

(ii) *South of Pt. Conception*. The daily trip limit for thornyheads is 50 lb (23 kg).

(c) *Widow rockfish*. The cumulative monthly trip limit for widow rockfish is 3,000 lb (1,361 kg).

(d) *POP*. The 50 percent monthly limit for POP is 4,000 lb (1,814 kg).

(e) *Sebastes complex*. The monthly cumulative limit for the *Sebastes* complex is 33,000 lb (14,969 kg) coastwide. The individual trip limits for species in the *Sebastes* complex in paragraph C. (d) are counted toward monthly limits for the *Sebastes* complex or rockfish, as applicable, and also apply to exempted trawl gear.

(i) *Yellowtail rockfish*. The 50 percent monthly limit for yellowtail rockfish is 6,500 lb (2,948 kg) north of Cape Mendocino.

(ii) *Bocaccio*.

(A) *All open access gear except setnets or trammel nets*. For all open access gear except setnets or trammel nets, the 50 percent monthly limit for bocaccio is 1,000 lb (454 kg) south of Cape Mendocino, of which no more than 500 lb (227 kg) per trip may be taken and retained with hook-and-line or pot gear.

(B) *Setnets or trammel nets* (legal only south of 38° N. lat.): For set nets or trammel nets, the cumulative monthly trip limit is 2,000 lb (907 kg).

(iii) *Canary rockfish*. The cumulative monthly trip limit for canary rockfish is 200 lb (91 kg).

(iv) *Black rockfish*. The trip limit at 50 CFR 660.323(a)(i) for black rockfish caught with hook-and-line gear also applies and is counted toward the cumulative *Sebastes* and rockfish limits. (The black rockfish limit is also stated in paragraph IV.B.7.)

(2) \* \* \*

(a) \* \* \*

(i) *North of 36°00' N. lat.* North of 36°00' N. lat., the daily trip limit for sablefish is 300 lb (136 kg), which counts toward a cumulative trip limit of 1,800 lb (816 kg) per 2-month period. The 2-month cumulative trip limit may be taken at any time during the 2-month period; there is no 60 percent monthly limit for the open access fishery.

\* \* \* \* \*

(3) *Lingcod*.

(a) The monthly cumulative trip limit for lingcod is 250 lb (113 kg) during July 1998. All lingcod must be longer than 24 inches (61 cm) total length.

(b) Effective August 1, 1998, lingcod may not be taken and retained, possessed, or landed by any open access gear, including exempted trawl gear, coastwide.

\* \* \* \* \*

## V. Washington Coastal Tribal Fisheries [Amended]

3. In the second column, the fifth paragraph from the top is designated as *A. Sablefish*; the sixth paragraph from the top is designated as *B. Rockfish* and revised. In the third column, the first complete paragraph is designated as *C. Whiting*; and paragraph *D. Lingcod* is added to read as follows:

## V. Washington Coastal Tribal Fisheries

\* \* \* \* \*

B. Rockfish: For the commercial harvest of black rockfish off Washington State, an HG of: 20,000 lb (9,072 kg) north of Cape Alava (48° 09' 30" N. lat.) and 10,000 lb (4,536 kg) between Destruction Island (47° 40' 00" N. lat.) and Leadbetter Point (46° 38' 10" N. lat.). This 30,000 lb (13.6 mt) is subtracted from the HG for the northern *Sebastes* complex.

(1) Thornyheads taken and retained with longline gear are subject to a 300 lb (136 kg) trip limit, which is expected to result in landings of 8,000–10,000 lb (3–5 mt).

(2) Canary rockfish taken and retained with longline gear are subject to a 300 lb (136 kg) trip limit, which is expected to result in landings of 10,000–15,000 lbs (5–7 mt).

(3) Widow rockfish taken and retained with any gear are subject to a 3,000 lb (1,361 kg) monthly cumulative limit.

\* \* \* \* \*

D. Lingcod: Lingcod taken and retained with any gear are subject to a 300 lb trip limit.

\* \* \* \* \*

## Classification

These actions are authorized by the regulations implementing the FMP. The determination to take these actions is based on the most recent data available. The aggregate data upon which the determinations are based are available for public inspection at the Office of the Administrator, Northwest Region, NMFS (see ADDRESSES) during business hours. Because of the need for immediate action to implement these changes at the beginning of the next 2-month cumulative trip limit period and because the public had an opportunity to comment on the action at the June 1998 Council meeting, NMFS has determined that good cause exists for this document to be published without affording a prior opportunity for public comment or a 30-day delayed effectiveness period. These actions are taken under the authority of 50 CFR 660.323(b)(1) and are exempt from review under Executive Order 12866.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

Dated: June 30, 1998.

Gary C. Matlock,

Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries,  
National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 98-17863 Filed 7-1-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-F

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### 50 CFR Part 660

[Docket No. 980501115-8160-02; I.D. 032498A]

RIN 0648-AK86

### Fisheries Off West Coast States and in the Western Pacific; Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery; Compensation for Collecting Resource Information

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Emergency rule.

**SUMMARY:** This action, authorized by the Magnuson-Stevens Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act), implements provisions by which a vessel owner or operator who has collected resource information according to a NMFS-approved protocol may be compensated with the opportunity to harvest fish in excess of current vessel limits and/or outside other restrictions. This action is intended to improve the types and amounts of scientific information available for use in stock assessments and management of the Pacific coast groundfish fishery. This action must be implemented under the Magnuson-Stevens Act emergency rulemaking authority so that NMFS may contract with commercial fishing vessels to conduct resource surveys during the summer of 1998. The Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) is considering an amendment to the Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery Management Plan (PCGFMP) that would continue this compensation initiative beyond 1998.

**DATES:** Effective July 1, 1998 through January 4, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments to William Stelle, Jr., Administrator, Northwest Region, (Regional Administrator) NMFS, 7600 Sand Point Way NE., Seattle, WA 98115; or William T. Hogarth, Administrator, Southwest Region, (Regional Administrator) NMFS, 501 West Ocean Blvd., Suite 4200, Long Beach, CA 90802-4213. Copies of the

environmental assessment/regulatory impact review are also available from that address. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of the collection-of-information requirements in this emergency rule, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to one of the NMFS addresses and to the Office on Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Washington, DC 20503 (ATTN: NOAA Desk Officer).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Katherine A. King at 206-526-6140.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** NMFS is implementing an emergency rule to allow owners or operators of vessels that collect resource information to be compensated with the opportunity to harvest fish in excess of current vessel limits and/or outside other restrictions [hereinafter "compensated with fish"]. The Council recommended this action at its November 1997 meeting in Portland, OR, with the intent that NMFS proceed with this rule immediately so that NMFS may so contract with commercial fishing vessels to conduct resource surveys during the summer of 1998.

A proposed rule was published on May 15, 1998 (at 63 FR 27035), requesting public comments through June 5, 1998. One comment was received, which resulted in no change to the final rule, and NMFS made one clarification regarding accounting for fish used as compensation. The final rule is substantively the same as proposed. See the preamble to the proposed rule for additional background information.

### Background

On October 11, 1996, the Magnuson-Stevens Act was amended to authorize the Secretary of Commerce (Secretary) to use the private sector to provide vessels, equipment, and services necessary to survey fishery resources and to pay for these surveys through the sale of fish taken during the survey or, if the quality or amount of fish is not adequate, on a subsequent commercial fishing trip (sec. 402(e)). Section 303(b)(11) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act enables the Secretary to "reserve a portion of the allowable biological catch of the fishery for use in scientific research." A vessel that is chartered by NMFS to conduct resource surveys becomes a "scientific research vessel" as defined at 50 CFR 600.10, and it must not conduct commercial fishing on the same trip during which a resource survey is conducted.

These provisions must be in place by early July 1998 in order to include compensation with fish as a component of contracts NMFS will award to commercial fishing vessels to conduct resource surveys during the summer of 1998. Stock assessments for the Dover sole/thornyhead/trawl-caught sablefish complex are controversial and have resulted in serious concern over the amount and accuracy of survey data. NMFS is committed to addressing these concerns. However, Federal fiscal constraints have precluded gathering the information needed. This is further compounded by the unavailability of the NOAA ship *Miller Freeman*, the principle vessel used for conducting resource surveys in this fishery, during much of 1998. Implementation of these provisions would enable NMFS to expand sampling in the annual slope survey that provides data for the stock assessments for these and other groundfish species. There is inadequate time to amend the PCGFMP to provide for using fish as compensation (and subtracting the compensation fish from acceptable biological catch (ABC)) before the slope survey is scheduled to begin in August 1998. Therefore, NMFS is implementing this rule under the Secretary's emergency rulemaking authority of the Magnuson-Stevens Act so that these provisions may be implemented in time to support the 1998 slope survey. The Council is preparing an amendment to the PCGFMP for later implementation.

### Compensation for a Vessel Conducting a Resource Survey

The Magnuson-Stevens Act authorizes the Secretary, in consultation with the Council and the fishing industry, to structure competitive solicitations by which a vessel's owner or operator may compete for a contract with NMFS to conduct a resource survey. Resource surveys generally are conducted from chartered fishing vessels, chartered university vessels, and dedicated NOAA vessels. In a resource survey, all samples (fish) are collected according to a specified research plan or protocol. NMFS distinguishes survey activities by a scientific research vessel from commercial fishing activities according to a process of acknowledging scientific research described at 50 CFR 600.745(a). NMFS frequently uses this mechanism to conduct surveys from chartered fishing vessels, and, in some cases, some of the sample has been retained by the vessel owner/operator for sale to reduce waste and to defray some of the costs of the charter. However, any additional harvest taken on a subsequent commercial trip as payment

for the resource survey would not be considered scientific research. This additional harvest was not authorized under the old provisions of the Magnuson-Stevens Act.

The new provisions of the Magnuson-Stevens Act provide the authority to go beyond allowing the retention and sale of fish caught during the course of a resource survey by providing compensation through the opportunity to harvest fish in excess of current vessel limits and/or outside of other restrictions. This rule authorizes such "compensation fishing" through the issuance of an exempted fishing permit (EFP) in the Pacific Coast groundfish fishery, which would enable the vessel to exceed trip limits (and/or to be exempt from other specified management restrictions) so that the compensation amount could be achieved. The compensation EFP would include terms and conditions that would limit the authorized activities. Conditions for disposition of bycatch or any excess catch and for reporting the value of the amount landed and other appropriate terms and conditions would be specified in the EFP. If the PCGFMP is amended, it is anticipated that compensation fishing would occur no later than the end of September of the year after the survey occurred. Compensation fishing must take place during the period specified in the EFP and must be conducted according to the terms and conditions of the EFP. The compensation EFP may also require the vessel owner or operator to keep separate records of compensation fishing conducted after the survey is completed and to submit them to NMFS within a specified period of time after the compensation fishing is completed.

### Process

The process incorporates selection of commercial vessels to be used to conduct the resource surveys, issuance of compensation EFPs to provide for compensation with fish, and adjustment of the ABC to account for the compensation fish used.

### Competitive Offers

NMFS may initiate a competitive solicitation, i.e., request for proposals (RFP), to select vessels to conduct resource surveys that use fish as full or partial compensation. The RFP would be publicized in the *Commerce Business Daily* and would specify the factors that NMFS would use in evaluating the proposals. Vessel owners would be expected to submit offers to conduct the resource survey for a combination of dollars and compensation fish.

### Council Consultation and Approval

At a Council meeting, NMFS would consult with the Council and receive public comment on upcoming resource surveys to be conducted with groundfish used as whole or partial compensation. For each proposal, NMFS would present (1) the maximum number of vessels expected or needed to conduct the survey, (2) an estimate of the species and amount of fish likely to be needed to compensate the vessel, (3) the time frame in which the survey and the compensation fish would be taken, and (4) the year in which the compensation fish would be deducted from the ABC before determining the harvest guideline (HG) or quota. This is, in effect, equivalent to NMFS presenting a compensation EFP application to the Council for the compensation amounts. In general, compensation fish should be similar to surveyed species, but there may be reasons to provide compensation with healthier, more abundant, less restricted, or more easily targeted species. For example, NMFS may decline to pay a vessel with species that are, or are expected to be, overfished, that are subject to overfishing, or that are unavoidably caught with species that are overfished or subject to overfishing. NMFS may also want to take into account other factors such as expected discards and incidental catches of other species. If the Council does not approve the proposal to use fish as compensation to pay for a resource survey, NMFS will not use fish, other than fish taken during the scientific research, as compensation for that survey.

### Awarding the Contract

NMFS would negotiate and award the resource survey contracts in accordance with normal Federal procurement procedures. The contract would include any conditions and limits on compensation fishing, including a requirement for the vessel to have on board (1) a letter of acknowledgment of research signed by the Regional Administrator or designee, while conducting any resource survey, and (2) the compensation EFP while conducting compensation fishing and for a period of at least 15 days after the end of any applicable cumulative trip limit period in which compensation fishing occurred.

### Retention of Samples

All fishing on a resource survey trip would be required to be conducted according to scientific protocol and would be considered scientific research. However, the owner or operator of the

vessel could retain and sell some fish caught while conducting the survey as compensation for the vessel's participation. Retention of samples for sale would be at the discretion of the chief scientist on board, who would consult with the vessel captain. Collection of scientific information and samples would be the highest priority and might interfere with the vessel's ability to retain market-quality fish.

### Issuance of the Compensation EFP

Upon successful completion of the resource survey and of the determination concerning the amount and/or value of the survey sample that was retained for sale as payment for conducting the survey, NMFS would issue a compensation EFP to the owner or operator of the vessel if full compensation has not been achieved by cash payment and retention of the survey sample. The compensation EFP would allow the vessel an opportunity to exceed the current commercial fishing limits by the total amount of compensation fish needed. The amount of compensation fish needed is the amount of fish specified in the contract less the amount and/or value of the survey sample retained for sale. The compensation EFP also could exempt the vessel from other specified management measures.

### Accounting for Compensation Fish

Because the species and amounts of fish used as compensation would not be determined until the contract is awarded, it may not be possible to deduct the amount of compensation fish from the ABC or HG in the year that the fish are caught. Even if this could be done, it would cause great confusion with the many allocations and limits that were set before the compensation amounts were known. Therefore, the compensation fish will be deducted from the ABC the year after they are caught. During the annual specification process (50 CFR 660.321(b)), NMFS would advise the Council of the total amount of fish caught during the year as compensation for conducting a resource survey, which then would be deducted from the following year's ABCs before setting the HGs or quotas. (If compensation fish are taken too late in the year so that landings data are not available to be deducted from the next year's ABC, it will be deducted in the next management cycle practicable.)

### Compensation for a Commercial Vessel Collecting Resource Information—an EFP With a Compensation Clause

NMFS also intends to conduct smaller scale cooperative projects on vessels

that are operating in the commercial fishery. This type of activity would not be considered scientific research under 50 CFR 600.745(a) because it would not be conducted by a scientific research vessel, even though the vessels would be collecting resource information according to strict scientific standards approved by NMFS. For small-scale cooperative projects, NMFS could issue EFPs to fishing vessels collecting the resource information. The EFP would require the vessel to conduct specific activities and allow it to retain and sell a limited amount of fish above the amount it could take under its regular trip limit. After the resource information has been obtained, the EFP could authorize the vessel to sell the fish that were in the sample. This would be a standard EFP, issued under the procedures at 50 CFR 600.745(b). Fish caught under this EFP would be counted against the ABCs and HGs or quotas in the year they are caught.

In some circumstances, NMFS might want to allow the vessel to harvest slightly more fish than necessary for the particular project. For the sablefish depth-specific sampling EFP expected in 1998, a vessel would be able to retain the sample plus a modest compensation amount, no larger than the size of the sample, above its normal trip limits. Samples in these cases generally would be expected to involve less than 500–1,500 lb (227–680 kg) of fish per vessel per month. The extra fish would compensate the vessel for the extra work involved in collecting the samples, encourage vessels to participate in surveys, and utilize more of the fish taken during the surveys that are surplus to sampling needs. NMFS could propose the amount of fish that would be used as compensation, or the EFP applicant could propose an amount in the EFP application. In these cases, when NMFS announces receipt of the EFP application and requests comments as required under 50 CFR 600.745(b), NMFS also announces a window period during which vessels would have an opportunity to submit EFP applications. NMFS contemplates two ways of issuing such EFPs: First, the EFPs could be issued to individuals implementing a protocol approved by NMFS. NMFS would consider the qualified applicants, issue EFPs to all of them, select participation by lottery, issue EFPs to the first applicants, or use other impartial selection methods. Second, NMFS could issue the EFP to a NMFS element or to a state or other Federal research agency, and the research agency's proposal would include an impartial way of selecting fishing vessel

participants that would receive individual EFPs under the umbrella EFP held by the research agency.

#### Biological and Socio-economic Impacts

The biological impacts of using fish as compensation are expected to be neutral in the short term and positive in the long term. In the short term, the amount of fish used as compensation will be within the ABC, and, therefore, within current acceptable biological levels. In the long term, the additional information that is gathered because NMFS is able to compensate vessels with fish will provide more and better data for use in stock assessments, resulting in better management of the stock and less likelihood of overfishing. This should lead to better stock assessments and to a better long-term prognosis for a sustainable fishery, contributing to stability in the fishing industry and in the resources upon which the industry depends. A more detailed discussion is found in the preamble to the proposed rule and the environmental assessment for this action.

#### Comment and Response

One comment was received during the public comment period. It was supportive of the rule but requested a broader distribution of the RFP soliciting charter vessels to conduct resource surveys. NMFS agrees that a wide distribution is a good idea and will attempt to do so. In fact, notification of the RFP for the 1998 slope survey was submitted to each holder of a limited entry trawl permit for the groundfish fishery off Washington, Oregon, and California. However, distribution of the RFP is part of the Federal procurement process and is not governed by this rule; a description was included in the preamble of the proposed rule as background information. Therefore, no change is made to this rule.

#### Clarification

NMFS has changed the rule slightly to clarify that compensation fish caught too late in 1998 to be counted against the 1999 ABC may be deducted in the next management cycle practicable, e.g., 2000. Other minor editorial changes have been made for clarity and to meet publication format requirements.

#### Classification

The Assistant Administrator (AA) finds that the need to implement these measures in a timely manner so that vessels collecting resource information may be compensated with fish constitutes good cause under authority contained in 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3) to waive

the 30-day delay in effective date. If the rule was not made effective for 30 days, NMFS would be unable to issue fish compensation contracts in a timely manner to vessels needed to conduct the August 1998 slope survey. This would be contrary to the public interest because sufficient funds are not available to compensate all of the vessels needed to conduct an adequate survey. The result would be a reduced survey with less data to determine the status of the resource. Also, it is unnecessary to delay the rule because the survey does not directly affect the activities of the 1998 fishery and there are no compliance requirements for participants in the survey.

This emergency rule has been determined to be not significant for purposes of Executive Order 12866.

The Assistant General Counsel for Legislation and Regulation of the Department of Commerce certified to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration that this rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. No comments were received regarding this certification. As a result, a regulatory flexibility analysis was not prepared.

This emergency rule contains a collection-of-information requirement subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA). Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person is required to respond to, nor shall any person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with, a collection of information subject to the requirements of the PRA unless that collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number. The collection of this information has been approved by the Office of Management and Budget, under OMB control number 0648-0203 for Federal fishing permits. The public reporting burden for applications for exempted fishery permits is estimated at 1 hour per response; burden for reporting by exempted fishing permittees is estimated at 30 minutes per response. These estimates include the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and revising the collection of information. Send comments regarding these burden estimates or any other aspect of the data requirements, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to NMFS (see ADDRESSES) and to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503 (ATTN: NOAA Desk Officer).

#### List of Subjects in 50 CFR Part 660

Administrative practice and procedure, American Samoa, Fisheries, Fishing, Guam, Hawaiian Natives, Indians, Northern Mariana Islands, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: June 30, 1998.

David L. Evans,

Deputy Assistant Administrator for Fisheries,  
National Marine Fisheries Service.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, 50 CFR part 660 is amended as follows:

#### PART 660—FISHERIES OFF WEST COAST STATES AND IN THE WESTERN PACIFIC

1. The authority citation for part 660 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

2. In § 660.306, paragraph (y) is added to read as follows:

#### § 660.306 Prohibitions.

\* \* \* \* \*

(y) Fish for groundfish in violation of any terms or conditions attached to an EFP under § 660.350.

3. In part 660, subpart G, a new § 660.350 is added to read as follows:

#### § 660.350 Compensation with fish for collecting resource information—exempted fishing permits off Washington, Oregon, and California.

In addition to the reasons stated in § 600.745(b)(1) of this chapter, an EFP may be issued under this subpart G for the purpose of compensating the owner or operator of a vessel for collecting resource information according to a protocol approved by NMFS. The EFP would allow a vessel to retain fish as compensation in excess of trip limits, or to be exempt from other specified management measures for the Pacific coast groundfish fishery.

(a) *Compensation EFP.* A compensation EFP may be issued to the owner or operator of a vessel that conducted a resource survey according to a contract with NMFS. A vessel's total compensation from all sources (in terms of dollars or amount of fish and including fish from survey samples or compensation fish) will be determined through normal Federal procurement procedures. The compensation EFP will specify the maximum amount or value of fish that may be retained by the vessel after the resource survey is completed.

(1) *Competitive offers.* NMFS may initiate a competitive solicitation (request for proposals or RFP) to select

vessels to conduct resource surveys that use fish as full or partial compensation, following normal Federal procurement procedures.

(2) *Consultation and approval.* At a Council meeting, NMFS will consult with the Council and receive public comment on upcoming resource surveys to be conducted if groundfish could be used as whole or partial compensation. Generally, compensation fish would be similar to surveyed species, but there may be reasons to provide payment with healthier, more abundant, less restricted stocks, or more easily targeted species. For example, NMFS may decline to pay a vessel with species that are, or are expected to be, overfished, or that are subject to overfishing, or that are unavoidably caught with species that are overfished or subject to overfishing. NMFS also may also consider levels of discards, bycatch, and other factors. If the Council does not approve providing whole or partial compensation for the conduct of a survey, NMFS will not use fish, other than fish taken during the scientific research, as compensation for that survey. For each proposal, NMFS will present:

(i) The maximum number of vessels expected or needed to conduct the survey,

(ii) An estimate of the species and amount of fish likely to be needed as compensation,

(iii) When the survey and compensation fish would be taken, and

(iv) The year in which the compensation fish would be deducted from the ABC before determining the harvest guideline or quota.

(3) *Issuance of the compensation EFP.* Upon successful completion of the survey, NMFS will issue a "compensation EFP" to the vessel if it has not been fully compensated. The procedures in § 600.745(b)(1) through (b)(4) of this chapter do not apply to a compensation EFP issued under this subpart for the Pacific coast groundfish fishery (50 CFR part 660, subpart G).

(4) *Terms and conditions of the compensation EFP.* Conditions for disposition of bycatch or any excess catch, for reporting the value of the amount landed, and other appropriate terms and conditions will be specified in the EFP. Compensation fishing must occur during the period specified in the

EFP, but no later than the end of September of the fishing year following the survey, and must be conducted according to the terms and conditions of the EFP.

(5) *Reporting the compensation catch.* The compensation EFP may require the vessel owner or operator to keep separate records of compensation fishing and to submit them to NMFS within a specified period of time after the compensation fishing is completed.

(6) *Accounting for the compensation fish.* As part of the annual specification process (§ 660.321), NMFS will advise the Council of the amount of fish retained under a compensation EFP, which then will be deducted from the next year's ABCs before setting the HGs or quotas. Fish taken too late in the year to be deducted from the following year's ABC will be accounted for in the next management cycle practicable.

(b) *EFP with a compensation clause.* An EFP may be issued to a commercial fishing vessel for the purpose of collecting resource information in excess of current management limits (§ 600.745(b) of this chapter). The EFP may include a compensation clause that allows the participating vessel to be compensated with fish for its efforts to collect resource information according to NMFS' approved protocol. If compensation with fish is requested in an EFP application, or proposed by NMFS, the following provisions apply in addition to those at § 600.745(b) of this chapter.

(1) *Application.* In addition to the requirements in § 600.745(b) of this chapter, application for an EFP with a compensation clause must clearly state whether a vessel's participation is contingent upon compensation with groundfish and, if so, the minimum amount (in metric tons, round weight) and the species. As with other EFPs issued under § 600.745 of this chapter, the application may be submitted by any individual, including a state fishery management agency or other research institution.

(2) *Denial.* In addition to the reasons stated in § 600.745(b)(3)(iii) of this chapter, the application will be denied if the requested compensation fishery, species, or amount is unacceptable for reasons such as, but not limited to, the following: NMFS concludes the value of

the resource information is not commensurate with the value of the compensation fish; the proposed compensation involves species that are (or are expected to be) overfished or subject to overfishing, fishing in times or areas where fishing is otherwise prohibited or severely restricted, or fishing for species that would involve unavoidable bycatch of species that are overfished or subject to overfishing; or NMFS concludes the information can reasonably be obtained at less cost to the resource.

(3) *Window period for other applications.* If the RA or designee agrees that compensation should be considered, then a window period will be announced in the **Federal Register** during which additional participants will have an opportunity to apply. This notification would be made at the same time as announcement of receipt of the application and request for comments required under § 660.745(b). If there are more qualified applicants than needed for a particular time and area, NMFS will choose among the qualified vessels, either randomly, in order of receipt of the completed application, or by other impartial selection methods. If the permit applicant is a state, university, or Federal entity other than NMFS and NMFS approves the selection method, the permit applicant may choose among the qualified vessels, either randomly, in order of receipt of the vessel application, or by other impartial selection methods.

(4) *Terms and conditions.* The EFP will specify the amounts that may be taken as scientific samples and as compensation, the time period during which the compensation fishing must occur, management measures that are waived while fishing under the EFP, and other terms and conditions appropriate to the fishery and the collection of resource information. NMFS may require compensation fishing to occur on the same trip that the resource information is collected.

(5) *Accounting for the catch.* Samples taken under this EFP, as well as any compensation fish, are counted toward the current year's catch or landings.

[FR Doc. 98-17937 Filed 7-1-98; 3:32 pm]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-F