Period to be reviewed

Antidumping Duty Proceedings

Canada: Elemental Sulphur, A–122–047 Husky Oil Limited India: Certain Stainless Steel Wire Rod, A–533–808 Mukand Mexico: Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe, ¹ A–201–805	12/1/96–11/30/97 12/1/96–11/30/97
India: Certain Stainless Steel Wire Rod, A–533–808 Mukand	12/1/96–11/30/97
	11/1/96–10/31/97
Hylsa, S.A. de C.V.	
Mexico: Porcelain-On-Steel Cooking Ware, A–201–504 Cinsa, S.A. de C.V.	12/1/96–11/30/97
Esmaltactiones de Norte America, S.A. de C.V. <i>Taiwan</i> : Welded ASTM A-312 Stainless Steel Pipes, A-583-815	12/1/96–11/30/97
Ta Chen Stainless Steel Pipe The People's Republic of China: Cased Pencils, ² A–570–827	12/1/96-11/30/97
 Anhui Stationary Company, Ltd., China First Pencil Company, Ltd., Shanghai Three Star Stationary Company Ltd., Beijing Pencil Factory, Dalian Pencil Factory, Donghua Pencil Factory, Harbin Pencil Factory, Jiangsu Pencil Factory, Jiang Pencil Factory, Jinan Pencil Factory, Julia Pencil Factory, Julong Pencil Factory, Qingdao Pencil Factory, Shenyiang Pencil Factory, Songnan Pencil Factory, Tianjin Pencil Factory, Xinbang Joint Venture Pencil Factory, Anhui Import/Export Group Corporation, Anhui Light Industrial Products Import/Export Corp., Anhui Provincial Imports & Exports Corporation, Beijing Light Industrial Products Import/Export Corp., Changzhou Foreign Economic Technical & Trading Company, Changzhou Foreign Trade Group, Chiangshu Foreign Trading, China Fujian Foreign Trade Center, China National Light Industrial Products Import & Export Corporation (all branches), Dalian Light Industrial Products Import/Export Group Corporation, Jiangsu Light Industrial Products Import/Export Group Corporation, Jilin Provincial Machinery & Equipment Import & Export Corporation, Liaoning Light Industrial Products Import/Export Corporation, Qingdao Light Industrial Products Import/Export Corporation, Shantou Stationery & Sporting Goods Import & Export Corporation, Shantou Light Industrial Products Import/Export Corporation, Shantou Stationery & Sporting Goods Import & Export Corporation, Shantou Light Industrial Products Import/Export Corporation, Shenyiang Light Industrial Products Import/Export Corporation, Shenyiang Light Industrial Products Import/Export Corporation, Shenyiang Goods Import/Export Corporation, Yangjiang Light Industrial Products Imp	12/1/96–11/30/97
Clover Enamelware Enterprises Ltd./Lucky Enamelware Factory Limited	12/1/90-11/30/97

Countervailing Duty Proceedings

None.

¹ Inadvertently omitted from previous notice.

² If one of the above named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of cased pencils from the People's Republic of China who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named

exporters are a part. ³ If one of the above named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of porcelain-on-steel cooking ware from the People's Republic of China who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

During any administrative review covering all or part of a period falling between the first and second or third and fourth anniversary of the publication of an antidumping duty order under §351.211 or a determination under §351.218(d) (sunset review), the Secretary, if requested by a domestic interested party within 30 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the review, will determine whether antidumping duties have been absorbed by an exporter or producer subject to the review if the subject merchandise is sold in the United States through an importer that is affiliated with such exporter or producer. The request must include the name(s) of the exporter or producer for which the inquiry is requested.

For transition orders defined in section 751(c)(6) of the Act, the Secretary will apply paragraph (j)(1) of this section to any administrative

review initiated in 1996 or 1998 (19 CFR DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE 351.213(j)(1-2)).

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(b) and 355.34(b).

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)), and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: January 16, 1998.

Richard W. Moreland,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Group II, Import Administration. [FR Doc. 98-1807 Filed 1-23-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

International Trade Administration

[A-580-807]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip From the Republic of Korea, **Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty** Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. ACTION: Notice of Final Results of **Changed Circumstances Antidumping** Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On November 19, 1997, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the notice of initiation and preliminary results of its changed circumstances administrative review concerning whether Saehan Industries, Inc. (Saehan) is the successor firm to Cheil Synthetics Inc., (Cheil) and whether the revocation issued or Cheil should apply to Saehan. We have now completed that review. We have determined that Saehan is the successor firm to Cheil. As such, the revocation issued for Cheil applies to Saehan.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 26, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael J. Heaney at (202) 482–4475 or Linda Ludwig at (202) 482–3833, AD/ CVD Enforcement Office Eight, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230.

THE APPLICABLE STATUTE AND REGULATIONS: Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act by the Uruguay Round Agreement Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations codified at 19 CFR part 351 (62 FR 27296).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 29, 1997, Saehan requested that the Department conduct a changed circumstances administrative review pursuant to section 751(b) of the Tariff Act to determine whether Saehan should properly be considered the successor firm to Cheil and if. as such. the revocation issued for Cheil should apply to Saehan. Saehan also requested the Department to publish the preliminary results concurrently with the notice of initiation, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(ii). In its request, Saehan notified the Department that on February 28, 1997, Cheil officially changed its corporate name to Saehan, and despite this change in corporate name, the management, production facilities, supplier relationships, and customer base of Saehan are virtually identical to those of the former Cheil. In support of its claim, Saehan submitted documentary evidence demonstrating that Saehan maintained essentially the same management, production facilities, supplier, and customer relationships as Cheil. Citing the Department's determinations in Sugars and Syrups from Canada; Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review, 61 FR 48885 (Sept. 17, 1996) and Industrial Phosphoric Acid from Israel; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review, 58 FR 59010 (Nov. 5, 1993), Saehan claimed that the Department should determine that it is the successor-in-interest to Cheil.

On November 19, 1997, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (62 FR 61801) the notice of initiation and preliminary results of its antidumping duty administrative review of the antidumping duty order of polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip from the Republic of Korea. We have now completed this changed circumstances review in accordance with section 751(b) of the Tariff Act, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Review

The merchandise subject to this antidumping duty order are shipments of all gauges of raw, pretreated, or primed polyethylene terephthalate, film, sheet, and strip, whether extruded or coextruded. The films excluded from this review are metallized films, and other finished films that have had at least one of their surfaces modified by the application of a performanceenhancing resinous or inorganic layer of more than 0.00001 inches (0.254 micrometers) thick. Roller transport cleaning film which has at least one of its surfaces modified by the application of SBR latex has also been ruled as not within the scope of the order.

PET film is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States subheading 3920.62.00.00. The HTS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

This changed circumstances administrative review covers Saehan.

Successorship

In considering questions involving successorship, the Department examines several factors including, but not limited to, changes in (1) management, (2) production facilities, (3) supplier relationships, and (4) customer base. See e.g., Brass Sheet and Strip from Canada; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 57 FR 20460 (May 13, 1992). While no one or several of these factors will necessarily provide a dispositive indication, the Department will generally consider the new company to be the successor to the previous company if its resulting operation is essentially the same as its predecessor. See e.g., Industrial Phosphoric Acid from Israel, Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review, 59 FR 6944 (February 14, 1994). Thus, if evidence demonstrates that, with respect to the production and sale of the subject merchandise, the new company operates as the same entity as the former company, the Department will treat the successor company the same as the predecessor for purposes of

antidumping liability, e.g., assign the same cash deposit rate, revocation, etc.

We have examined the information provided by Saehan in its September 29, 1997 letter and determined that Saehan is the successor-in-interest to Cheil. The management and organizational structure of the former Cheil has remained intact under Saehan, and there have been no changes in the production facilities, supplier relationships, or customer base. Therefore, we determine that Saehan has maintained the same management, production facilities, supplier relationships, and customer bases as did Cheil. Based upon the foregoing, we determine that the July 5, 1996 revocation issued for Cheil applies to Saehan.

Comments

Although we gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results, none were submitted.

Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review

We determine that Saehan is the successor-in-interest Cheil, and accordingly, the revocation issued for Cheil applies to Saehan. We will notify the U.S. Customs Service of our decision and instruct Customs to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties, merchandise produced by Saehan on or after February 28, 1997, the date on which the corporate name change was legally effected.

This changed circumstances review and notice are in accordance with section 751(b) of the Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(b)), and 19 CFR 351.216.

Dated: January 16, 1998.

Robert S. LaRussa, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98–1805 Filed 1–23–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–PS–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-427-811]

Certain Stainless Steel Wire Rods From France: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.