# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[MI67-01-7275; FRL-6128-6]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Michigan: Withdrawal of Direct Final Rule

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Removal of direct final rule amendment.

**SUMMARY:** On May 19, 1998, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) published a proposed rule (63 FR 27541) and a direct final rule (63 FR 27492) approving a correction to the State Implementation Plan (SIP) for the State of Michigan regarding the State's emission limitations and prohibitions for air contaminant or water vapor. The EPA determined that Michigan's air quality Administrative Rule, R336.1901 (Rule 901), was erroneously incorporated into the SIP and approved removal of Rule 901 from the approved Michigan SIP because Rule 901 does not have a reasonable connection to the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) and related air quality goals of the Clean Air Act. The EPA is removing the final rule amendment due to adverse comments and will summarize and address all relevant public comments in a subsequent final rule (based upon the proposed rule cited above).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** This removal is effective July 29, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following location: United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. (Please telephone Victoria Hayden at (312) 886–4023 before visiting the Region 5 Office.)

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Victoria Hayden, Regulation Development Section (AR–18J), Air Programs Branch, Air and Radiation Division, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, telephone number (312) 886– 4023.

### I. Administrative Requirements

A. Executive Order (E.O.) 12866

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this regulatory action from E.O. 12866 review.

#### B. Regulatory Flexibility

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 600 *et seq.*, EPA must prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis assessing the impact of any proposed or final rule on small entities (5 U.S.C. 603 and 604). Alternatively, EPA may certify that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and government entities with jurisdiction over populations of less than 50,000.

SIP approvals under section 110 and subchapter I, part D of the Act do not create any new requirements but simply approve requirements that the State is already imposing. Therefore, because the Federal SIP approval does not impose any new requirements, the Administrator certifies that it does not have a significant impact on any small entities affected. Moreover, due to the nature of the Federal-State relationship under the CAA, preparation of a flexibility analysis would constitute Federal inquiry into the economic reasonableness of state action. The Act forbids EPA to base its actions concerning SIPs on such grounds. Union Electric Co. v. EPA, 427 U.S. 246, 255-66 (1976); 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2).

# C. Unfunded Mandates

Under section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act"), signed into law on March 22, 1995, the EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a Federal mandate that may result in estimated costs to State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate; or to the private sector, of \$100 million or more. Under section 205, the EPA must select the most cost-effective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires the EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

EPA has determined that the approval action promulgated does not include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated costs of \$100 million or more to either state, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This Federal action approves pre-existing requirements under State or local law, and imposes no new requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to state, local, or tribal

governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

D. Submission to Congress and the General Accounting Office

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2). This rule will be effective.

### E. Petitions for Judicial Review

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by September 28, 1998. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review, nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements (see section 307(b)(2)).

F. Protection of Children From Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks

This direct final rule is not subject to E.O. 13045, entitled "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not an economically significant regulatory action as defined by E.O. 12866."

# List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Reporting and recordkeeping.

Accordingly, 40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

## PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

#### Subpart X—Michigan

#### § 52.1174 Amended

2. Section 52.1174 is amended by removing paragraph (q).

Dated: July 9, 1998.

#### David A. Ullrich,

Acting Regional Administrator. [FR Doc. 98–20006 Filed 7–28–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 62

[CO-001-0026a; FRL-6131-7]

Approval and Promulgation of State Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants; Colorado; Control of Landfill Gas Emissions From Existing Municipal Solid Waste Landfills

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

Agency (El A).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA is approving the Colorado plan and associated regulations for implementing the Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Landfill Emission Guidelines at 40 CFR part 60, subpart Cc, which were required pursuant to section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act (Act). The State's plan was submitted to EPA on April 13, 1998, in accordance with the requirements for adoption and submittal of State plans for designated facilities in 40 CFR part 60, subpart B. The State's plan establishes performance standards for existing MSW landfills and provides for the implementation and enforcement of those standards. EPA finds that Colorado's plan for existing MSW landfills adequately addresses all of the Federal requirements applicable to such

DATES: This direct final rule is effective on September 28, 1998 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comment by August 28, 1998. If adverse comment is received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawl of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** and inform the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action may be mailed to Vicki Stamper, 8P2–A, at the EPA Region VIII Office listed. Copies of the documents relative to this action are available for inspection during normal business hours at the Air Program, Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202–2466. Copies of

the State documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection at the Air Pollution Control Division, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, 4300 Cherry Creek Drive South, Denver, Colorado 80222–1530.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Vicki Stamper, EPA Region VIII, (303) 312–6445.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Background

Under section 111(d) of the Act, EPA has established procedures whereby States submit plans to control certain existing sources of "designated pollutants." Designated pollutants are defined as pollutants for which a standard of performance for new sources applies under section 111, but which are not "criteria pollutants" (i.e., pollutants for which National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) are set pursuant to sections 108 and 109 of the Act) or hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) regulated under section 112 of the Act. As required by section 111(d) of the Act, EPA established a process at 40 CFR part 60, subpart B, which States must follow in adopting and submitting a section 111(d) plan. Whenever EPA promulgates a new source performance standard (NSPS) that controls a designated pollutant, EPA establishes emissions guidelines in accordance with 40 CFR 60.22 which contain information pertinent to the control of the designated pollutant from that NSPS source category (i.e., the "designated facility" as defined at 40 CFR 60.21(b)). Thus, a State's section 111(d) plan for a designated facility must comply with the emission guideline for that source category as well as 40 CFR part 60, subpart B.

On March 12, 1996, EPA published Emission Guidelines (EG) for existing MSW landfills at 40 CFR part 60, subpart Cc (40 CFR 60.30c-60.36c) and NSPS for new MSW Landfills at 40 CFR part 60, subpart WWW (40 CFR 60.750-60.759). (See 61 FR 9905-29.) The pollutant regulated by the NSPS and EG is MSW landfill emissions, which contain a mixture of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), other organic compounds, methane, and HAPs. VOC emissions can contribute to ozone formation which can result in adverse effects to human health and vegetation. The health effects of HAPs include cancer, respiratory irritation, and damage to the nervous system. Methane emissions contribute to global climate change and can result in fires or explosions when they accumulate in structures on or off the landfill site. To

determine whether control is required, nonmethane organic compounds (NMOCs) are measured as a surrogate for MSW landfill emissions. Thus, NMOC is considered the designated pollutant. The designated facility which is subject to the EG is each existing MSW landfill (as defined in 40 CFR 60.31c) for which construction, reconstruction or modification was commenced before May 30, 1991.

Pursuant to 40 CFR 60.23(a), States were required to either (1) submit a plan for the control of the designated pollutant to which the EG applies or (2) submit a negative declaration if there were no designated facilities in the State within nine months after publication of the EG, or by December 12, 1996.

EPA has been involved in litigation over the requirements of the MSW landfill EG and NSPS since the summer of 1996. On November 13, 1997, EPA issued a notice of proposed settlement in National Solid Wastes Management Association v. Browner, et. al., No. 96-1152 (D.C. Cir), in accordance with section 113(g) of the Act. (See 62 FR 60898.) It is important to note that the proposed settlement does not vacate or void the existing MSW landfill EG or NSPS. Pursuant to the proposed settlement agreement, EPA published a direct final rulemaking on June 16, 1998, in which EPA is amending 40 CFR part 60, subparts Cc and WWW, to add clarifying language, make editorial amendments, and to correct typographical errors. See 63 FR 32783-32784, 32743-32753. EPA regulations at 40 CFR 60.23(a)(2) provide that a State has nine months to adopt and submit any necessary State Plan revisions after publication of a final revised emission guideline document. Thus, States are not yet required to submit State Plan revisions to address the June 16, 1998 direct final amendments to the EG. In addition, as stated in the June 16, 1998 preamble, the changes to 40 CFR part 60, subparts Cc and WWW, do not significantly modify the requirements of those subparts. See 63 FR 32744. Accordingly, the MSW landfill EG published on March 12, 1996 was used as a basis for EPA's review of Colorado's submittal.

# II. Analysis of State's Submittal

On April 13, 1998, the State of Colorado submitted its plan and regulations (hereafter referred to as the "State Plan") for implementing EPA's MSW landfill EG. The Colorado State Plan includes the "111(d) Plan for Existing Municipal Solid Waste Landfills Existing in Colorado" and the State's implementing regulations in Part A of Colorado Regulation No. 6.