(27 U.S.T. 1087); Migratory Bird Treaty Act (16 U.S.C. 703–712); Lacey Act (18 U.S.C. 42); Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (16 U.S.C. 668a); Wild Bird Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. 4901–4916); Marine Mammal Protection Act (16 U.S.C. 1361 *et seq.*); and Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

Dated: July 31, 1998.

Jamie Rapport Clark,

Director.

[FR Doc. 98-21368 Filed 8-7-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[NV-030-5700-10; Closure Notice No. NV-030-98-003]

Temporary Closure of Public Lands; Washoe County, NV

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Nevada.

SUMMARY: The Carson City District Manager announces the temporary closure of selected public lands under his administration. This action is being taken to provide for public safety during the 1998 Reno National Championship Air Races

EFFECTIVE DATES: September 14 through September 20, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charles P. Pope, Acting Assistant Manager, Nonrenewable Resources, Carson City Field Office, 5665 Morgan Mill Road, Carson City, NV 89701. Telephone (702) 885–6100.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This closure applies to all the public, on foot or in vehicles. The public lands affected by this closure are described as follows:

Mt. Diablo Meridian

T. 21 N., R. 19 E.,

Sec. 8, $N^{1}/_{2}NE^{1}/_{4}$, $SE^{1}/_{4}NE^{1}/_{4}$ and $E^{1}/_{2}SE^{1}/_{4}$; Sec. 16, $N^{1}/_{2}$ and $SW^{1}/_{4}$.

Aggregating approximately 680 acres.

The above restrictions do not apply to emergency or law enforcement personnel or event officials. The authority for this closure is 43 CFR 8364.1. Persons who violate this closure order are subject to arrest and, upon conviction, may be fined not more than \$1,000 and/or imprisoned for not more than 12 months.

A map of the closed area is posted in the Carson City District Office of the Bureau of Land Management.

Dated: August 8, 1998.

Charles P. Pope,

Acting Assistant Manager, Nonrenewable Resources, Carson City Field Office. [FR Doc. 98–21357 Filed 8–7–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–HC–M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management [AZ-910-0777-61-241A]

State of Arizona Resource Advisory Council Meeting

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Arizona Resource Advisory Council Meeting, notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice announces a meeting of the Arizona Resource Advisory Council. The meeting will be held September 10, 1998, beginning at 8:30 a.m. in the New Mexico Room at the BLM National Training Center, 9828 North 31st Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona. The agenda items to be covered at the one-day business meeting include review of previous meeting minutes; BLM State Director's Update on legislation, regulations and other statewide issues; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Presentation on the Endangered Species Act and Section 7 Consultation Process; General Presentation by Forest Service on rangeland management issues; BLM Presentation on the National Environmental Policy Act; Updates on the Barry Goldwater Range EIS and the Vermillion Cliffs Project; Proposed Field Office Rangeland Resource Teams; and Reports by the Standards and Guidelines, Recreation and Public Relations, Wild Horse and Burro Working Groups; Reports from BLM Field Office Managers; Reports from RAC members; and Discussion on future meetings. A public comment period will take place at 11:30 a.m. on September 10, 1998, for any interested publics who wish to address the Council.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Deborah E. Stevens, Bureau of Land Management, Arizona State Office, 222 North Central Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85004–2203, (602) 417–9215.

John Christensen,

Acting State Director.

[FR Doc. 98–21290 Filed 8–7–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–32–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket 70-7002]

Notice of Amendment to Certificate of Compliance GDP-2 for the U.S. Enrichment Corporation Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant Portsmouth, OH

The Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, has

made a determination that the following amendment request is not significant in accordance with 10 CFR 76.45. In making that determination, the staff concluded that: (1) there is no change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite; (2) there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure; (3) there is no significant construction impact; (4) there is no significant increase in the potential for, or radiological or chemical consequences from, previously analyzed accidents; (5) the proposed changes do not result in the possibility of a new or different kind of accident; (6) there is no significant reduction in any margin of safety; and (7) the proposed changes will not result in an overall decrease in the effectiveness of the plant's safety, safeguards, or security programs. The basis for this determination for the amendment request is described below.

The NRC staff has reviewed the certificate amendment application and concluded that it provides reasonable assurance of adequate safety, safeguards, and security and compliance with NRC requirements. Therefore, the Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, is prepared to issue an amendment to the Certificate of Compliance for the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant (PORTS). The staff has prepared a Compliance Evaluation Report which provides details of the staff's evaluation.

The NRC staff has determined that this amendment satisfies the criteria for a categorical exclusion in accordance with 10 CFR 51.22. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared for this amendment.

USEC or any person whose interest may be affected may file a petition, not exceeding 30 pages, requesting review of the Director's Decision. The petition must be filed with the Commission not later than 15 days after publication of this Federal Register Notice. A petition for review of the Director's Decision shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner and how that interest may be affected by the results of the decision. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why review of the Decision should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the interest of the petitioner; (2) how that interest may be affected by the Decision, including the reasons why the petitioner should be permitted a review of the Decision; and (3) the petitioner's areas of concern about the activity that is the subject

matter of the Decision. Any person described in this paragraph (USEC or any person who filed a petition) may file a response to any petition for review, not to exceed 30 pages, within 10 days after filing of the petition. If no petition is received within the designated 15-day period, the Director will issue the final amendment to the Certificate of Compliance without further delay. If a petition for review is received, the decision on the amendment application will become final in 60 days, unless the Commission grants the petition for review or otherwise acts within 60 days after publication of this Federal Register

A petition for review must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC, by the above date.

For further details with respect to the action see: (1) the application for amendment and (2) the Commission's Compliance Evaluation Report. These items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC, and at the Local Public Document Room.

Date of amendment request: July 30,

Brief description of amendment: On July 30, 1997, the United States Enrichment Corporation (USEC) requested an amendment to the certificate of compliance for PORTS. The request is to clarify Technical Safety Requirement (TSR) 2.1.3.11 dealing with the minimum required number of operable smoke detector alarm circuits in the autoclave facilities at PORTS. Responding to an NRC request for additional information, the amendment request was modified by USEC on May 29, 1998.

USEC has proposed to revise the Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) for TSR 2.1.3.11 from requiring fifty percent of the installed autoclave UF₆ smoke detection heads to be operable to simply requiring the autoclave UF₆ smoke detection systems to be operable. In addition to modifying the LCO, USEC has proposed to clarify TSR 2.1.3.11, Actions Statements, to normally require at least one operable smoke detector alarm circuit to cover an area above each autoclave in buildings X–342A (Feed Vaporization Facility) and X-344A (Toll Enrichment Services Facility) and above each autoclave pair in building X-343 (Feed Vaporization

and Sampling Facility). USEC has also proposed to normally require at least four of the eight detector heads operable at all times in the X-343 facility. This is to alleviate any potential adverse effects on timely detection of a release in the event of winds inside the building when one or both crane doors or hatches are open. Changes were also made to Chapter 3, "Facility and Process Description," of the Safety Analysis Report (SAR). These changes involve the addition of new sections 3.2.1.1.6, "UF₆ Leak Detection System" and 3.2.1.2.6, "UF₆ Leak Detection System," and a new paragraph to section 3.2.1.3.6, "UF₆ Leakage Detectors." The SAR changes describe the operations and locations of the UF₆ detection systems.

Basis for finding of no significance:
1. The proposed amendment will not result in a change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released

Clarifying the minimum number of smoke detector alarm circuits required to be operable in autoclave buildings X–342A, X–343, and X–344A will not result in an increase in the amounts of effluents that may be released offsite or result in any impact to the environment.

2. The proposed amendment will not result in a significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure.

The proposed clarification of identifying the minimum number of smoke detector alarm circuits required to be operable for autoclave buildings X–342A, X–343, and X–344A will not increase individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure.

3. The proposed amendment will not result in a significant construction impact.

The proposed changes will not result in any construction, therefore, there will be no construction impacts.

4. The proposed amendment will not result in a significant increase in the potential for, or radiological or chemical consequences from, previously analyzed accidents.

The proposed changes which involve clarifying the minimum number of smoke detector alarm circuits required to be operable for autoclave buildings X-342A, X-343, and X-344A will not result in an increase in the potential for UF₆ releases. The proposed changes will also not result in an increase in the potential for, or radiological consequences from previously evaluated criticality accidents. Therefore, the proposed changes will not result in a significant increase in the potential for, or radiological or chemical

consequences from, previously analyzed accidents.

5. The proposed amendment will not result in the possibility of a new or different kind of accident.

The proposed changes will not result in the possibility of a new or different kind of accident.

6. The proposed amendment will not result in a significant reduction in any margin of safety.

The proposed changes, clarifying the minimum number of smoke detector alarm circuits required to be operable in autoclave buildings X-342A, X-343 and X-344A, will not reduce the margin of safety as defined in the Technical Safety Requirement. In fact, an operable smoke detector directly above an autoclave (potential UF₆ release point) would enhance safety by likely providing a more timely detection capability as compared to an operable smoke detector that is not directly above the autoclave.

7. The proposed amendment will not result in an overall decrease in the effectiveness of the plant's safety, safeguards, or security programs.

Identifying the minimum number of smoke detector alarm circuits required to be operable in autoclave buildings X–342A, X–343 and X–344A will not result in a decrease in the overall effectiveness of the plant's safety program. The staff has also not identified any safeguards or security related implications from the proposed amendment.

Effective date: 30 days after issuance of amendment.

Certificate of Compliance No. GDP-2: Amendment will revise the Technical Safety Requirement.

Local Public Document Room location: Portsmouth Public Library, 1220 Gallia Street, Portsmouth, Ohio 45662.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 31st day of July 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Malcolm R. Knapp, Acting Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 98–21301 Filed 8–7–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Crownpoint Uranium Solution Mining Project; Establishment of Local Public Document Room

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has established a local public document room (LPDR) for the Hydro Resources, Inc., Crownpoint Uranium Solution Mining Project.