation rates for accounting purposes only pursuant to Section 302 of the Federal Power Act. The proposed rates were approved for retail purposes by the Florida Public Service

Commission effective as of January 1, 1998.

Comment date: September 4, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of these filings are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

David P. Boergers,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-21408 Filed 8-10-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP98-596-000]

Columbia Gulf Transmission Company; Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Assessment for Columbia Gulf Transmission Company's Proposed Mainline 99 Project and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues

August 5, 1998.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) will prepare an environmental assessment (EA) that will discuss the environmental impacts of the construction and operation of the proposed Mainline 99 Project.¹ This EA will be used by the Commission in its decision-making process to determine whether the project is in the public convenience and necessity.

Summary of the Proposed Project

Columbia Gulf Transmission Company (Columbia Gulf) proposed to increase the horsepower at their Corinth

¹ Columbia Gulf Transmission Company's application was filed with the Commission under Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Commission's regulations.

and Inverness Compressor Stations in Alcorn County and Humphreys County, Mississippi, respectively. Columbia Gulf also proposes to increase the horsepower at its Hampshire Compressor Station in Maury County, Tennessee. A new compressor unit of greater horsepower would replace one existing compressor unit at each station. A total of 11,014-hp of compression would be added to Columbia Gulf's system which would allow an additional 96,555 Dth/day.

The Corinth and Inverness Compressor Stations each have a 12,050-hp rated Pratt & Whitney, GG3C-1 turbine and an Ingersoll-Rand IR-JP-125-30" centrifugal compressor that would be replaced with a 17,282-hp rated Solar Turbines Incorporated (Solar) Titan 130-T18000S turbine driver with a C652 centrifugal compressor and appurtenances.

The Hampshire Compressor Station's 14,000 HP rated Pratt & Whitney, GG3C-4 power turbine, with a Clark 70-01-0-48" centrifugal compressor would be replaced with a 14,550 HP rated Solar Mars 100-T15000S turbine driver with a C651 centrifugal compressor and appurtenances.

The construction of all new units would be within the existing compressor station sites.

The location of the project facilities is shown in appendix 2.² If you are interested in obtaining procedural information, please write to the Secretary of the Commission.

Land Requirements for Construction

The replacement project would not require any additional land outside the existing compressor station facilities and all earth disturbance and construction activities would take place entirely within Columbia Gulf's existing properties at all three compressor stations. The total area of earth disturbance would be approximately 0.5 acres at the Corinth and Inverness compressor stations and 0.75 acres at the Hampshire Compressor Station.

The EA Process

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires the Commission to take into account the environmental impacts that could result from an action whenever it considers the issuance of a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity. NEPA also requires us to

² The appendices referenced in this notice are not being printed in the **Federal Register**. Copies are available from the Commission's Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, or call (202) 208-1371. Copies of the appendices were sent to all those receiving this notice in the mail.

discover and address concerns the public may have about proposals. We call this "scoping". The main goal of the scoping process is to focus the analysis in the EA on the important environmental issues. By this Notice of Intent, the Commission requests public comments on the scope of the issues it will address in the EA. All comments received are considered during the preparation of the EA. State and local government representatives are encouraged to notify their constituents of the proposed action and encourage them to comment on their areas of concern.

The EA will discuss impacts that could occur as a result of the construction and operation of the proposed project under these general headings:

- Air quality and noise impacts associated with construction and operation.

We will also evaluate possible alternatives to the proposed project or portions of the project, and make recommendations on how to lessen or avoid impacts on the various resource areas.

Our independent analysis of the issues will be in the EA. Depending on the comments received during the scoping process, the EA may be published and mailed to Federal, state, and local agencies, public interest groups, interested individuals, affected landowners, newspapers, libraries, and the Commission's official service list for this proceeding. A comment period will be allotted for review if the EA is published. We will consider all comments on the EA before we make our recommendations to the Commission.

To ensure your comments are considered, please carefully follow the instructions in the public participation section below.

Public Participation

You can make a difference by providing us with your specific comments or concerns about the project. By becoming a commentor, your concerns will be addressed in the EA and considered by the Commission. You should focus on the potential environmental effects of the proposal, alternatives to the proposal (including alternative locations), and measures to avoid or lessen environmental impact. The more specific your comments, the more useful they will be. Please carefully follow these instructions to ensure that your comments are received in time and properly recorded:

- Send two copies of your letter to: David P. Boergers, Secretary, Federal

Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First St., NE, Room 1A, Washington, DC 20426;

- Label one copy of the comments for the attention of the Environmental Review and Compliance Branch, PR-11.
- Reference Docket No. CP98-596-000; and
- Mail your comments so that they will be received in Washington, DC on or before September 4, 1998.

Becoming an Intervenor

In addition to involvement in the EA scoping process, you may want to become an official party to the proceeding known as an "intervenor". Intervenor play a more formal role in the process. Among other things, intervenors have the right to receive copies of case-related Commission documents and filings by other intervenors. Likewise, each intervenor must provide 14 copies of its filings to the Secretary of the Commission and must send a copy of its filings to all other parties on the Commission's service list for this proceeding. If you want to become an intervenor you must file a motion to intervene according to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214) (see appendix 3). Only intervenors have the right to seek rehearing of the Commission's decision.

The date for filing timely motions to intervene in this proceeding has passed. Therefore, parties now seeking to file late interventions must show good cause, as required by section 385.214(b)(3), why this time limitation should be waived. Environmental issues have been viewed as good cause for late intervention. You do not need intervenor status to have your environmental comments considered.

Additional information about the proposed project is available from Mr. Paul McKee of the Commission's Office of External Affairs at (202) 208-1088.

David P. Boergers,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-21409 Filed 8-10-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6141-5]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; NESHAP for Marine Vessel Loading Operations

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this document announces that the following Information Collection Request (ICR) has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval: National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Marine Vessel Loading Operations (Subpart Y), OMB Control Number 2060-0289, expiration date 09/30/98. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden and cost; where appropriate, it includes the actual data collection instrument.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before September 10, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For a copy of the ICR, call Sandy Farmer at EPA, by phone at (202) 260-2740, by E-Mail at Farmer.Sandy@epamail.epa.gov or download off the Internet at <http://www.epa.gov/icr/icr.htm>, and refer to EPA ICR No. #1679.03.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: NESHAP for Marine Vessel Loading Operations (Subpart Y) OMB Control Number 2060-0289, EPA ICR Number 1679.03, expiration date Sept. 30, 1998. This is a request for extension of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: Respondents are owners or operators of new and existing marine tank vessel loading facilities that are in operation which meet the criteria set out in 40 CFR 63.560. There are an estimated 1,500 marine tank vessel loading facilities nationwide. Of these, approximately 20 have annual gasoline throughput greater than 10 million barrel or annual crude oil throughput greater than 200 million bbl and would be required to control emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOC) and hazardous air pollutants (HAP) under section 183(f) of the Clean Air Act (the Act). These facilities require the application of reasonably available control technology (RACT). Excluding the 20 facilities subject to RACT, approximately 85 facilities have annual HAP emissions of greater than 10 tons of each individual HAP or 25 tons of the total HAP, which triggers the requirement to control emissions of HAP under section 112(d) of the Act. These facilities require the application of maximum achievable control technology (MACT). No growth is predicted for this industry.

Facilities required to install controls under these standards would have to fulfill the applicable reporting and recordkeeping requirements of the General Provisions of 40 CFR part 63, subpart Y, listed in section 4(b). The respondents must keep records of such