Meridian, Nevada, was accepted June 26, 1998.

The supplemental plat, showing amended lottings in section 18, Township 36 North, Range 50 East, Mount Diablo Meridian, Nevada, was accepted June 26, 1998.

The supplemental plat, showing amended lottings in section 30, Township 36 North, Range 50 East, Mount Diablo Meridian, Nevada, was accepted June 26, 1998.

These supplemental plats were prepared at the request of Barrick Goldstrike Mines, Incorporated.

2. The above-listed plats are now the basic records for describing the lands for all authorized purposes. These plats have been placed in the open files in the BLM Nevada State Office and are available to the public as a matter of information. Copies of the plats may be furnished to the public upon payment of the appropriate fees.

Dated: June 30, 1998.

Robert H. Thompson,

Acting Chief Cadastral Surveyor, Nevada. [FR Doc. 98–18263 Filed 7–8–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310-HC-M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-395]

Certain EPROM, EEPROM, Flash Memory, and Flash Microcontroller Semiconductor Devices, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Final Determination

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade

Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to find no violation of section 337 in the abovecaptioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John A. Wasleff, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202–205–3094.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Commission instituted this investigation on March 18, 1997, based on a complaint filed by Atmel Corporation. 62 Fed. Reg. 13706. The complaint named five respondents: Sanyo Electric Co., Ltd., Winbond Electronics Corporation and Winbond Electronics North America Corporation (collectively "Winbond"), Macronix International Co., Ltd. and Macronix America, Inc. (collectively "Macronix"). Silicon Storage Technology, Inc. ("SST") was permitted to intervene.

In its complaint, Atmel alleged that respondents violated section 337 by importing into the United States, selling for importation, and/or selling in the United States after importation electronic products and/or components that infringe one or more of claim 1 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,511,811, claim 1 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,673,829, claim 1 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,974,565 ("the '565 patent") and claims 1-9 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,451,903. The '565 patent was subsequently removed from the case. The presiding ALJ held an evidentiary hearing from December 8 to December 19, 1997.

On March 19, 1998, the ALJ issued his final ID finding that there was no violation of section 337. He found that neither claim 1 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,511,811 ("the '811 patent"), nor claim 1 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,673,829 ("the '829 patent"), nor claim 1 or claim 9 of U. S. Letters Patent 4,451,903 ("the '903 patent") was infringed by any product of the respondents or intervenor. He further found that the '903 patent was unenforceable because of waiver and implied license by legal estoppel, and that claims 2 through 8 of this patent are invalid for indefiniteness. He found that respondents and the intervenor had not demonstrated that any other claim at issue was invalid in view of any prior art before him, or that the '903 patent is void for failure to name a co-inventor. He found that complainant had not demonstrated that the '811 patent was entitled to an earlier date of invention than that appearing on the face of the patent. Finally, the ALJ found that there was a domestic industry with respect to all patents at issue.

On March 31, 1998, complainant Atmel filed a petition for review of the ALJ's final ID. On April 1, 1998, respondent Winbond filed a petition for review of the ALJ's ID. The other respondents and intervenor SST filed contingent petitions for review, raising issues to be considered in the event that the Commission determined to review certain of the ALJ's findings. In accordance with the Commission's directions, the parties filed their initial briefs on May 26, 1998, and their reply briefs on June 5, 1998. Complainant Atmel and respondent Winbond requested oral argument, which request is hereby denied.

Having examined the record in this investigation, including the ID, the review briefs, and the responses thereto, the Commission has determined that there is no violation of section 337. More specifically, the Commission finds that the '811 and '829 patents are invalid because of the preclusive effect of a decision of the United States

District Court for the Northern District of California. The Commission also finds that the '903 patent is unenforceable for failure to name a co-inventor.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1337) and sections 210.42—.45 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 C.F.R. §§ 210.42—.45).

Copies of the public version of the ID, the Commission's opinion, and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearingimpaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov).

By order of the Commission.¹ Issued: July 2, 1998.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-18268 Filed 7-8-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Community Oriented Policing Services; Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice of Information Collection Under Review; Grantee Satisfaction Survey.

The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies.

Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until September 8, 1998.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are requested. Comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

 $[\]ensuremath{^{1}}$ Commissioner Miller did not participate in this investigation.

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be

collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses. Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the COPS Office, PPSE Division, 1100 Vermont Ave, NW., Washington, DC 20530-0001. Comments also may be submitted to the COPS Office via facsimile to 202–633-1386. In addition, comments may be submitted to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Justice Management Division, Information Management and Security Staff, Attention: Department Clearance Officer, Suite 850, 1001 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Overview of this information collection:

(1) Type of Information Collection: New collection.

(2) Title of the Form/Collection: Grantee Satisfaction Survey.

(3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection: Form: COPS 27/01. Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, U.S. Department of Justice.

(4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: A sample of local law enforcement agencies that have received grant funding from the COPS Office will be surveyed regarding their perceptions and satisfaction with service received

from the COPS Office.

The COPS Office has awarded hiring and redeployment grants, innovative grants, and training grants to over 10,000 law enforcement agencies nationwide. In addition to providing essential funding to enhance public safety and further the adoption of community policing by law enforcement agencies across the country, the COPS Office continues to strive to maintain a customer service orientation toward grant recipients. As such, the COPS Office is committed to providing grantees with ongoing service that reflects the highest standard of excellence and integrity in public

service. The COPS Office is seeking systematic feedback from COPS grantees to track the Office's performance on a number of performance measures. This survey will allow the COPS Office to set performance goals that are consistent with the level of service that is desired by the law enforcement field and to adjust its provision of customer service as necessary to better serve its grantees.

The Grantee Satisfaction Survey will be administered to a sample of grantees via the telephone and will yield information on: the extent and content of contact between grantees and representatives of the COPS office either through phone calls, written correspondence, or site visits; satisfaction with these contacts as rated on a Likert-type scale; exposure to and satisfaction with COPS funded community policing training; and availability of and satisfaction with community policing publications produced by the COPS Office. These questions will allow the COPS Office to determine levels of satisfaction with service and will illuminate areas where the COPS Office can improve.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: The Grantee Satisfaction Survey will be administered two times per year: Approximately 2,600 respondents per year, at 30 minutes per respondent (including record-keeping).

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: Approximately 1,300 hours.

If additional information is required contact: Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 850, Washington Center, 1001 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: July 1, 1998.

Robert B. Briggs,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 98–18240 Filed 7–8–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–AT–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Community Oriented Policing Services; FY 1998 Community Policing Discretionary Grants

AGENCY: Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, Department of Justice. **ACTION:** Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services ("COPS") announces the

Visiting Fellowship Program designed to support training, technical assistance, research, program development and policy analysis to contribute to the use and enhancement of community policing to address crime and related problems in communities across the country.

The Visiting Fellowship Program is intended to offer researchers, law enforcement professionals, community leaders, and legal experts an opportunity to undertake independent research, problem development activities, and policy analysis designed to accomplish one or more of the following: improve police-citizen cooperation and communication; enhance police relationships with other components of the criminal justice system, as well as at all levels of local government; increase police and citizens' ability to solve community problems; facilitate the restructuring of law enforcement agencies to allow the most effective use of departmental and community resources; promote the effective flow and use of information both within and outside an agency; and improve law enforcement responsiveness to members of the community.

Visiting fellows will study a topic of mutual interest to the fellow and the COPS Office for up to 12 months. While in residence, fellows will contribute to the development of community policing programs that are national in scope.

DATES: The application deadline is August 7, 1998.

ADDRESSES: To obtain a copy of an application or for more information, call the U.S. Department of Justice Response Center at (202) 307–1480 or 1–800–421–6770.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

The U.S. Department of Justice Response Center, (202) 307–1480 or 1–800–421–6770. The Visiting Fellowship Program application and information on the COPS Office also are available on the Internet via the COPS web site at: http://www.usdoj.gov/cops.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Overview

The United States Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) has been charged with the implementation of the Public Safety Partnerships and Community Policing Act of 1994 (Pub. L. 103–322). Under this law, the COPS Office provides grants, cooperative agreements, and technical assistance to increase police presence, improve police and community partnerships designed to address crime and disorder,