

(d) NARA will approve only requests which specify that NARA will receive a first generation silver halide duplicate negative containing no splices made from the original camera negative of the microform record created in accordance with part 1230 of this chapter and which specify that NARA will receive complete indexes or other finding aids to the microfilm. NARA may waive any of the requirements of this paragraph at its discretion.

(1) NARA may use this duplicate negative microform to make duplicate preservation and reference copies. The copies may be made available for NARA and public use in NARA facilities and programs immediately upon receipt.

* * * * *

(3) Detailed roll lists must be delivered to NARA with the film. The lists must give the full range of file titles and complete list of all file numbers on each roll of microfilm.

(4) If the microfilming organization or individual prepares subject indexes, name indexes or other finding aids to its version of the microfilm in hard copy or in electronic form, it must provide NARA with hard copy and electronic versions of these finding aids. The electronic version should be in a form that can run easily on NARA's internal and external computer network(s).

* * * * *

(i) NARA will not approve requests to microfilm records in NARA facilities in which there is insufficient space available for private microfilming. NARA also will not approve requests where the only space available for filming is in the facility's research room, and such work would disturb researchers. NARA will not move records from a facility lacking space for private microfilming to another NARA facility for that purpose. When a NARA facility does not have enough space to accommodate all the requests made, NARA may schedule separate projects by limiting the time allowed for each particular project or by requiring projects to alternate in the use of the space.

* * * * *

(k) NARA will not approve requests to microfilm records when there is not enough staff to provide the necessary support services, including document preparation, training of private microfilmmers, and monitoring the filming.

(l) NARA will not approve requests to microfilm records until NARA and the requester have agreed upon the amount and schedule of reimbursement by the requester for NARA support services.

19. Section 1254.96 is amended by revising paragraph (a)(3) and adding paragraph (a)(6) to read:

§ 1254.96 Microfilm preparation.

(a) * * *

(3) Declassifying security classified documents and restoring recently declassified records to the files;

* * * * *

(6) Reviewing for accuracy by supervisors or senior staff to make certain the preparation work has been done correctly.

* * * * *

20. Section 1254.98 is amended by revising paragraph (a) to read:

§ 1254.98 Equipment standards.

(a) Equipment must be designed for the microfilming of documents in roll form or standard fiche form and be operable from a table top. Only planetary type camera equipment may be used. Automatic feed devices may not be used. Book cradles or other specialized equipment designed for use with bound volumes, oversized documents, or other formats will be approved by NARA on a case-by-case basis.

* * * * *

21. Section 1254.100 is amended by revising paragraphs (b), (c) and (g) and adding paragraph (l) to read:

§ 1254.100 Microfilming standards.

* * * * *

(b) Documents must be handled in accordance with the training and instructions provided by NARA personnel so that documents are not damaged during copying and so that their original order is maintained. Only persons who have attended NARA training will be permitted to handle the documents or supervise microfilming operations. Training will be offered only in Washington, DC. NARA may charge the requester fees for training services and these fees will be based on direct salary costs (including benefits) and any related supply costs.

(c) Documents from only one file unit may be microfilmed at a time. After reproduction is completed, documents removed from files for microfilming must be returned to their original position in the file container, any fasteners removed to facilitate copying must be refastened, and any tabs placed on the documents to identify items to be copied must be removed.

* * * * *

(g) Microfilm equipment may be operated only in the presence of the research room attendant or a designated NARA employee. NARA may charge the

requester fees for these monitoring services and these fees will be based on direct salary costs (including benefits). When more than one project share the same space, monitoring costs will be divided equally among the projects.

* * * * *

(l) NARA will provide the requester specific information on the fees for training, monitoring and any other substantial NARA services in the letter of approval. Payment of fees will be made in accordance with § 1258.14 of this chapter.

22. Section 1254.102 is amended by adding paragraph (e) to read:

§ 1254.102 Rescinding permission.

* * * * *

(e) If the person or organization fails to pay NARA fees in the agreed to amount or on the agreed to payment schedule.

Dated: August 4, 1998.

John W. Carlin,

Archivist of the United States.

[FR Doc. 98-21358 Filed 8-10-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7515-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 191-0088b; FRL-6138-7]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve a revision to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concern the control of oxides of nitrogen and sulfur compounds.

The intended effect of proposing approval of this rule is to regulate emissions of oxides of nitrogen and sulfur compounds in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received, no further activity is contemplated in relation to

this rule. If EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will not take effect and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on must be received in writing by September 10, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the rule revisions and EPA's evaluation report of the rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule revisions are also available for inspection at the following locations:

Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District, Rule Development, 24850 Silver Cloud Ct., Monterey, CA 93940-6536.

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stanley Tong, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901, Telephone: (415) 744-1191.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document concerns Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District (MBUAPCD) Rule 404, Sulfur Compounds and Nitrogen Oxides, submitted to EPA on March 3, 1997 by the California Air Resources Board. For further information, please see the information provided in the Direct Final action that is located in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: July 28, 1998.

Sally Seymour,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.
[FR Doc. 98-21354 Filed 8-10-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 022-0087b; FRL-6138-3]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision; South Coast Air Quality Management District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve a revision to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concerns the control of oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) from electric power generating systems within the South Coast Air Quality Management District. The intended effect of proposing approval of this rule is to regulate emissions of NO_x in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to the direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will not take effect and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing by September 10, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the rule and EPA's evaluation report are available for public inspection at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule are also available for inspection at the following locations:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), 401 "M" Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460.
California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule

Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

South Coast Air Quality Management District, 21865 E. Copley Drive, Diamond Bar, CA 91765-4182.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901, Telephone: (415) 744-1185.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document concerns South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) Rule 1135, Emissions of Oxides of Nitrogen from Electric Power Generating Systems. SCAQMD Rule 1135 was submitted to EPA on January 28, 1992 by the California Air Resources Board. For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: July 28, 1998.

Sally Seymour,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.
[FR Doc. 98-21352 Filed 8-10-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 184-0086b; FRL-6138-1]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, San Diego Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve a revision to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concerns the control of volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from organic solvents.

The intended effect of proposing approval of this rule is to regulate emissions of VOCs in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse