FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: LT Matthew Stuck, Aids to Navigation Branch, First Coast Guard District, 408 Atlantic Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts, 02110–3350, (617) 223–8347.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Regulatory History

On February 6, 1998, the Coast Guard published a notice of proposed rulemaking entitled "Special Anchorage Area: Groton, CT" in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 6141). The Coast Guard received no letters commenting on the propose rulemaking. No public hearing was requested, and none was held.

Background and Purpose

The rule is in response to a request made by the City of Groton to accommodate the increased number of vessels mooring in this area. The final rule will expand the existing special anchorage near Groton, Connecticut, described in 33 CFR 110.51, to allow its use by approximately 20 additional boats. Vessels not more than 65 feet in length when at anchor in any special anchorage shall not be required to carry or exhibit the white anchor lights required by the Navigation Rules. The rule will provide approximately twenty additional moorings in which vessel owners may enjoy the convenience of a special anchorage. The existing anchorage, located near Pine Island and Avery Point, is split into two areas by a 210-foot wide fairway channel. The change will reduce the width of the existing fairway to approximately 135 feet and extend the western boundary of the southern section of the anchorage by 75 feet. The note following section 33 CFR 110.51 is also updated to indicate the decrease in fairway channel width.

Regulatory Evaluation

This final rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. It has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget under that Order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040; February 26, 1979).

The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10(e) of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary. No person will be required to spend any money in order to comply with this regulation. The regulation will exempt persons operating in the expanded area from

complying with the more stringent vessel lighting regulations they would ordinarily be obliged to follow.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), the Coast Guard considered whether this rule will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. "Small entities" include small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. For the reasons discussed in the Regulatory Evaluation section above, the Coast Guard expects that this rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. Therefore, the Coast Guard certifies under section 605(b) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.) that this final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Assistance for Small Entities

In accordance with section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), the Coast Guard offered to assist small entities in understanding the rule so that they could better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process.

Collection of Information

This rule does not provide for a collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Federalism

The Coast Guard has analyzed this rule under the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12612 and has determined that this rule does not have sufficient implications for federalism to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

Environment

The Coast Guard has considered the environmental impact of this rule and concluded that under Figure 2–1, paragraph 34(f) Coast Guard Commandant Instruction M16475.1C that this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination" and Environmental Analysis Checklist are available in the docket for inspection and copying where indicated under ADDRESSES in this final rule.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 110

Anchorage grounds.

Final Regulation

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 110 as follows:

1. The authority citation for part 110 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 471, 2071; 49 CFR 1.46 and 33 CFR 1.05–1(g). Section 110.1a and each section listed in it are also issued under 33 U.S.C. 1223 and 1231.

2. Revise § 110.51 to read as follows:

§110.51 Groton, Conn.

The waters between an unnamed cove and Pine Island.

(a) Beginning at a point on the shoreline of Avery Point at latitude 41°19′01.4″, longitude 072°03′42.8″; thence to a point in the cove at latitude 41°19′02.5″, longitude 72°03′36.2″; thence southeasterly to a point at latitude 41°18′56.2″, longitude 072°03′34.2″; thence northeasterly to latitude 41°19′02.5″, longitude 072°03′19.2″ thence terminating at the tip of Jupiter Point at latitude 41°19′04.4″, longitude 072°03′19.7″. DATUM: NAD 83

(b) Beginning at a point on the shoreline of Pine Island at latitude 41°18′47.1″, longitude 072°03′36.8″; thence northerly to latitude 41°18′54.1″, longitude 072°03′35.4″; thence northeasterly to a point at latitude 41°19′01.2″, longitude 072°03′19.3″; thence terminating at a point at latitude 41°18′54.0″, longitude 072°03°17.5″. DATUM: NAD 83

Note: The areas designated by (a) and (b) are principally for the use of recreational vessels. Vessels shall be anchored so that part of the vessel obstructs the 135 foot wide channel. Temporary floats or buoys for marking the location of the anchor of a vessel at anchor may be used. Fixed mooring pilings or stakes are prohibited.

Dated: June 11, 1998.

R.M. Larrabee,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, First Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 98–17073 Filed 6–25–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

34 CFR Part 685

RIN 1840-AC45

William D. Ford Federal Direct Loan Program

AGENCY: Department of Education. **ACTION:** Final regulations.

SUMMARY: The Secretary amends the William D. Ford Federal Direct Loan Program regulations to add the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number to certain sections of the regulations. These sections contain information collection requirements approved by OMB. The Secretary takes this action to inform the public that these requirements have been approved and affected parties must comply with them.

EFFECTIVE DATE: These regulations are effective on July 1, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Kenneth Smith, U.S. Department of Education, 600 Independence Avenue, SW, ROB–3, Room 3045, Washington, DC 20202, telephone 202–708–8242. Individuals who use a

telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

Individuals with disabilities may obtain this document in an alternate format (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, or computer diskette) on request to the contact person listed in the preceding paragraph.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Final regulations for the William D. Ford Federal Direct Loan Program were published in the **Federal Register** on November 28, 1997 (62 FR 63428). Compliance with information collection requirements in certain sections of these regulations was delayed until those requirements were approved by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. OMB approved the information collection requirements in the regulations on December 4, 1997. The information collection requirements in these regulations will therefore become effective with all of the other provisions of the regulations on July 1, 1998.

Waiver of Proposed Rulemaking

It is the practice of the Secretary to offer interested parties the opportunity to comment on proposed regulations. However, the publication of OMB control numbers is purely technical and does not establish substantive policy. Therefore, the Secretary has determined under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), that public comment on the regulations is unnecessary and contrary to the public interest.

Electronic Access to This Document

Anyone may view this document, as well as all other Department of Education documents published in the **Federal Register**, in text or portable document format (pdf) on the World

Wide Web at either of the following sites:

http://ocfo.ed.gov/fedreg.htm http://www.ed.gov/news.html

To use the pdf you must have the Adobe Acrobat Reader Program with Search, which is available free at either of the previous sites. If you have questions about using the pdf, call the U.S. Government Printing Office toll free at 1–888–293–6498.

Anyone may also view these documents in text copy only on an electronic bulletin board of the Department. Telephone: (202) 219–1511, or toll free, 1–800–222–4922. The documents are located under Option G—Files/Announcements, Bulletins and Press Releases.

List of Subjects in 34 CFR Part 685

Administrative practice and procedure, Colleges and universities, Loan programs—education, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Student aid, Vocational education.

Dated: June 19, 1998.

David A. Longanecker,

Assistant Secretary for Postsecondary Education.

The Secretary amends Part 685 of Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 685—WILLIAM D. FORD FEDERAL DIRECT LOAN PROGRAM

1. The authority citation for Part 685 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1087a *et seq.*, unless otherwise noted.

§ 685.212 [Amended]

2. Section 685.212, is amended by adding the OMB control number following the section to read as follows: "(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 1840–0672)"

[FR Doc. 98–17131 Filed 6–25–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4000–01–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 62

[OR-2-0001; FRL-6115-5]

Approval and Promulgation of State Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants: Oregon

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) approves the Section 111(d) State Plan submitted by Oregon on May 14, 1997, for implementing and enforcing the Emissions Guidelines (EG) applicable to existing Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Landfills.

DATES: This action is effective on August 25, 1998 unless significant, material, and adverse comments are received by July 27, 1998. If significant, material, and adverse comments are received a timely withdrawal will be published in the Federal Register informing the public that this rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to: Catherine Woo, Office of Air Quality (OAQ-107), EPA, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101.

Copies of materials submitted to EPA may be examined during normal business hours at the following locations: EPA, Region 10, Office of Air Quality, 1200 Sixth Avenue (OAQ–107), Seattle, Washington 98101, and at Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, 811 SW Sixth Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97204.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Catherine Woo, Office of Air Quality (OAQ-107), EPA, Seattle, Washington 98101, (206) 553–1814.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

On March 12, 1996, pursuant to Section 111 of the Clean Air Act (Act), the EPA promulgated new source performance standards (NSPS) applicable to new MSW Landfills and EG applicable to existing MSW Landfills. The NSPS and EG are codified at 40 CFR Part 60, Subparts WWW and Cc, respectively. See 61 FR 9905 (March 12, 1996). Under Section 111(d) of the Act, the EPA established procedures whereby States submit plans to control existing sources of designated pollutants. Designated pollutants are defined as pollutants which are not included on a list published under Section 108(a) of the Act (i.e., National Ambient Air Quality Standard pollutants), but to which a standard of performance for new sources applies under Section 111. Under Section 111(d), emission standards are to be adopted by the States and submitted to EPA for approval. The standards limit the emissions of designated pollutants from existing facilities which, if new, would be subject to the NSPS. Such facilities are called designated facilities.

The procedures under which States submit these plans to control existing sources are defined in 40 CFR Part 60,