

site: The identity of scrapie infected flocks and source flocks designated under part 79, and the identity and certification status of flocks participating in the Voluntary Program. Should APHIS continue to provide this information on the Web?

- To assess the impacts of options regarding the interstate movement of sheep and goats, baseline estimates of costs and benefits are needed. What are the costs and benefits of the current system of part 79, State quarantine standards, and the Voluntary Program? For example, what costs are involved in complying with State quarantine programs and how large are these costs? Similarly, what are the costs to a flock owner who participates in the Voluntary Program?

We invite comments on these topics. We also welcome ideas as to different approaches we might take to improve our scrapie programs. In responding to the questions posed in this notice, commenters are urged to include economic reasons and data supporting their positions.

Whenever possible, please refer to specific terms, definitions, or procedures contained in the current regulations in 9 CFR parts 54 and 79, and in the program standards UM&R (available at <http://www.aphis.usda.gov/vs/scrapie/umr>). A hard-copy of the program standards UM&R may be obtained by contacting the individual listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**. These resources should provide a common context for discussing suggested changes. You may also wish to refer to the Scrapie Flock Status Report on the APHIS Web, which lists the certification status of flocks in the Voluntary Program and identifies known infected and source flocks nationwide. This report is at <http://www.aphis.usda.gov/vs/scrapie/status.html>.

**Authority:** 21 U.S.C. 111–114, 114a, 115, 117, 120, 121, 123–126, 134a–134h; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.2(d).

Done in Washington, DC, this 21st day of January 1998.

**Thomas E. Walton,**

*Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.*

[FR Doc. 98–1810 Filed 1–21–98; 4:40 pm]

BILLING CODE 3410–34–M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### 10 CFR Part 50

RIN 3150–AE26

### Industry Codes and Standards: Amended Requirements

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Extension of public comment period on proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** On December 3, 1997, (62 FR 63892) the NRC published for public comment a proposed rule to amend its regulations to incorporate by reference later editions and addenda of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. The comment period for this proposed rule was to have expired on March 3, 1998. The Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI), on behalf of the nuclear energy industry, requested an extension of the comment period for the proposed revision. NEI stated that the comprehensive nature of this proposed rule will require a significant effort to collect and review comments from members of the industry. The NRC agrees that the proposed rule constitutes a significant revision to 10 CFR 50.55a. In order to ensure that the NRC receives comments from the parties most likely to be affected by the revision, the NRC has decided to extend the public comment period for an additional 30 days. The extended comment period now expires on April 3, 1998.

**DATES:** The comment period has been extended and now expires April 3, 1998. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the Commission is able to ensure consideration only for comments received on or before this date.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be sent to: Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001. ATTN: Rulemaking and Adjudications Staff. Hand deliver comments to 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, 20852, between 7:30 am and 4:15 pm on Federal workdays.

You may also provide comments via the NRC's interactive rulemaking website through the NRC home page (<http://www.nrc.gov>). This site provides the availability to upload comments as files (any format), if your web browser supports that function. For information about the interactive website, contact Ms. Carol Gallagher, (301) 415–5905; e-mail [CAG@nrc.gov](mailto:CAG@nrc.gov).

Single copies of this proposed rulemaking may be obtained by written request or telefax to 301–415–2260 or from Frank C. Cherny, Division of Engineering Technology, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, Telephone: 301–415–6786, or Wallace E. Norris, Division of Engineering Technology, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, Telephone: 301–415–6796.

Certain documents related to this rulemaking, including comments received, may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street NW. (Lower Level), Washington, DC. These same documents may also be viewed and downloaded via the interactive rulemaking website as established by NRC for this rulemaking.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Frank C. Cherny, 301–415–6786.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 14th day of January, 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**L. Joseph Callan,**

*Executive Director for Operations.*

[FR Doc. 98–1750 Filed 1–23–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 97–ASO–31]

#### Proposed Amendment to Class E Airspace; Daytona Beach, FL

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** This notice proposes to amend Class E airspace at Daytona beach, FL. A Global Positioning System (GPS) Runway (RWY) 6 (Special) Standard Instrument Approach Procedure (SIAP) has been developed for Spruce Creek Airport. As a result, additional controlled airspace extending upward from 700 feet Above Ground Level (AGL) is needed to accommodate the SIAP and for Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) operations at Spruce Creek Airport. The operating status of the airport will change from Visual Flight Rules (VFR) to include IFR operations concurrent with the publication of the SIAP.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before February 25, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments on the proposal in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Docket No. 97–ASO–31, Manager, Airspace Branch, ASO–520, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320.

The official docket may be examined in the Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel for Southern Region, Room 550, 1701 Columbia Avenue, College Park, Georgia 30337; telephone (404) 305–5586.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nancy B. Shelton, Airspace Branch, Air

Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320; telephone (404) 305-5586.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Comments Invited

Interested parties are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy-related aspects of the proposal.

Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address listed above. Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments on this notice must submit with those comments a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made:

"Comments to Airspace Docket No. 97-ASO-31." The postcard will be date/time stamped and returned to the commenter. All communications received before the specified closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received. All comments submitted will be available for examination in the Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel for Southern Region, Room 550, 1701 Columbia Avenue, College Park, Georgia 30337, both before and after the closing date for comments. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerned with this rulemaking will be filed in the docket.

##### Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Manager, Airspace Branch, ASO-520, Air Traffic Division, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320. Communications must identify the notice number of this NPRM. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRMs should also request a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11-2A, which describes the application procedure.

##### The Proposal

The FAA is considering an amendment to 14 CFR part 71 to amend Class E airspace at Daytona Beach, FL.

A GPS RWY 6 (Special) SIAP has been developed for Spruce Creek Airport. Additional controlled airspace extending upward from 700 feet AGL is needed to accommodate the SIAP and for IFR operations at Spruce Creek Airport. The operating status of the airport will change from VFR to include IFR operations concurrent with the publication of the SIAP. This amendment would also reflect the current name of the Daytona Beach Airport. Class E airspace designations for airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth are published in Paragraph 6005 of FAA Order 7400.9E, dated September 10, 1997, and effective September 16, 1997, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designation listed in this document would be published subsequently in the Order.

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore, (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

##### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

##### The Proposed Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

#### PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, CLASS B, CLASS C, CLASS D, AND CLASS E AIRSPACE AREAS, AIRWAYS, ROUTES, AND REPORTING POINTS

1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959-1963 Comp., p. 389.

##### § 71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9E, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated September 10, 1997, and effective September 16, 1997, is amended as follows:

*Paragraph 6005 Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth.*

\* \* \* \* \*

##### ASO FL E5 Daytona Beach, FL [Revised]

Daytona Beach International Airport, FL  
(Lat. 29°10'52" N, long. 81°03'21" W)  
Spruce Creek Airport, FL

(Lat. 29°04'49" N, long. 81°02'48" W)  
Ormond Beach Municipal Airport, FL

(Lat. 29°18'04" N, long. 81°06'50" W)  
Ormond Beach VORTAC

(Lat. 29°18'12" N, long. 81°06'46" W)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth within a 10-mile radius of Daytona Beach International Airport, and within a 6.4-mile radius of Spruce Creek Airport, and within a 6.4-mile radius of Ormond Beach Municipal Airport, and within 3.2 miles each side of the Ormond Beach VORTAC 256° radial extending from the 6.4-mile radius to 7 miles west of the VORTAC.

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued in College Park, Georgia, on December 18, 1997.

**Nancy B. Shelton,**

*Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division,  
Southern Region.*

[FR Doc. 98-1746 Filed 1-23-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 97-ANM-16]

#### Proposed Amendment to Class E Airspace; McCall, ID

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** This proposed rule would amend the McCall, ID, Class E airspace. If amended, the proposal would provide the additional airspace necessary to fully encompass two new Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAP) at McCall Airport, McCall, ID.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before March 12, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments on the proposal in triplicate to: Manager, Airspace Branch, ANM-520, Federal Aviation Administration, Docket No. 97-ANM-16, 1601 Lind Avenue S.W., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.