

the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the LFV, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, the proposed AD would require accomplishment of actions specified in the service bulletin described previously.

Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 3 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, and that it would take approximately 2 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed replacement, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Required parts would be provided by the manufacturer of the RTU at no cost to operators. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the replacement proposed by this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$360, or \$120 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a 'significant regulatory action' under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a 'significant rule' under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by

contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Saab Aircraft AB: Docket 97-NM-144-AD.

Applicability: Model SAAB 2000 series airplanes, as listed in Saab Service Bulletin 2000-23-017, dated March 10, 1997; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent NAV/COM radios from simultaneously changing tuned frequencies and transponder codes due to a black screen failure or "blinking" of a radio tuning unit (RTU), which could result in loss of communications capability and air traffic control data, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 1 year after the effective date of this AD, replace the existing RTU's and associated components with new, improved parts, in accordance with Saab Service Bulletin 2000-23-017, dated March 10, 1997.

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their request through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM-116.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Note 3: The subject of this AD is addressed in Swedish airworthiness directive SAD 1-109, dated March 12, 1997.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on July 7, 1998.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 98-18645 Filed 7-13-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Mine Safety and Health Administration

30 CFR Parts 72 and 75

Diesel Particulate Matter Exposure of Underground Coal Miners

AGENCY: Mine Safety and Health Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Proposed rule; Notice of preliminary determination of no significant environmental impact; request for comments.

SUMMARY: This document announces the preliminary determination by the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) that the proposed rule establishing new health standards for underground coal mines that use diesel powered engines will have no significant environmental impact. MSHA is soliciting comments on its preliminary determination.

DATES: Submit written comments on or before August 10, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be transmitted by electronic mail, fax or mail. Comments by electronic mail must be clearly identified as such and sent to this e-mail address: comments@msha.gov. Comments by fax must be clearly identified as such and sent to: Mine Safety and Health Administration, Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances, 703-235-5551. Send mail comments to: Mine Safety and Health Administration, Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances, Room 631, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203-1984. Interested persons are encouraged to supplement written comments with computer files or disks; please contact

the Agency with any questions about format.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patricia W. Silvey, Director, Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances, 703-235-1910.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 9, 1998, MSHA published a proposed rule in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 17492) establishing health standards for underground coal mines that use equipment powered by diesel engines. The proposal is designed to reduce serious health hazards that are associated with exposure to high concentrations of diesel particulate matter (dpm). Dpm is a very small particle in diesel exhaust. Underground miners are exposed to far higher concentrations of this fine particulate than any other group of workers. The best available evidence indicates that exposure to diesel particulate matter puts miners at excess risk of a variety of adverse health effects, including lung cancer.

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et. seq.), requires each Federal agency to consider the environmental effects of proposed actions and to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement on major actions significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. MSHA has reviewed the proposed standard in accordance with the requirements of the NEPA, the regulation of the Council on Environmental Quality (40 CFR Part 1500), and the Department of Labor's NEPA procedures (29 CFR Part 11). As a result of this review, MSHA has preliminarily determined that this proposed standard will have no significant environmental impact.

Commenters are encouraged to submit their comments on this determination on or before August 10, 1998.

Dated: July 8, 1998.

J. Davitt McAteer,

Assistant Secretary for Mine Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. 98-18688 Filed 7-13-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-43-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 141 and 142

[FRL-6121-2]

National Primary Drinking Water Regulations: Long Term 1 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule and Filter Backwash Recycling Rule Public Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; change in location of previous meeting announcement.

SUMMARY: EPA announces a change in location for the meetings on the Long Term 1 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule (LT1ESWTR) and the Filter Backwash Recycling Rule (FBRR), which were announced in the June 23, 1998 **Federal Register** (63 FR 34142).

DATES: The LT1ESWTR public meeting will be held on July 22, 1998. The FBRR public meeting will be held on July 23, 1998. Both public meetings will begin at 8:30 am local time and will conclude at approximately 4:30 pm local time.

ADDRESSES: The LT1ESWTR and FBRR meetings will be held at the Holiday Inn, 7390 West Hampden Avenue, Lakewood, Colorado.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For general information on the LT1ESWTR public meeting, please contact Steve Potts at (202) 260-5015. For the FBRR public meeting, please contact Bill Hamele at (202) 260-2584.

Dated: July 8, 1998

Cynthia C. Dougherty,

Director, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water, Office of Water.

[FR Doc. 98-18730 Filed 7-13-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 261

[SW-FRL-6124-3]

Hazardous Waste Management System; Identification and Listing of Hazardous Waste; Proposed Exclusion

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule and request for comment.

SUMMARY: The EPA is proposing to grant a petition submitted by McDonnell Douglas Corporation (McDonnell Douglas), a wholly owned subsidiary of

The Boeing Corporation, to exclude (or delist) certain solid wastes generated by its U.S. Air Force Plant Number 3 (Air Force Plant No. 3) Tulsa, Oklahoma, facility from the lists of hazardous wastes contained in 40 CFR 261.24 and 261.31 (hereinafter all sectional references are to 40 CFR unless otherwise indicated). This petition was submitted under § 260.20(a), which allows any person to petition the Administrator to modify or revoke any provision of parts 260 through 266, 268 and 273, and under § 260.22(a), which specifically provides generators the opportunity to petition the Administrator to exclude a waste on a "generator specific" basis from the hazardous waste lists. This proposed decision is based on an evaluation of waste-specific information provided by the petitioner. If this proposed decision is finalized, the petitioned waste will be excluded from the requirements of hazardous waste regulations under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA).

DATES: The EPA is requesting public comments on this proposed decision. Comments will be accepted until August 28, 1998. Comments postmarked after the close of the comment period will be stamped "late," and will not be considered in formulating a final decision.

Any person may request a hearing on this proposed decision by filing a request with Acting Director, Robert Hanneschlager, Multimedia Planning and Permitting Division, whose address appears below, by July 29, 1998. The request must contain the information prescribed in § 260.20(d).

ADDRESSES: Send three copies of your comments. Two copies should be sent to the William Gallagher, Delisting Section, Multimedia Planning and Permitting Division (6PD-O), Environmental Protection Agency, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202. A third copy should be sent to the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality, 707 North Robinson Street, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102.

Identify your comments at the top with this regulatory docket number: "F-98-OKDEL-AIRFORCEPLANT3."

Requests for a hearing should be addressed to the Acting Director, Robert Hanneschlager, Multimedia Planning and Permitting Division (6PD), Environmental Protection Agency, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202.

The RCRA regulatory docket for this proposed rule is located at the Environmental Protection Agency Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202 and is available for viewing