

Model	Serial Nos.
1900C	UB-1 through UB-74, and UC-1 through UC-174.
1900C (C-12J)	UD-1 through UD-6.
1900D	UE-1 through all serial numbers.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (d) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated in the body of this AD, unless already accomplished.

Note 2: The compliance time of this AD takes precedence over the compliance time set out in the Raytheon Aircraft Mandatory Service Bulletin No. SB 27-3158, Issued: June, 1998.

Note 3: If the owners/operators of the affected airplane have not kept track of GAG cycles, hours time-in-service (TIS) may be substituted by multiplying each hour TIS by 2, to calculate the number of GAG cycles. For example, 1,300 hours TIS would equal 2,600 GAG cycles.

To prevent asymmetric flaps, jammed flaps, and/or possible interference between the flap and the aileron, which could inhibit aileron travel and result in possible loss of roll control of the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Upon the accumulation of 600 total ground-air-ground (GAG) cycles, or within 600 GAG cycles from the date of the last inspection required by AD 97-14-16, or within the next 100 GAG cycles after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 600 GAG cycles, inspect the outboard flap attachment brackets and aft roller bearings on both wings for visible wear and elongation of the bracket holes in accordance with instructions 1 through 17 in Raytheon Aircraft (Raytheon) Mandatory Service Bulletin No. SB 27-3158, Issued: June, 1998.

(b) Prior to further flight, repair or replace any worn or damaged part in accordance with Temporary Revision No. 57-1 to the Raytheon Aircraft Beech 1900 Airliner Series Structural Repair Manual P/N 114-590021-9B, dated May 16, 1997; Reissued June 30, 1992.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with 14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199 to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the initial or repetitive compliance times that provides an equivalent

level of safety may be approved by the Manager, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), Room 100, 1801 Airport Road, Mid-Continent Airport, Wichita, Kansas 67209.

(1) The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Wichita ACO.

(2) Alternative methods of compliance approved for AD 97-14-16 are not considered approved as alternative methods of compliance for this AD.

Note 4: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Wichita ACO.

(e) All persons affected by this directive may obtain copies of the document referred to herein upon request to Raytheon Aircraft Company, 9709 E. Central, P. O. Box 85, Wichita, Kansas 67201-0085; or may examine this document at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

(f) This amendment supersedes AD 97-14-16, Amendment 39-10074.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on June 29, 1998.

Marvin R. Nuss,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 98-18008 Filed 7-7-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

25 CFR Part 61

RIN 1076-AD89

Preparation of Rolls of Indians

AGENCY: Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Indian Affairs is amending its regulations governing the compilation of rolls of Indians in order to reopen the enrollment application process for the Sisseton and Wahpeton Mississippi Sioux Tribe. The amendment reopens the enrollment period to comply with a directive of the Eighth Circuit of Appeals.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before September 8, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Comments are to be mailed to Daisy West, Office of Tribal Services, Bureau of Indian Affairs, 1849 C Street, NW, MS 4603-MIB, Washington, DC 20240; or, hand delivered to Room 4603 at the same address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Daisy West, Bureau of Indian Affairs (202) 208-2475.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Bureau of Indian Affairs must reopen the enrollment application process authorized under 25 U.S.C. 1300d-3(b) to give individuals another opportunity to file applications to share in the Sisseton and Wahpeton Mississippi Sioux judgment fund distribution. The Eighth Circuit of Appeals decision in *Loudner v. U.S.*, 108 (f). 3d 896 (8th Cir. 1997), held that the Bureau of Indian Affairs did not give proper notice of the application period, and that 5 months was not a sufficient time period within which to file applications, in light of the long delay in distribution of the fund. The proposed rule is intended to reopen the enrollment period in order to allow sufficient time for eligible persons to enroll.

Additional Notice and Public Meetings

The Bureau of Indian Affairs is taking several steps to ensure that all potential applicants are informed of the reopening of the comment period. We will notify all BIA Area Directors and Agency Superintendents and require them to post notices in area offices, agency offices, community centers on and near reservations, and in Indian Health Clinics. We will also notify tribal newspapers and newspapers of general circulation in major communities in Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, and Minnesota.

Additionally, we will hold community meetings on Indian reservations identified from the 1909 roll, including: Cheyenne River, Crow Creek, Upper Sioux, Sisseton-Wahpeton, Spirit Lake, Fort Peck, Standing Rock, Lower Brule, Yankton, Rosebud, and Pine Ridge. At each meeting we will:

(1) Inform potential beneficiaries of the reopening of the enrollment process for this judgment fund;

(2) Inform potential beneficiaries of eligibility criteria; and

(3) Help applicants to prepare and file applications.

Previously Submitted Applications

We have on file applications submitted under § 61.4(s) that we denied because we received them after November 1, 1973. We will now process these applications. If you previously filed an application that we denied, you may wish to confirm that we have it and are processing it. To do this, please call Daisy West at (202) 208-2475.

Application Deadline

We have not established an application deadline in this proposed rule. In order to allow adequate time for

submitting and processing applications, we will establish a deadline using the following three steps.

Step 1. On day 180 after the final rule becomes effective, we will count all applications that we have received.

Step 2. We will note the date on which we complete processing of 90 percent of the applications that we receive by the date in step 1.

Step 3. The application deadline will be 90 days after the date in step 2.

For example, if we receive 10 applications by the date in step 1, the final application deadline date will be 90 days after we process 9 applications. Similarly, if we receive 10,000 applications by the date in step 1, the final application deadline date will be 90 days after we process 9,000 applications.

After we establish the application deadline, we will notify the same area directors, agency superintendents, and local newspapers that we notify after publishing this rule. This notice will include application/enrollment criteria.

Regulatory Planning and Review (E.O. 12866)

This document is not a significant rule and is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866.

(1) This rule will not have an effect of \$100 million or more on the economy. It will not adversely affect in a material way the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, or tribal governments or communities.

(2) This rule will not create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency.

(3) This rule does not alter the budgetary effects or entitlement, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights or obligations of their recipients.

(4) This rule does not raise novel legal or policy issues.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of the Interior certifies that this document will not have a significant economic effect on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). Because it makes technical changes that do not affect the substance of the rules there is no economic effect at all, other than to improve the utility of the rules for users.

Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act (SBREFA)

This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804(2), the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act. This rule:

(1) Does not have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more.

(2) Will not cause a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State, or local government agencies, or geographic regions.

(3) Does not have significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or the ability of U.S.-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

This rule does not impose an unfunded mandate on State, local, or tribal governments or the private sector of more than \$100 million per year. The rule does not have a significant or unique effect on State, local or tribal governments or the private sector. A statement containing the information required by the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (1 USC 1531, *et seq.*) is not required.

Takings (E.O. 12630)

In accordance with Executive Order 12630, the rule does not have significant takings implications. A takings implication assessment is not required.

Federalism (E.O. 12612)

In accordance with Executive Order 12630, the rule does not have significant takings implications. A takings implication assessment is not required.

Civil Justice Reform (E.O. 12988)

In accordance with Executive Order 12988, the Office of the Solicitor has determined that this rule does not unduly burden the judicial system and meets the requirements of sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of the Order.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule requires collection of information from many enrollees. As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3507(d)), the Department has submitted a copy of the application to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for its review.

1. Information Collection Request

We are seeking your comments on the following Information Collection Request.

Type of review: New.

Title: Application to Share in the Mississippi Sioux Judgment Funds as a Lineal Descendant of the Sisseton and Wahpeton Mississippi Sioux Tribes Pursuant to the Act of October 25, 1972, 25 U.S.C. 1300d-3(b).

Effected Entities: Individual Indians.

Abstract: Subsection 1300d-3(b) requires the Secretary of the Interior to

prepare a roll of the lineal descendants of the Sisseton and Wahpeton Mississippi Sioux Tribe, that were living on October 25, 1972, and are not enrolled with the Spirit Lake Tribe of North Dakota (formerly Devils Lake Sioux Tribe), the Sisseton-Wahpeton Sioux of South Dakota, or the Assiniboine and Sioux Tribe of the Fort Peck Reservation. We previously published enrollment regulations in 25 CFR 61.4(s)(2) that established a 5-month period for accepting enrollment applications. In 1994, 14 plaintiffs sued seeking to enjoin the per capita distribution payment, and to require us to accept additional applications for enrollment to share in the distribution of the judgment funds. The Court found that publication notice and an approximate 5-month notice period to apply as a lineal descendant beneficiary under the 1972 Distribution Act was insufficient notice and is therefore void. *Loudner, et al. v. Sisseton-Wahpeton Sioux Tribe, et al.*, 108 F. 3d 896 (8th Cir. 1997). As a result of the decision in this case, we are reopening the enrollment application period. We will establish and publicize the ending date of the enrollment period after we have processed most of the applications.

Burden Statement: The estimated hour burden of the collection of information is 5,000 hours. We expect the enrollment application period to last 2 to 3 years, with 5,000 applications filed the first year and 5,000 additional applications filed during the following 2 years. The applicants are required to file only once during the estimated 3-year enrollment application process.

We will not conduct or require individuals to respond to a collection of information until we obtain a valid Office of Management and Budget control number. We will print the approval number on the form.

2. Request for Comments

We need your comments to:

(a) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility.

(b) Evaluate the accuracy of our estimated burden for the proposed collection of information, including the methodology and assumptions we used.

(c) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information that we want to collect.

(d) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond. This includes possibly using automated or electronic collection techniques or information technology.

OMB must approve or disapprove this collection of information between 30 and 60 days after this document appears in the **Federal Register**. Therefore, a comment to OMB is best assured of having its full effect if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication. This does not affect the deadline for sending comments to us on the proposed regulations.

National Environmental Policy Act

This rule does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. A detailed statement under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 is not required.

List of Subjects in 25 CFR Part 61

Indians, Indians—claims.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, Part 61 of Chapter 1 of Title 25 of the Code of Federal Regulations is proposed to be amended as set forth below.

PART 61—PREPARATION OF ROLLS OF INDIANS

1. The authority citation for 25 CFR Part 61 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 301; 25 U.S.C. 2 and 9, 1300d–3(b), 1401 *et seq.*

2. In § 61.4, paragraph (s) is revised to read as follows:

§ 61.4 Qualifications for enrollment and the deadline for filing application forms.

(s) *Sisseton and Wahpeton Mississippi Sioux Tribe*. (1) Persons meeting the criteria in this paragraph are entitled to enroll under 25 U.S.C. 1300d–3(b) to share in the distribution of certain funds derived from a judgment awarded to the Mississippi Sioux Indians. To be eligible a person must:

- (i) Be a lineal descendent of the Sisseton and Wahpeton Mississippi Sioux Tribe;
 - (ii) Be born on or before October 25, 1972;
 - (iii) Be living on October 25, 1972;
 - (iv) Appear in records and rolls acceptable to the Secretary or have a lineal ancestor whose name appears in these records; and
 - (v) Not be a member of any of the following tribes:
 - (A) The Spirit Lake Tribe (formerly known as the Devils Lake Sioux Tribe of South Dakota);
 - (B) The Sisseton and Wahpeton Sioux Tribe of South Dakota; or
 - (C) The Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes of the Fort Peck Reservation.
- (2) The initial enrollment application period that closed on November 1, 1973,

is reopened as of the date on which this rule is published in final. The application period will remain open until further notice.

* * * * *

Dated: April 23, 1998.

Kevin Gover,

Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs.

[FR Doc. 98–17984 Filed 7–7–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Minerals Management Service

30 CFR Part 206

RIN 1010–AC09

Establishing Oil Value for Royalty Due on Federal Leases

AGENCY: Minerals Management Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of reopening the public comment period.

SUMMARY: The Minerals Management Service (MMS) hereby gives notice that it is reopening the public comment period on a second supplementary proposed rulemaking, which was published in the **Federal Register** on February 6, 1998, (63 FR 6113). The proposed rule amends the royalty valuation regulations for crude oil produced from Federal leases. In response to issues raised on the February 6, 1998, second supplementary proposed rulemaking, MMS will reopen the comment period from July 9, 1998, to July 24, 1998.

DATE: Comments must be submitted on or before July 24, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Mail comments, suggestions, or objections about this supplementary proposed rule to: Minerals Management Service, Royalty Management Program, Rules and Publications Staff, P.O. Box 25165, MS 3021, Denver, Colorado 80225–0165. E-mail address is RMP.comments@mms.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David S. Guzy, Chief, Rules and Publications Staff, telephone number (303) 231–3432, fax (303) 231–3385, e-mail RMP.comments@mms.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: MMS is reopening the comment period for the February 6 second supplementary proposed rulemaking for a two-week period from July 9 to July 24. All comments received during this comment period will be posted on MMS's web site at <http://www.rmp.mms.gov/library/readroom/readrm.htm>. It is unnecessary to

resubmit comments previously submitted regarding this rulemaking.

Dated: July 2, 1998.

Phillip D. Sykora,

Acting Associate Director for Royalty Management.

[FR Doc. 98–18051 Filed 7–7–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–MR–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

30 CFR Part 944

[SPATS No. UT–039–FOR]

Utah Regulatory Program

AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule; public comment period and opportunity for public hearing on proposed amendment.

SUMMARY: The Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM) is announcing receipt of a proposed amendment to the Utah regulatory program (the “Utah program”) under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA). Utah’s amendment proposes changes in requirements for coal mine permit application approval in section 40–10–11 of the Utah Code Annotated (UCA) (hereafter, also the “Utah Code”). The State proposes the changes to update language used to describe the approval process and information documented during that process. In addition, Utah proposes a change to subsection (f) of UCA 40–10–11(2) to clarify limitations on authority of the Division and to the Board of Oil, Gas and Mining with respect to property right disputes. Utah also proposes to revise provisions applicable to a permit applicant’s list of violations of air and water protection at subsection (3) of section 40–10–11 in response to an amendment required by OSM and described at 30 CFR 944.16(f)(2).

The amendment is intended to revise the Utah program to be consistent with the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA) regulations and to improve operational efficiency.

DATES: Written comments must be received by 4:00 p.m., m.d.t. August 7, 1998. If requested, a public hearing on the proposed amendment will be held on August 2, 1998. Requests to present oral testimony at the hearing must be received by 4:00 p.m., m.d.t. on July 23, 1998.