

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

McDonnell Douglas: Docket 98–NM–197–AD.

Applicability: Model DC–10 series airplanes and KC–10 (military) airplanes, as listed in McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin DC10–55A028, dated April 27, 1998; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent fatigue cracking of the rear spar cap of the horizontal stabilizer, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the horizontal stabilizer, and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Prior to the accumulation of 18,000 total landings, or within 1,500 landings after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later: Perform a penetrant inspection or a high frequency eddy current inspection to detect fatigue cracking of the rear spar cap of the horizontal stabilizer, in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin DC10–55A028, dated April 27, 1998.

(1) If no cracking is detected, repeat the inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 2,200 landings until accomplishment of the requirements of paragraph (b) of this AD.

(2) If any cracking is detected, prior to further flight, repair in accordance with the alert service bulletin. Repeat the inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 2,200 landings until accomplishment of the requirements of paragraph (b) of this AD.

(b) Within 5 years after the effective date of this AD, perform a penetrant inspection or a high frequency eddy current inspection to detect fatigue cracking of the rear spar cap of the horizontal stabilizer, in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin DC10–55A028, dated April 27, 1998.

(1) If no cracking is detected, prior to further flight, perform the preventive modification of the rear spar cap of the horizontal stabilizer, in accordance with the alert service bulletin. Accomplishment of this modification constitutes terminating action for the requirements of this AD.

(2) If any cracking is detected, prior to further flight, repair, and perform the preventive modification of the rear spar cap of the horizontal stabilizer, in accordance with the alert service bulletin.

Accomplishment of the modification constitutes terminating action for the requirements of this AD.

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles ACO.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on July 27, 1998.

S.R. Miller,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.
[FR Doc. 98–20678 Filed 8–3–98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**Federal Aviation Administration****14 CFR Part 39**

[Docket No. 97–NM–241–AD]

RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 767–200, –300, and –300F Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Boeing Model 767–200, –300, and –300F series airplanes. This proposal would require replacement of the hydraulic reducer fitting in the return port of the alternate brake selector valve with a new restrictor fitting. This proposal is prompted by a report indicating that a brake housing had fractured due to high loads associated with brake vibration during landing gear retraction, which allowed the torque rod to swing free. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent failure of the brake housing in the torque rod region, which could reduce the braking capability of the airplane and/or prevent the extension of a main landing gear by any method.

DATES: Comments must be received by September 18, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97–NM–241–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124–2207. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Herron, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Equipment Branch, ANM–130S, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 227–2672; fax (425) 227–1181.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 97–NM–241–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97-NM-241-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

The FAA has received several reports of brake vibration during landing gear retraction on Boeing Model 767 series airplanes, including one report indicating that a brake housing had fractured during landing gear retraction, allowing the torque rod to swing free. The unrestrained torque rod caused minor damage to components located in the wheel well. Failure of the brake housing would result in loss of one of the eight brakes installed on a main landing gear. Furthermore, the unrestrained torque rod could jam in the wheel well, which could damage components located in the wheel well and/or prevent the extension of a main landing gear. Failure of the brake housing has been attributed to high loads associated with brake vibration during landing gear retraction. The brake vibration is caused by excessive flow of hydraulic fluid into the alternate system metering valves during gear retract braking.

Excessive brake vibration could result in failure of the brake housing in the torque rod region. This condition, if not corrected, could reduce the braking capability of the airplane and/or prevent the extension of a main landing gear by any method.

Explanation of Relevant Service Information

The FAA has reviewed and approved Boeing Service Bulletin 767-32-0152, dated June 6, 1996; Revision 1, dated June 27, 1996; and Revision 2, dated July 10, 1997. These service bulletins describe procedures for replacement of the hydraulic reducer fitting in the return port of the alternate brake selector valve with a new restrictor fitting. Accomplishment of the replacement specified in the service bulletins is intended to adequately address the identified unsafe condition.

Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the proposed AD would require accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletins described previously.

Cost Impact

There are approximately 373 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 86 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, and that it would take approximately 4 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed replacement at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Required parts would cost approximately \$104 per airplane. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the replacement proposed by this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$29,584, or \$344 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Boeing: Docket 97-NM-241-AD.

Applicability: Model 767-200, -300, and -300F series airplanes, line positions 1 through 607 inclusive; equipped with carbon brakes; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of the brake housing in the torque rod region, which could reduce the braking capability of the airplane and/or prevent the extension of a main landing gear, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 360 days after the effective date of this AD, replace the hydraulic reducer fitting in the return port of the alternate brake selector valve with a new restrictor fitting, in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 767-32-0152, dated June 6, 1996; Revision 1, dated June 27, 1996; or Revision 2, dated July 10, 1997.

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on July 27, 1998.

S.R. Miller,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.
[FR Doc. 98-20677 Filed 8-3-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-NM-87-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 747-100, -200, and -300 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Boeing Model 747-100, -200, and -300 series airplanes. This proposal would require repetitive inspections to detect cracking of certain lower lobe fuselage frames, and repair, if necessary. This proposal is prompted by reports indicating that fatigue cracks were found in lower lobe frames on the left side of the fuselage. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to detect and correct fatigue cracking of certain lower lobe fuselage frames, which could lead to fatigue cracks in the fuselage skin, and consequent rapid decompression of the airplane.

DATES: Comments must be received by September 18, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97-NM-87-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207.

This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bob Breneman, Aerospace Engineer,

Airframe Branch, ANM-120S, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2776; fax (425) 227-1181.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 97-NM-87-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97-NM-87-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

The FAA has received reports indicating that fatigue cracking was found on a total of 19 lower lobe fuselage frames on Boeing Model 747 series airplanes. Two of these airplanes had completely severed frame inner chords, webs, and fail-safe chords on adjacent frames. A severed frame will result in increased fuselage skin stresses, which could lead to skin cracking. In the area of the lower lobe fuselage frames from Body Station (BS) 1820 to BS 2100, the fuselage skin does not have tearstraps to arrest a skin crack. Instead of tearstraps, this area has fail-

safe chords attached to the fuselage frames which reduce the stress levels in the fuselage skin such that a crack in the skin would be stopped. With a completely severed fuselage frame inner chord, web, and fail-safe chord, there is nothing to prevent a skin crack from propagating beyond several fuselage frame bays. This condition, if not detected and corrected, could result in rapid decompression of the airplane.

Explanation of Relevant Service Information

The FAA has reviewed and approved Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-53A2408, dated April 25, 1996, which describes procedures for repetitive detailed visual inspections to detect cracking of the lower lobe fuselage frames from BS 1820 to BS 2100, and repair, if necessary.

Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the proposed AD would require accomplishment of the actions specified in the alert service bulletin described previously, except as discussed below.

Differences Between the Proposed AD and Relevant Service Bulletin

Operators should note that, unlike the initial compliance time (specified as prior to the accumulation of 16,000 total flight cycles, or within 1,500 flight cycles or 18 months, whichever occurs first) for airplanes identified in the alert service bulletin, the proposed AD would require that those airplanes be inspected prior to the accumulation of 15,000 total flight cycles, or within 1,500 flight cycles or 18 months, whichever occurs first. Because the FAA received a report of cracking on an airplane that had accumulated only 15,227 total flight cycles, the FAA finds a compliance threshold of 15,000 total flight cycles for initiating the proposed actions to be warranted, in that it represents an appropriate interval of time allowable for affected airplanes to continue to operate without compromising safety.

Operators also should note that, although the alert service bulletin allows discount from the compliance threshold of all flight cycles at or below a cabin pressure differential of 2.0 pounds per square inch (psi), the proposed AD does not. The FAA received a report of cracking on an airplane that had accumulated 12,817 full pressure cycles, plus 8,761 cycles at less than 2.0 psi differential pressure. The reported cracking was more