

kind of merchandise made during the POR.

If the revocation is made final for Algoma and Stelco, it will apply to all unliquidated entries of this merchandise produced by Algoma and Stelco, exported to the United States and entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, on or after August 31, 1997, which is the effective date of the revocation from the order for Algoma and Stelco.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for each reviewed company will be that established in the final results of review (except that a deposit of zero will be required for firms with zero or de minimis margins, i.e., margins less than 0.5 percent); (2) for exporters not covered in this review, but covered in the LTFV investigation or previous review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a previous review, or the original LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be the "all others" rates established in the LTFV investigations, which were 18.71 percent for corrosion-resistant steel products and 61.88 percent for plate (see Amended Final Determination, 60 FR 49582 (September 26, 1995)). These requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative reviews.

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

These administrative reviews and notices are published in accordance with 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 351.213 and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: July 2, 1998.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98-18343 Filed 7-9-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-812]

Dynamic Random Access Memory Semiconductors of One Megabit or Above From the Republic of Korea: Postponement of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Extension of time limit for final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limit of the final results of the antidumping duty administrative review of the antidumping order on dynamic random access memory semiconductors of one megabit or above from the Republic of Korea, covering the period May 1, 1996, through April 30, 1997, since it is not practicable to complete the review within the time limit mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). **EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 10, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert W. Blankenbaker or John Conniff, Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Enforcement Office Four, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0989 or 482-1009.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Rounds Agreements Act.

Background

On June 19, 1997 (62 FR 33394), the Department initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on dynamic random access memory semiconductors of one megabit or above from the Republic of Korea, covering the period May 1, 1996 through April 30,

1997. On March 9, 1998, the Department published the preliminary determination in this review.

Postponement of Final Results of Review

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act requires the Department to make a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) allows the Department to extend this time period to 180 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published.

Because of the complexity of the issues involved in this review, we determine that it is not practicable to complete this review within the original time frame.

Accordingly, the deadline for issuing the final results of this review will be no later than 180 days from the publication of the preliminary determination (September 8, 1998).

Dated: July 2, 1998.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98-18291 Filed 7-9-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-822]

Certain Helical Spring Lock Washers from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limit.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the fourth administrative review of the antidumping order on certain helical spring lock washers from the People's Republic of China. The period of review is October 1, 1996 to September 31, 1997. This extension is made pursuant to Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 10, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sally Hastings or Todd Hansen, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington

D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-3464 or (202) 482-1276, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of Commerce (Department) initiated this administrative review on November 26, 1997 (62 FR 63069). Because it is not practicable to complete this review within the original time limit set forth in section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (*i.e.*, July 3, 1998 (extended to July 6, 1998 because of Holiday)), pursuant to that same section, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results until October 31, 1998. See the July 6, 1998 Memorandum from Susan Kuhbach, Office Director, AD/CVD Enforcement to Richard W. Moreland, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the Department's headquarters.

Dated: July 6, 1998.

Richard W. Moreland,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98-18444 Filed 7-9-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-805]

Industrial Nitrocellulose From the Republic of Korea; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: In response to a request by the respondent, Daesang Corporation (Daesang)¹ the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on industrial nitrocellulose from the Republic of Korea (Korea). The review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States during the period July 1, 1996 through June 30, 1997. The review indicates the existence of dumping margins during the review period.

We have preliminarily determined that sales have been made below normal

value (NV). If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of administrative review, we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to assess antidumping duties based on the difference between United States price (U.S. price) and NV.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit argument are requested to submit with each argument (1) a statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 10, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elfi Blum or Maureen Flannery, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0197 or 482-3020, respectively.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (62FR 27296, May 19, 1997).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 10, 1990, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (55 FR 28267) the antidumping order on industrial nitrocellulose (INC) from Korea. On July 2, 1997, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (62 FR 38973) a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of this antidumping duty order. On July 31, 1997, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213, one exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States, Daesang, requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of its exports of subject merchandise to the United States. We published a notice of initiation of this administrative review on September 25, 1997 (62 FR 50292), covering the period July 1, 1996 through June 30, 1997.

Scope of Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of INC from Korea. INC is a dry, white amorphous synthetic chemical with a nitrogen content between 10.8 and 12.2 percent, and is produced from the reaction of cellulose with nitric acid. INC is used as a film-former in coatings, lacquers, furniture finishes, and printing inks. The scope of

this order does not include explosive grade nitrocellulose, which has a nitrogen content of greater than 12.2 percent.

INC is currently classified under Harmonized Tariff System (HTS) subheading 3912.20.00. While the HTS item number is provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written description remains dispositive as to the scope of the product coverage. The review period is July 1, 1996 through June 30, 1997.

Verification

As provided in section 782(i) of the Act, we conducted a U.S. verification of the questionnaire responses submitted by Daesang Corporation, concerning its U.S. affiliate, Daesang America. We used standard verification procedures, including the examination of relevant accounting, sales, and other financial records, and the selection of original documentation containing relevant information. Our verification results are outlined in the public version of the verification report.

United States Price

In calculating the United States Price (USP), we used export price (EP), in accordance with section 772 (a) and (c) of the Act, because Daesang's sales to the first unaffiliated purchaser occurred before importation into the United States, and because constructed export price (CEP) methodology was not otherwise indicated. We based EP on the packed prices to the first unaffiliated purchaser in the United States. We made deductions from the starting price, where appropriate, for foreign inland freight, foreign brokerage and handling, ocean freight, marine insurance, U.S. customs brokerage and U.S. duties. We also added an amount for duty drawback. No other adjustments were claimed or allowed.

Normal Value

In calculating NV, we used home market prices to unaffiliated purchasers, as defined in section 773 of the Act. In order to determine whether there was a sufficient volume of sales in the home market to serve as a viable basis for calculating NV, we compared Daesang's volume of home market sales of the subject merchandise to the volume of U.S. sales of the subject merchandise, in accordance with section 773(a)(1)(C) of the Act. Because Daesang's volume of home market sales of the subject merchandise was greater than five percent of its volume of U.S. sales of the subject merchandise, we determined that the home market provides a viable basis for calculating NV for Daesang.

¹ In its questionnaire response dated October 31, 1997, Daesang was referred to as Miwon Co., Ltd. Daesang advised the Department by letter dated December 5, 1997 that its name had been changed.