- f. *Location:* Pacolet River, Spartanburg County, South Carolina.
- g. Filed Pursuant to: Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)–825(r).
- h. *Applicant Contact*: Victoria J. Miller, Bluestone Energy Design, P.O. Box 181, Converse, SC 29329, (864) 579–4640.
- i. FERC Contact: J.W. Flint, (202) 219–2667.
- j. Comment Date: August 15, 1998.
- k. Description of Amendment: Bluestone Energy Design proposes to remove the 4-foot-high flashboards from the dam.
- l. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs: B, C1, and D2.
- B. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR sections 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

C1. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title

"COMMENTS,"

"RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS," "PROTEST" OR "MOTION TO INTERVENE," as applicable, and the project number of the particular application to which the filing is in response. Any of these documents must be filed by providing the original and 8 copies to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426. Motions to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the applicant specified in the particular application.

D2. Agency Comments—The Commission invites federal, state, and local agencies to file comments on the described application. (Agencies may obtain a copy of the application directly from the applicant.) If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, the Commission will presume that the agency has none. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the applicant's representatives.

### David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–17754 Filed 7–2–98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6121-1]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Regional Compliance Assistance Program Evaluation

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), this document announces that the following Information Collection Request (ICR) has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval: Agency Generic Information Collection Request: Regional Compliance Assistance Program Evaluation, EPA ICR No. 1860.01. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden and cost; where appropriate, it includes the actual data collection instrument.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before August 5, 1998.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Contact Sandy Farmer at EPA by phone at (202) 260–2740, by email at farmer.sandy@epamail.epa.gov, or download off the Internet at http://www.epa.gov/icr and refer to EPA ICR No. 1860.01.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Agency Generic Information Collection Request: Regional Compliance Assistance Program, (EPA ICR No. 1860.01). This is a new collection.

Abstract: Since EPA's Office of **Enforcement and Compliance Assurance** (OECA) was formed three years ago, there has been an increased focus on the use of compliance assistance as an appropriate tool to assist the regulated community in improving its compliance. In particular, OECA has focussed its compliance assistance on small business and small communities that have not had much exposure to traditional enforcement and therefore may not be fully aware of their compliance obligations. Compliance assistance consists of information and technical assistance provided to the regulated community to help it meet the requirements of environmental law. First and foremost, compliance assistance ensures that the regulated community understands its obligations by providing clear and consistent descriptions of regulatory requirements.

The bulk of OECA's compliance assistance activities are undertaken in our regional offices. Regional compliance assistance activities commonly include: hotlines, workshops/seminar/trainings, compliance guides (e.g., plain language explanations of regulations, videos), and on-site visits. Since compliance assistance is a rather new tool for OECA, we are very interested in learning about its effectiveness. In particular, we are interested in learning about the "outcome" of compliance assistance on a continuum of potential outcomes. The continuum includes determining the "reach" of activity within the intended audience; determining their "satisfaction" with the activity; and determining what "behavioral changes" they make as a result of the activity. The purpose of this generic ICR is to enable OECA to collect data on the program effectiveness of their compliance assistance program so that we can begin to understand which of our various types of compliance assistance activities are most effective as well as to obtain anecdotal information on the outcomes of these assistance efforts. Moreover, since measuring the impact of compliance assistance is a new activity for OECA, we are also interested in experimenting with different types of measurement methods (e.g., comment cards, mailed surveys, phone surveys) to better direct our program evaluation program. Moreover, we are interested in learning if this data can be obtained using generalizable methods and will be supporting our measurement activities with analysis in this area.

In each instance we will be measuring whether or not the compliance assistance activity is meeting its intended goal. Typical goals for compliance assistance activities include: informing the regulated community of their compliance obligations (e.g., plain-language guides); assisting the regulated community in their understanding of complex federal and/or state requirements (e.g., section 215 of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act asks EPA to undertake demonstration projects with states to develop compliance assistance tools that integrate state and federal rules); and motivating behavioral change (e.g., pollutants reduced, permits adopted) from on-site visits, and indepth workshops/trainings. This activity is being undertaken to assist EPA in its implementation of the National Performance Measures Strategy that was finalized on December 22, 1997.

None of the information collected by this action results in or requests

sensitive information of any nature from the states.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it display a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15. The **Federal Register** document required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on April 21, 1998. No comments were received.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average .125 hours per response. Burden means the total time. effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information: and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Businesses receiving compliance assistance from EPA.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 465,489.

Frequency of Response: Sporadic. Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 19,470 hours.

Estimated Total Annualized Cost Burden: \$218,090.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the following addresses. Please refer to EPA ICR No. 1860.01 in any correspondence.

Ms. Sandy Farmer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, OPPE Regulatory Information Division (2137), 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460;

Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503. Dated: June 25, 1998.

#### Joseph Retzer,

Director, Regulatory Information Division. [FR Doc. 98–17811 Filed 7–2–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6120-8]

Proposed Settlement Under Section 122(h) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as Amended, 42 U.S.C. 9622(h), Jones Trucklines Superfund Site, St. Louis, MO

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: The United States
Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to enter into an administrative settlement to resolve claims under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 9622(h). This settlement is intended to resolve the liability of Triad Carriers, Inc. for response costs incurred at the Jones Trucklines Superfund Site, 5401 Hall Street, St. Louis, Missouri.

**DATES:** Written comments must be provided on or before August 5, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to Cheryle Micinski, Deputy Regional Counsel, Office of Regional Counsel, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region VII, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101 and should refer to: *In the Matter of Jones Trucklines Superfund Site*, EPA Docket No. VII–98–F–0010.

The proposed administrative cost recovery settlement may be examined in person at the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region VII, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101. A copy of the proposed settlement may be obtained from Venessa Cobbs, Regional Docket Clerk, EPA Region VII, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101, telephone (913) 551–7630.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cheryle Micinski, Deputy Regional Counsel, Office of Regional Counsel, EPA Region VII, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101, telephone (913) 551–7010. Dated: June 25, 1998.

#### Michael J. Sanderson,

Director, Superfund Division, Region VII. [FR Doc. 98–17809 Filed 7–2–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6120-9]

Proposed Settlement Agreement; Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; Enhanced Motor Vehicle Inspection and Maintenance Program

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed settlement agreement.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with section 113(g) of the Clean Air Act ("Act"), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 7413(g), notice is hereby given of a proposed settlement agreement concerning litigation instituted against the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. The lawsuit concerns EPA's conditional interim approval of the Commonwealth's enhanced vehicle inspection and maintenance (I/M) program under section 182(c)(3) of the Act. The parties have agreed to settle this matter without litigation. The proposed settlement agreement obligates Pennsylvania to make certain additional State Implementation Plan (SIP) submissions, which EPA agrees to propose to approve. The agreement further obligates EPA to work with Pennsylvania to develop an alternative program evaluation methodology that does not require the use of mass emission testing technology, or in the alternative to conditionally approve a subsequent Pennsylvania I/M program evaluation SIP submission if the parties can not develop such a methodology

For a period of thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will receive written comments relating to modified settlement agreement. EPA or the Department of Justice may withhold or withdraw consent to the proposed settlement agreement if the comments disclose facts or circumstances that indicate that such consent is inappropriate, improper, inadequate, or inconsistent with the requirements of the Act.

Copies of the settlement agreement are available from Phyllis Cochran, Air and Radiation Law Office (2344), Office of General Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460, (202) 260–7606.