category. The funds will then be awarded in descending order until the funding available in each category is exhausted.

#### **Criteria and Points**

Each application will be evaluated and scored on the basis of the following criteria: (1) Juvenile crime statistics—50 points; (2) Percentage of middle school students proposed to be taught and have been taught—20 points; (3) Percentage of elementary school students proposed to be taught—5 points; (4) Agency commitment—15 points; (5) Other—10 points.

Criterion 1 (Juvenile Crime Statistics). There are two application categories for Criterion 1, 1:A and 1:B. The categories distinguish between applicants who have participated in the G.R.E.A.T. Program or any other school-based prevention program (such as D.A.R.E.) and those who have not. Applicants who have not participated in the G.R.E.A.T. Program or any other schoolbased prevention program must apply using Criterion 1:A. Applicants who have completed a year or more of the G.R.E.A.T. Program or any other schoolbased prevention program, have a choice of applying under Criterion 1:A or 1:B. The maximum value for Criterion 1 will be 50 points.

1:A. Criterion 1:A is designed to measure the magnitude of an applicant's youth crime problem. This criterion will utilize the Uniform Crime Reports (UCR) for the United States that are published annually by the Federal Bureau of Investigations (FBI). The total juvenile crime figures that will be used are the Part I and II offenses reported in the most recent UCR. The Part I and II offenses that are reported in the UCR are enumerated and defined in Appendix II of the UCR. In the event that an applicant does not provide annual data to the FBI for purposes of the UCR, the applicant should contact the G.R.E.A.T. Branch to determine how it can best submit information to measure its youth crime statistics. ATF will obtain the juvenile crime figures directly from the FBI. An applicant must indicate which service area (i.e., city, county, etc.) ATF should use to obtain their juvenile crime figures. An applicant will receive a score based on its total juvenile crime figures, as reported by the most recent UCR. Scores will be calculated by dividing the applicant's reported population into the total juvenile crime figures reported in the most recent UCR.

1:B. Criterion 1:B is designed to measure a change in an applicant's youth crime problem since using G.R.E.A.T. or other school-based prevention programs. This criterion will

also utilize the UCR, as outlined in criterion 1:A. An applicant must indicate which service area (i.e., city, county, etc.) ATF should use to obtain their juvenile crime figures. An applicant will receive a score based on a comparison of its total juvenile crime figures, as reported by the UCR that immediately preceded the applicant's initial participation in the G.R.E.A.T. Program or other school-based prevention program to the most recent UCR. Scores will be calculated by the percentage of decrease in the juvenile crime figures revealed by the most recent UCR.

Criterion 2. This criterion will measure middle school participation and consists of two sections, Section A and Section B.

Section A. An applicant will receive points based on the percentage of middle school students proposed to be taught G.R.E.A.T. compared to the total population of middle school students in the jurisdiction. The maximum value for this criterion will be 10 points.

Section B. An applicant will receive points based on the percentage of middle school students that were taught G.R.E.A.T. in the last school year compared to last year's total population of middle school students. The maximum value for this criterion will be 10 points.

*Criterion 3.* This criterion will measure elementary school participation. An applicant will receive points based on the percentage of elementary school students proposed to be taught G.R.E.A.T. compared to the total population of elementary school students in the jurisdiction. The maximum value for this criterion will be 5 points (the point value is limited to 5 points because the current focus of the G.R.E.A.T. Program is for middle school-aged children).

*Criterion 4.* This criterion will measure the applicant's commitment to the G.R.E.A.T. Program and consists of two sections, Section A and Section B.

Section A. This section will compare the total officer staff-hours currently spent teaching the G.R.E.A.T. Program (to include classroom time, preparation, parent programs, and the summer component) in relation to the applicant's total full-time, officer staff. The total value for this section shall be 10 points.

Section B. This section will be weighed according to the applicant's plans to create or expand its current program. An applicant will estimate the total additional staff-hours that it plans to spend on the program in the next fiscal year through training additional officers, devoting additional staff-hours

using existing G.R.E.A.T. officers, or both. The total planned increase in staffhours will be scored to a maximum of 5 points.

Criterion 5. This criterion will be used to measure other relevant factors. For this criterion, a maximum of 10 points will be awarded for meeting one or more of the following: (1) The applicant has developed and demonstrated a model for a parent program, summer program, after-school program, or community partnership; (2) The applicant has demonstrated support of the National G.R.E.A.T. Program, National Training Team, seminars, or workshops; (3) The applicant has previously expressed and documented an interest in funding support; or (4) The applicant can demonstrate a geographic significance for the expansion of the G.R.E.A.T. Program in its area.

#### **Tiebreaker**

Because all available funds are to be distributed in descending order until exhausted, the potential exists for a value point tie for communities competing for the last remaining funds. In order to distribute funds fairly, tied agencies will be ranked according to their total scores from Criterion I.

#### **Paperwork Reduction Act**

The collection of information contained in this notice is under review at the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507).

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a valid OMB control number.

### **Authority and Issuance**

This notice is issued pursuant to Office of Management and Budget Circular No. A–102 (Grants and Cooperative Agreements with State and Local Governments).

Approved: July 31, 1998.

John W. Magaw,

Director.

[FR Doc. 98–20864 Filed 8–4–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4810–31–P

# UNITED STATES INFORMATION AGENCY

Culturally Significant Objects Imported for Exhibition Determinations: "Reinstallation of the Cleveland Museum of Art's Armor Court"

**AGENCY:** United States Information Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the following determinations: Pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Act of October 19, 1965 (79 Stat. 985, 22 U.S.C. 2459), Executive Order 12047 of March 27, 1978 (43 FR 13359, March 29, 1978), and Delegation Order No. 85-5 of June 27, 1985 (50 FR 27393, July 2, 1985). I hereby determine that the objects on the list specified below, to be included in the exhibit, "Reinstallation of the Cleveland Museum of Art's Armor Court," imported from abroad for the temporary exhibition without profit within the United States, are of cultural significance. These objects are imported pursuant to a loan agreement with the foreign lenders. I also determine that the exhibition or display of the listed exhibit objects at the Cleveland Museum of Art, in Cleveland, Ohio, from on or about September 18, 1998, to on or about September 18, 1999 or 2000, is in the national interest. Public Notice of these determinations is ordered to be published in the Federal Register.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jacqueline Caldwell, Assistant General Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, 202/619–6982, and the address is Room 700, U.S. Information Agency, 301 4th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20547–0001.

Dated: July 29, 1998.

#### Les Jin,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 98–20831 Filed 8–4–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8230–01–M

# UNITED STATES INFORMATION AGENCY

Culturally Significant Objects Imported for Exhibition Determination: "Van Gogh's Van Goghs: Masterpieces From the Van Gogh Museum, Amsterdam"

**AGENCY:** United States Information Agency.

ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given of the following determinations: Pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Act of October 19, 1965 (79 Stat. 985, 22 U.S.C. 2459), Executive Order 12047 of March 27, 1978 (43 FR 13359, March 29, 1978), and Delegation Order No. 85–5 of June 27, 1985 (50 FR 27393, July 2, 1985), I hereby determine that the objects to be included in the exhibit, "Van Gogh's

Van Goghs: Masterpieces From The Van Gogh Museum, Amsterdam" (see list), imported from abroad for the temporary exhibition without profit within the United States, are of cultural significance. These objects are imported pursuant to a loan agreement with the foreign lender. I also determine that the exhibition or display of the listed objects at the National Gallery of Art, Washington, D.C., from on or about October 4, 1998, to on or about January 3, 1999, and, at the Los Angeles County Museum of Art, Los Angeles, California, from on or about January 17, 1999, to on or about April 4, 1999, is in the national interest. Public Notice of these determinations is ordered to be published in the Federal Register.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ms. Jacqueline Caldwell, Assistant General Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, 202/619–6982, and the address is Room 700, U.S. Information Agency, 301 4th Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20547–0001.

Dated: July 29, 1998.

# Les Jin,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 98-20832 Filed 8-4-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8230-01-M