

Brazil, the Republic of Korea (Korea), Mexico, and Venezuela, and Amendment to Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Korea, 57 FR 49453 (November 2, 1992). These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR § 353.26 of the Department's regulations to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR § 353.34(d)(1) of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These amended final results of administrative review and notice are in accordance with § 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR § 353.28.

Dated: July 8, 1998.

Richard W. Moreland,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98-18883 Filed 7-15-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-475-818]

Certain Pasta From Italy; Notice of Extension of Time Limit for New Shipper Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 16, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Brinkmann or Edward Easton, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement II, Import

Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue N.W., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-5288 or 482-1777, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 4, 1998, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated a new shipper review relating to the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Italy, covering the period July 1, 1997, through December 31, 1997 (63 FR 10590). Therefore, the current deadline for the preliminary results of this new shipper review is August 31, 1998. Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 180 days after the date on which the new shipper review was initiated. However, when the Department determines a case is extraordinarily complicated, it may extend the 180-day period to 300 days, 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2), (62 FR 27296, 27396 (1997)).

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act, the Department has determined that this case is extraordinarily complicated given the complex nature of the issues involved in the concurrent administrative review of this proceeding and activities connected with the judicial remand of the Department's final determination in the investigation of Certain Pasta from Italy. See *Borden, Inc. v. US*, Slip Op. 98-36 (March 26, 1998). Thus, in accordance with the statutory and regulatory authority cited above, the Department is extending the deadline for issuing the preliminary results of this new shipper review by 30 days to no later than September 30, 1998. We plan to issue the final results within 90 days after the date the preliminary results are issued.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act.

Dated: July 9, 1998.

Richard W. Moreland,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98-18885 Filed 7-15-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-807]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the Republic of Korea; Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce has received requests for new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip (PET film) from the Republic of Korea issued on June 5, 1991. In accordance with our regulations, we are initiating new shipper reviews covering Kohap, Ltd. (Kohap) and H.S. Industries Co., Ltd. (HSI).

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 16, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael J. Heaney or John Kugelman, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-4475 or 0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all references to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (62 FR 27295, May 19, 1997).

Background

The Department received a timely request, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b) of the Department's regulations, for a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on PET film from Korea, which has a June anniversary date. (See *Antidumping Duty Order and Amendment to Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the Republic of Korea*, 56 FR 25669 (June 5, 1991).)

Initiation of Review

Pursuant to the Department's regulations at 19 (CFR 351.214(b), Kohap and HRI certified in their June 30, 1998 submissions that they did not export merchandise to the United States during the period of the investigation (POI) (November 1, 1989 through April 30, 1990), and that they were not affiliated with any exporter or producer of the subject merchandise to the United States during the POI. Kohap and HRI submitted documentation establishing the date on which the merchandise was first entered for consumption in the United States.

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act and section 351.214(d) of the Department's regulations, we are initiating new shipper reviews of Kohap and HRI for the antidumping duty order on PET film from the Republic of Korea. These reviews cover the period June 1, 1997 through May 31, 1998. We intend to issue the final results of the review no later than 270 days from the date of publication of this notice.

We will instruct the Customs Service to allow, at the option of the importer, the posting, until completion of the review, of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for each entry of the merchandise exported by Kohap and HRI, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(e).

Interested parties may submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective order in accordance with 19 CFR 353.305(b).

This initiation and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)) and section 351.214 of the Department's regulations (19 CFR 351.214).

Dated: July 10, 1998.

Roland L. MacDonald,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98-19018 Filed 7-15-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-583-824]

Polyvinyl Alcohol From Taiwan: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration,
International Trade Administration,
Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Amended Final
Results of the Administrative Review of

the Antidumping Duty Order on
Polyvinyl Alcohol from Taiwan.

SUMMARY: On June 16, 1998, the Department of Commerce published the final results in this administrative review (63 FR 32810). Subsequent to the publication of the final results, we received timely comments from E.I. du Pont de Nemours & Co. alleging a ministerial error. After analyzing the comments submitted, we agree and are amending our final results to correct this ministerial error. This amendment to the final results is published in accordance with 19 CFR 353.28(c) (April 1997).

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 16, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Brian Smith at (202) 482-1766, or
Everett Kelly at (202) 482-4194, Import
Administration, International Trade
Administration, U.S. Department of
Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution
Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20230.

APPLICABLE STATUTE AND REGULATIONS:
Unless otherwise indicated, all citations
to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), as
amended, are references to the
provisions effective January 1, 1995, the
effective date of the amendments made
to the Act by the Uruguay Round
Agreements Act ("URAA"). Additionally,
unless otherwise indicated all citations to
the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR
Part 353 (April 1997).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 16, 1998, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the **Federal Register** the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order covering the period of May 15, 1996, through April 30, 1997, on polyvinyl alcohol from Taiwan. See, *Polyvinyl Alcohol from Taiwan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 63 FR 32810. Subsequently, on June 18, 1998, respondent E.I. du Pont de Nemours & Co. ("DuPont") submitted a ministerial error allegation. The petitioner, Air Products and Chemicals, Inc., did not submit comments concerning DuPont's clerical error allegation.

A summary of the allegation along with the Department's response is discussed below. We are hereby amending our final results, pursuant to Section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 353.28(c), to reflect the correction of the error which is clerical in nature.

Scope of Review

The product covered by this review is polyvinyl alcohol ("PVA"). PVA is a

dry, white to cream-colored, water-soluble synthetic polymer. Excluded from this review are PVAs covalently bonded with acetoacetyl, carboxylic acid, or sulfonic acid uniformly present on all polymer chains in a concentration equal to or greater than two mole percent, and PVAs covalently bonded with silane uniformly present on all polymer chains in a concentration equal to or greater than one-tenth of one mole percent. PVA in fiber form is not included in the scope of this review.

The merchandise under review is currently classifiable under subheading 3905.30.00 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope is dispositive.

Amended Final Results of Review

DuPont alleges that the Department made a ministerial error in calculating constructed export price ("CEP") for its sales of further manufactured PVA. DuPont claims that the alleged ministerial error occurred during the process wherein the Department, after the preliminary results were published, changed the way it calculated CEP for DuPont's sales of further manufactured PVA. In the preliminary results, DuPont states, the Department calculated CEP the same way for sales of imported PVA as it did for sales of further manufactured PVA. In the preliminary results, we calculated CEP for sales of further manufactured PVA by deducting from the starting price discounts and rebates, movement expenses, and direct and indirect selling expenses associated with DuPont's economic activities occurring in the United States. We also deducted an amount for profit and further manufacturing costs (see *Calculation Memorandum for the Preliminary Results for E.I. duPont de Nemours & Co.*, dated February 2, 1998).

In its case brief, the petitioner contended that our computer program failed to find comparable matches for PVA sold by DuPont in the United States and Australia because of the omission of a critical conversion factor. The petitioner indicated that since the further manufactured product is comprised of only a fraction of the imported PVA, the amount reported in DuPont's variable manufacturing costs for sales of further manufactured merchandise represented the costs for only that fraction of subject merchandise. Accordingly, the petitioner argued that the Department should adjust the reported variable manufacturing costs for U.S. sales of further manufactured merchandise by