Sec. 12, E½ and portion of W½ outside Arrastra Mountain Wilderness;

Sec. 13, portion of E¹/₂ and NE¹/₄NW¹/₄ outside Arrastra Mountain Wilderness; T. 12 N., R. 9 W.,

Sec. 5, portion of SW¹/4 lying southwest of Hwy 93;

Sec. 6, lots 3 to 6 incl., E¹/₂SW¹/₄, and portion lot 2 and SE¹/₄ southwest of Hwy 93:

Sec. 7, lots 1 to 4, incl., $E^{1}/2$, $E^{1}/2W^{1}/2$; Sec. 8, portion lying southwest of Hwy 93; Sec. 17, All;

Sec. 18, lots 1 to 3, incl., NE¹/₄, E¹/₂NW¹/₄, and portion of lot 4 and S¹/₂ outside of Arrastra Mountain Wilderness;

Sec. 19, portion of $E^{1/2}$ and $E^{1/2}NW^{1/4}$ outside of Arrastra Mountain Wilderness;

Sec. 20, All;

Sec. 26, portion of S½NW¼ and S½ lying southwest of Hwy 93;

Sec. 29, lots 1 to 6, incl., $E^{1/2}E^{1/2}$, $SW^{1/4}SE^{1/4}$, and portion of $W^{1/2}W^{1/2}$ and $SE^{1/4}SW^{1/4}$ outside of Arrastra Mountain Wilderness;

Sec. 30, portion of E½NE¼ outside of Arrastra Mountain Wilderness;

Sec. 35, portion of NE¹/₄ lying southwest of Hwy 93, and portion of W¹/₂ and SE¹/₄ outside of Arrastra Mountain Wilderness:

Sec. 36, portion of $W^{1/2}$ and $SE^{1/4}$ lying southwest of Hwy 93.

T. 13 N., R. 9 W.,

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Sec. 5, lots 1 to 4, incl., } S^{1}\!\!/_{2}N^{1}\!\!/_{2}, \, S^{1}\!\!/_{2}; \\ \text{Sec. 6, lots 1 to 7, incl., } S^{1}\!\!/_{2}NE^{1}\!\!/_{4}, \\ SE^{1}\!\!/_{4}NW^{1}\!\!/_{4}, \, E^{1}\!\!/_{2}SW^{1}\!\!/_{4}, \, SE^{1}\!\!/_{4}; \end{array}$

Sec. 7, lots 1 to 7, incl., $E^{1/2}$, $E^{1/2}W^{1/2}$; Sec. 8, All;

Sec. 31, lots 3, 4, and portion of lots 1, 2, $E^{1/2}W^{1/2}$ and $SE^{1/4}$ southwest of Hwy 93. T. 14 N., R. 9 W.,

Sec. 30, lots 1 to 4, incl., E½, E½W½ (including MS 4578 within sec.); Sec. 31, lots 1 to 4, incl., E½, E½W½; Sec. 32, W½, W½SE¼.

T. 12 N., R. 10 W.,

Sec. 3, lots 1 to 4, incl., and portion of $S^{1/2}$ outside of Arrastra Mountain Wilderness;

Sec. 4, lot 1, and portion of lots 2, 3, 4, and SE½ outside of Arrastra Mountain Wilderness;

Sec. 5, portion of lots 1 to 4 outside of Arrastra Mountain Wilderness;

Sec. 6, portion of lots 1, 2, and SE¹/₄ outside of Arrastra Mountain Wilderness;

Sec. 10, portion of NE¹/4NE¹/4NE¹/4 outside of Arrastra Mountain Wilderness;

Sec. 11, portion of N¹/₂N¹/₂ outside of Arrastra Mountain Wilderness;

Sec. 12, E½, and portion of W½ outside of Arrastra Mountain Wilderness;

Sec. 13, portion of $N^{1/2}$ and $SE^{1/4}$ outside of Arrastra Mountain Wilderness.

T. 13 N., R. 10 W.,

Sec. 2, lots 1 to 5, incl., lot 7, $S^{1/2}N^{1/2}$, and $E^{1/2}SE$:

Sec. 16, All;

Secs. 32 to 35, incl.

T. 14 N., R. 10 W.,

Sec. 6, lots 1 to 7, incl., $S^{1/2}NE^{1/4}$, $SE^{1/4}NW^{1/4}$, $E^{1/2}SW^{1/4}$, and $SE^{1/4}$;

Sec. 23, All;

Sec. 24, S¹/₂;

Sec. 31, lots 1 to 4, incl., $E^{1/2}$, $E^{1/2}W^{1/2}$; Secs. 32 to 34, incl., and sec. 36.

All descriptions according to the official plats on file at the Bureau of Land Management.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: At 9 a.m. on August 20, 1998 the lands described above will be opened to entry under the general mining laws and the mineral leasing laws, subject to valid existing rights and requirements of applicable laws. Opening these lands to mineral entry is in conformance with the Kingman Field Office Resource Management Plan, as amended and approved March 7, 1995. Appropriation of the above-described lands under the general mining laws or mineral leasing laws prior to the date and time of restoration is unauthorized. Any such attempted appropriation, including attempted adverse possession under 30

U.S.C. 38 shall vest no rights against the United States. Acts required to establish a location and to initiate a right of possession are governed by State law where not in conflict with Federal laws. The Bureau of Land Management will not intervene in disputes between rival locators over possessory rights since Congress has provided for such determinations in local courts. All applications and offers received prior to 9 a.m. on August 20, 1998, will be considered as simultaneously filed as of that time and date. Applications and offers received thereafter shall be considered in the order of filing. The above-described lands remain closed to all other forms of appropriation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Thompson, Geologist, or Janna Paronto, Land Law Examiner, Kingman Field Office, Bureau of Land Management, 2475 Beverly Avenue, Kingman, AZ 86401–3629, (520) 692–4400.

Dated: July 9, 1998.

Mary Jo Yoas,

Supervisor, Lands and Minerals Operations. [FR Doc. 98–19320 Filed 7–20–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–32–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Silicon Metal From Argentina, Brazil, and China

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission (Commission).

ACTION: Request for comments regarding the institution of section 751(b) review investigations concerning the Commission's affirmative determinations in the following investigations:

Country	Action taken by the Commission		Action taken by the Dept. of Commerce			
	Investigation No.	Determination publication date	Federal Register citation	Order No.	Date of order	Federal Register citation
Argentina	731–TA–470 731–TA–471 731–TA–472	09/25/91 08/07/91 06/12/91		A-357-804 A-351-806 A-570-806	09/26/91 07/31/91 06/10/91	56 FR 48779 56 FR 36135 56 FR 26649

SUMMARY: The Commission invites comments from the public on whether changed circumstances exist sufficient to warrant the institution of investigations pursuant to section 751(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act), 1 to review the affirmative determinations of the Commission in the above investigations. The purpose of the proposed review investigations is to

determine whether revocation of the existing antidumping orders on imports of silicon metal from Argentina, Brazil, and China is likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury.² Silicon metal is provided for in subheadings 2804.69.10 and 2804.69.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Fischer (202–205–3179) or Vera Libeau (202–205–3176), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the

² 19 U.S.C. 1675(b)(2)(A).

^{1 19} U.S.C. 1675(b).

Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at http://www.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 23, 1998, the Commission received a request to review its affirmative determinations concerning silicon metal from Argentina, Brazil, and China (the request), in light of changed circumstances, pursuant to section 751(b) of the Act.³ The request was filed by counsel on behalf of General Motors Corp. (GM), Detroit, MI. GM is an importer of silicon metal.

The alleged changed circumstances in the request include: (1) Structural changes in demand for silicon metal since the original investigations, (2) the development of evidence regarding a silicon metal price-fixing conspiracy, and (3) the extent to which price fixing in the ferrosilicon market may have affected the Commission's silicon metal investigations and determinations.

Written Comments Requested

Pursuant to section 207.45(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure,⁴ the Commission requests comments concerning whether the alleged changed circumstances are sufficient to warrant institution of review investigations.

Written Submissions

In accordance with section 201.8 of the Commission's rules,5 the signed original and 14 copies of all written submissions must be filed with the Secretary to the Commission, 500 E Street, SW, Washington, DC 20436. All comments must be filed no later than August 21, 1998, which is at least 30 days after the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register. The Commission's determination regarding initiation of review investigations is due within 30 days of the close of the comment period. Any person desiring to submit a document (or portion thereof) to the Commission in confidence must request business confidential treatment under section 201.6 of the Commission's rules.6 Such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. Each sheet must be clearly marked at the top

"Confidential Business Information." The Commission will either accept the submission in confidence or return it. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection in the Office of the Secretary.

Copies of the non-confidential version of the request and any other documents in this matter are available for public inspection during regular business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary to the Commission; telephone 202–205–2000.

Issued: July 15, 1998.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–19357 Filed 7–20–98; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 CFR 50.7, and 42 U.S.C. 9622(d)(2), notice is hereby given that on July 9, 1998, a Consent Decree was lodged in *United States v. Dixie-Narco, et al.*, Civil Action No. MJG–96–3310, with the United States District Court for the District of Maryland.

The Consent Decree resolves claims against George P. Garratt III under Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9607, with respect to the Drumco Superfund Site ("Drumco Site") located in Baltimore and in Anne Arundel County, Maryland. Pursuant to the terms of the Consent Decree, Garratt is required to sell the Site property, and upon completion of the sale, pay a minimum of 65 percent of the proceeds, or \$140,000 whichever is greater, to the United States.

The Department of Justice will receive comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree for a period of thirty days from the date of publication of this notice. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environmental and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to United States v. Dixie-Narco, et al., Civil Action No. MJG-96-3310, Ref. No. 90–11–2–1140. The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, District of Maryland, 604 United States Courthouse, 101 W. Lombard Street,

Baltimore, Maryland. Copies of the Consent Decree may also be examined and obtained by mail at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005 (202–624–0892) and the offices of the Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107. When requesting a copy by mail, please enclose a check in the amount of \$9.00 (twenty-five cents per page reproduction costs) for the Consent Decree, payable to the "Consent Decree Library."

Joel M. Gross.

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 98–19384 Filed 7–20–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Air Act

Notice is hereby given that a proposed Consent Decree ("Decree") in *United States* v. *Lamb-Weston, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 98–0280–S–LMB, was lodged on July 1, 1998, with the United States District Court for the District of Idaho.

The complaint alleges that defendant Lamb-Weston, Inc. ("Lamb-Weston") violated the New Source Performance Standards ("NSPS"), 40 C.F.R. Part 60, Subparts A and Db, at its potato processing plants in American Falls, and Twin Falls, Idaho (collectively "the Plants"). The Plants are affected facilities as defined in the NSPS, which require: notification of construction, anticipated startup, and actual startup of affected facilities; performance testing of affected facilities; monitoring of emissions from affected facilities; and maintenance of records of such monitoring. Lamb-Weston failed to timely comply with these requirements at the Plants, as required by Sections 111 and 113 of the Clean Air Act ("CAA"), 42 U.S.C. 7411 and 7413.

Under the proposed Decree, Lamb-Weston shall pay the United States a civil penalty of \$160,000. Lamb-Weston will also complete two supplemental environmental projects ("SEPs"), at a cost of approximately \$364,000. Lamb-Weston's first SEP is replacement of a burner at its American Falls plant with a Low-NO_X Burner that will reduce NO_X emissions at that plant by at least 40 percent. Lamb-Weston's second SEP is the design, construction and operation of a wastewater recycling system at its Twin Falls plant. Currently, Lamb-Weston uses a silt water system to transport whole potatoes from the Twin

³ 19 U.S.C. 1675(b).

^{4 19} CFR 207.45(b).

^{5 19} CFR 201.8.

^{6 19} CFR 201.6