

response in *Investigation of Sales at Less than Fair Value: Sulphur Vat Dyes from India* (62 FR 42758). See April 1997 Memorandum to All Reviewers from Richard W. Moreland, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary "Index of Factor Values for Use in Antidumping Duty Investigations Involving Products from the People's Republic of China." We adjusted the value for marine insurance to reflect inflation through the POR using the Indian rupee WPI data published by the IMF.

To value ocean freight, we used a value for ocean freight provided by the Federal Maritime Commission used in the *Final Determination of the Antidumping Administrative Review of Sebacic Acid from the PRC*, 62 FR 65674 (1997). We adjusted the value for ocean freight to reflect inflation through the POR using WPI data published by the IMF.

Preliminary Results of the Review

We preliminarily determine the dumping margin for Yude and Zhenxing for the period August 1, 1996–July 31, 1997 to be 0.89 percent. The rate for all other firms which have not demonstrated that they are entitled to a separate rate is 85.20 percent. This rate will be applied to all firms other than Yude and Zhenxing, including all firms which did not respond to our questionnaire requests: China National Chemical Import and Export

Corporation, Hebei Branch (Sinochem Hebei); China National Chemical Construction Corporation, Beijing Branch; China National Chemical Construction Corporation, Qingdao Branch; Sinochem Qingdao; Sinochem Shandong; Baoding No. 3 Chemical Factory; Jinxing Chemical Factory; Mancheng Zinyu Chemical Factory, Shijiazhuang; Mancheng Xinyu Chemical Factory, Beijing; Hainan Garden Trading Company; and Shunping Life.

Parties to the proceeding may request disclosure within 5 days of the date of publication of this notice. Any interested party may request a hearing within 10 days of publication. Any hearing, if requested, will be held 44 days after the publication of this notice, or the first workday thereafter. Interested parties may submit case briefs within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, which must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than 37 days after the date of publication. Parties who submit argument are requested to submit with the argument (1) a statement of the issues and (2) a brief summary of the arguments. The Department will publish a notice of final results of this administrative review, including its analysis of issues raised in any written comments or at a hearing, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice.

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between the United States prices and NV may vary from the percentage stated above. Upon completion of this review, the Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit rates will be effective with respect to all shipments of sulfanilic acid from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(c) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for reviewed companies listed below will be the rates for those firms established in the final results of this review; (2) for companies previously found to be entitled to a separate rate and for which no review was requested, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the most recent review of that company; (3) for all other PRC exporters of subject merchandise, the cash deposit rate will be the China-wide rate of 85.20 percent; and (4) the cash deposit rate for non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Manufacturer/producer/exporter	Margin percentage
Yude Chemical Industry, Co./Zhenxing Chemical Industry, Co.	0.89
PRC Rate	85.20

Notification of Interested Parties

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under section 351.402 of the Department's regulations to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1674(a)(1)) and section 351.213 of the Department's regulations.

Dated: July 6, 1998.

Richard W. Moreland,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98-18597 Filed 7-10-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-351-406]

Certain Agricultural Tillage Tools From Brazil; Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of Countervailing Duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is conducting an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain agricultural tillage tools from Brazil for the period January 1, 1996 through December 31, 1996. For information on the net subsidy for Marchesan Implementos Agrícolas, S.A. ("Marchesan"), the reviewed company, as well as for all non-reviewed companies, please see the *Preliminary Results of Review* section of this notice. If the final results remain the same as these preliminary results of administrative review, we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service ("Customs") to liquidate, without regard to countervailing duties, all shipments of the subject merchandise from

Marchesan, as detailed in the *Preliminary Results of Review* section of this notice. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. (See *Public Comment* section of this notice.)

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 13, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gayle Longest or Lorenza Olivas, Office of CVD/AD Enforcement VI, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2786.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 22, 1985, the Department published in the *Federal Register* (50 FR 42743) the countervailing duty order on certain agricultural tillage tools from Brazil. On October 2, 1997 the Department published a notice of "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" (62 FR 51628) of this countervailing duty order. On October 31, 1997, Marchesan requested an administrative review and partial revocation of the countervailing duty order pursuant to 19 CFR 351.222. We initiated the review, covering the period January 1, 1996 through December 31, 1996, on November 26, 1997 (62 FR 63069). In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), this review covers Marchesan, the only producer/exporter of the subject merchandise for which a review was requested. This review also covers five programs.

The Department considered Marchesan's revocation request and determined that the company did not meet the requirements to be considered for revocation from the countervailing duty order. (See Letter to Marchesan from Barbara E. Tillman dated June 11, 1998, a public document on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the Main Commerce Building). Accordingly, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.222(f)(2)(iii), we conclude that there is no reasonable basis to believe the requirements for revocation are met.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA") effective January 1, 1995 ("the Act"). The Department is conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act. All citations to the Department's regulations reference 19 CFR Part 351, et al. *Antidumping Duties; Countervailing*

Duties; Final Rule, 62 FR 27296; May 19, 1997, unless otherwise indicated.

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of certain round shaped agricultural tillage tools (discs) with plain or notched edge, such as colters and furrow-opener blades. During the review period, such merchandise was classifiable under item numbers 8432.21.00, 8432.29.00 8432.80.00 and 8432.90.00 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule* ("HTS"). The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Analysis of Programs

I. Programs Preliminarily Determined To Be Not Used

We examined the following programs and preliminarily determine that the producers and/or exporters of the subject merchandise did not apply for or receive benefits under these programs during the period of review:

- A. Accelerated Depreciation for Brazilian-Made Capital Goods;
- B. Preferential Financing for Industrial Enterprises by Banco do Brasil (FST and EGF loans);
- C. SUDENE Corporate Income Tax Reduction for Companies Located in the Northeast of Brazil;
- D. Preferential Financing under PROEX (formerly under Resolution 68 and 509 through FINEX);
- E. Preferential Financing under FINEP.

Preliminary Results of Review

For the period January 1, 1996 through December 31, 1996, we preliminarily determine the net subsidy for Marchesan to be zero percent *ad valorem*. If the final results of this review remain the same as these preliminary results, the Department intends to instruct Customs to liquidate, without regard to countervailing duties, shipments of the subject merchandise from Marchesan exported on or after January 1, 1996, and on or before December 31, 1996.

The Department also intends to instruct Customs to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties of zero percent *ad valorem*, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Act, on all shipments of this merchandise from Marchesan, entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review.

Because the URAA replaced the general rule in favor of a country-wide

rate with a general rule in favor of individual rates for investigated and reviewed companies, the procedures for establishing countervailing duty rates, including those for non-reviewed companies, are now essentially the same as those in antidumping cases, except as provided for in section 777A(e)(2)(B) of the Act. The requested review will normally cover only those companies specifically named. See 19 CFR 351.213(b). Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(c), for all companies for which a review was not requested, duties must be assessed at the cash deposit rate, and cash deposits must continue to be collected, at the rate previously ordered. As such, the countervailing duty cash deposit rate applicable to a company can no longer change, except pursuant to a request for a review of that company. See *Federal-Mogul Corporation and The Torrington Company v. United States*, 822 F.Supp. 782 (CIT 1993) and *Floral Trade Council v. United States*, 822 F.Supp. 766 (CIT 1993). Therefore, the cash deposit rates for all companies except those covered by this review will be unchanged by the results of this review.

We will instruct Customs to continue to collect cash deposits for non-reviewed companies at the most recent company-specific or country-wide rate applicable to the company. Accordingly, the cash deposit rates that will be applied to non-reviewed companies covered by this order will be the rate for that company established in the most recently completed administrative proceeding conducted under the URAA. If such a review has not been conducted, the rate established in the most recently completed administrative proceeding pursuant to the statutory provisions that were in effect prior to the URAA amendments is applicable. See *Certain Agricultural Tillage Tools from Brazil: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 60 FR 48692 (September 20, 1995). These rates shall apply until a review of companies assigned these rates is requested. In addition, for the period January 1, 1996 through December 31, 1996, the assessment rates applicable to all non-reviewed companies covered by this order are the cash deposit rates in effect at the time of entry.

Public Comment

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(b), the Department will disclose to parties to the proceeding any calculations performed in connection with these preliminary results within five days after the date of publication of this notice. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309,

interested parties may submit written comments in response to these preliminary results. Case briefs must be submitted within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice, and rebuttal briefs, limited to arguments raised in case briefs, must be submitted no later than five days after the time limit for filing case briefs. Parties who submit argument in this proceeding are requested to submit with the argument: (1) A statement of the issue, and (2) a brief summary of the argument. Case and rebuttal briefs must be served on interested parties in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f). Also, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310, within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice, interested parties may request a public hearing on arguments to be raised in the case and rebuttal briefs. Unless the Secretary specifies otherwise, the hearing, if requested, will be held two days after the date for submission of rebuttal briefs, that is, thirty-seven days after the date of publication of these preliminary results.

Representatives of parties to the proceeding may request disclosure of proprietary information under administrative protective order no later than 10 days after the representative's client or employer becomes a party to the proceeding, but in no event later than the date case briefs, under 19 CFR 351.309(c)(ii), are due. The Department will publish the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any case or rebuttal brief or at a hearing.

This administrative review is issued and published in accordance with section 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1) and 19 U.S.C. 1677f(i)(1)).

Dated: July 6, 1998.

Richard W. Moreland,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98-18599 Filed 7-10-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-533-063]

Certain Iron-Metal Castings from India: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is conducting an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain iron-metal castings from India. The period covered by this administrative review is January 1, 1996 through December 31, 1996. For information on the net subsidy for each reviewed company, as well as for all non-reviewed companies, please see the *Preliminary Results of Review* section of this notice. If the final results remain the same as these preliminary results of administrative review, we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to assess countervailing duties as detailed in the *Preliminary Results of Review* section of this notice. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. (See *Public Comment* section of this notice.)

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 13, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kristen Johnson or Christopher Cassel, Office of CVD/AD Enforcement VI, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2786.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 16, 1980, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the **Federal Register** (45 FR 50739) the countervailing duty order on certain iron-metal castings from India. On October 2, 1997, the Department published a notice of "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" (62 FR 51628) of this countervailing duty order. We received timely requests for review, and we initiated a review covering the period January 1, 1996 through December 31, 1996, on November 26, 1997 (62 FR 63069).

In accordance with 19 C.F.R. 351.213(b), this review covers only those producers or exporters of the subject merchandise for which a review was specifically requested. The producers/exporters of the subject merchandise for which the review was requested are:

Calcutta Ferrous Ltd.,
Carnation Industries Ltd.,
Commex Corporation,
Crescent Foundry Co. Pvt. Ltd.,
Delta Enterprises,
Dinesh Brothers (P) Ltd.,
Kajaria Iron Castings Pvt. Ltd.,
Kejriwal Iron & Steel Works Pvt. Ltd.,
Metflow Corporation,
Nandikeshwari Iron Foundry Pvt. Ltd.,
Orissa Metal Industries,
Overseas Iron Foundry,

R.B. Agarwalla & Company,
R.B. Agarwalla & Co. Pvt. Ltd.,
RSI Limited,
Serampore Industries Pvt. Ltd.,
Shree Rama Enterprise,
Shree Uma Foundries,
Siko Exports,
SSL Exports,
Super Iron Foundry,
Uma Iron & Steel, and
Victory Castings Ltd.

Delta Enterprises, Metflow Corporation, Orissa Metal Industries, R.B. Agarwalla & Co. Pvt. Ltd., Shree Uma Foundries, Siko Exports, and SSL Exports did not export the subject merchandise to the United States during the period of review ("POR"). Therefore, these companies have not been assigned an individual company rate for this administrative review. This review covers 19 programs.

On November 14, 1997, the Department issued a questionnaire to the Government of India ("GOI") and producers/exporters of the subject merchandise. The Department received questionnaire responses from the GOI and the producers/exporters of the subject merchandise on January 13, 1998. The Department issued supplemental questionnaires to the GOI and certain producers/exporters of the subject merchandise on March 16 and 25, 1998, April 30, 1998, and May 14, 1998. The supplemental questionnaire responses were received on April 9, 1998, and May 11, 15, and 21, 1998.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA") effective January 1, 1995 ("the Act"). The Department is conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act. All citations to the Department's regulations reference 19 C.F.R. Part 351, 62 FR 27296 (May 19, 1997), unless otherwise indicated.

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by this administrative review are shipments of Indian manhole covers and frames, clean-out covers and frames, and catch basin grates and frames. These articles are commonly called municipal or public works castings and are used for access or drainage for public utility, water, and sanitary systems. During the review period, such merchandise was classifiable under the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule* ("HTS") item numbers 7325.10.0010 and 7325.10.0050. The HTS item numbers are provided for