

company will own, directly or indirectly, the stock of any current non-utility subsidiaries of applicant except that Applicant will continue to own one unregulated subsidiary. Under this restructuring, Applicant will sell at auction its fossil-fueled electric generation facilities at its Danskammer Steam Generating Plant and its partial interest in the Roseton Electric Generation Plant (hereafter, collectively referred to as "Generation Assets"). However, Applicant will continue to be an "electric utility" as defined in 10 CFR 50.2 engaged in the transmission, distribution, and generation of electricity at NMP2, combustion turbine facilities, hydroelectric facilities, and (until structurally separated or divested), the Generation Assets. Applicant would retain its ownership interest in NMP2 and continue to be a licensee of NMP2. No direct transfer of the operating license or ownership interests in the station will result from the proposed restructuring. The transaction would not involve any change to either the management organization or technical personnel of Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, which is responsible for operating and maintaining NMP2 and is not involved in the restructuring of Applicant. The proposed action is in accordance with Applicant's application dated April 8, 1998, as supplemented April 22, 1998.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is required to enable Applicant to restructure as described above.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed corporate restructuring and concludes that it is an administrative action unrelated to plant operation; therefore, there will be no resulting physical or operational changes to NMP2. The corporate restructuring will not affect the qualifications or organizational affiliation of the personnel who operate and maintain the facility, as NMPC will continue to be responsible for the maintenance and operation of NMP2 and is not involved in the restructuring of NYSEG.

The proposed action will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in occupational or offsite radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological

environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the restructuring would not affect nonradiological plant effluents and would have no other nonradiological environmental impact.

Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there are no significant environmental impacts that would result from the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated.

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statements Related to the Operation of Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit No. 2, (NUREG-1085) dated May 1985.

Agencies and Persons Contacted

In accordance with its stated policy, on June 19, 1998, the staff consulted with the New York State official, Mr. Jack Spath, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see Applicant's application dated April 8, as supplemented by letter dated April 22, 1998, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Reference and Documents Department, Penfield Library, State University of New York, Oswego, New York 13126.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 19th day of June 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Guy S. Vissing,

Acting Director, Project Directorate I-1, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 98-16909 Filed 6-24-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-010]

Commonwealth Edison Co.; Dresden Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1; Notice of Public Meeting

The NRC will conduct a public meeting at Grundy County Administration Center, 1320 Union Street, Morris, Illinois, on July 23, 1998, to discuss plans developed by Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd, the licensee) to decommission the Dresden Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1, near Morris, Illinois. The meeting is scheduled for 7:00–9:00 p.m. and will be chaired by Mr. Donald Kauffman, Chairman, Grundy County Board. The meeting will include a short presentation by the NRC staff on the decommissioning process and NRC programs for monitoring decommissioning activities, with attention being given to the licensee's updated Post-Shutdown Decommissioning Activities Report (PSDAR) dated June 1, 1998. There will be a presentation by ComEd on their planned decommissioning activities, and there will be an opportunity for members of the public to make comments and question the NRC staff and ComEd representatives. The meeting will be transcribed.

The licensee's update to the PSDAR provides a short discussion of the plant history, and a description and schedule of planned decommissioning activities. The PSDAR update also comments briefly on anticipated decommissioning costs and environmental impacts.

The PSDAR update is available for public inspection at the local public document room, located at the Morris Area Public Library District, 604 Liberty Street, Morris, Illinois 60450, and the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20037. The NRC document accession number is 9806080055.

For more information, contact Mr. Ronald A. Burrows, Project Manager, Non-Power Reactors and Decommissioning Project Directorate, Division of Reactor Program Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555–

0001, telephone number (301) 415-2497.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 17th day of June 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Seymour H. Weiss,

*Director, Non-Power Reactors and
Decommissioning Project Directorate,
Division of Reactor Program Management,
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 98-16910 Filed 6-24-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Utility/NRC Interface Licensing Workshop

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory
Commission.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the American Nuclear Society (ANS) are co-sponsoring a workshop involving senior NRC staff and key licensing officials representing the nuclear industry. The purpose of the meeting is to provide a forum for constructive dialogue on a number of important licensing issues. The workshop will consist of three sessions; each session will consist of three separate working groups discussing one of the following topics: (1) Communications between NRR Projects and Industry, (2) Licensing Submittals and Expectations, (3) Licensing Restart Issues after Prolonged Outages, (4) Notice of Enforcement Discretion, (5) Project Management Workload and Prioritization of Licensing Actions, (6) Using PRA in Licensing Decisions, (7) Public Interaction, (8) Standard Technical Specifications, and (9) Commitment Management. The working

groups will be co-facilitated by NRC and industry experts and will report back to the entire group following each session. The meeting is open to the public and all interested parties may attend. The fees for ANS members are \$375 and the fees for nonmembers are \$425. Please contact Dave Slaninka of ANS at (708) 579-8255 for additional information regarding registration and fees.

Dates: July 20, 1998, from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.; July 21, 1998, from 8:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

Location: Bethesda Marriott Hotel, 5151 Pooks Road, Bethesda, Maryland 20814, Telephone (301) 897-9400, Toll Free (800) 228-9290.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Marsha Gamberoni, Mail Stop O-13-H3, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852-2738; Telephone: (301) 415-3024; Internet: MKG@NRC.GOV

or

Jeff Jeffries, Paradigm Consulting, 104 Torrey Pines Dr., Cary, NC 27513; Telephone: (800) 481-4508; Internet: jjeffries@worldnet.att.net

Dated at Rockville, Maryland the 16th day of June 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Bruce A. Boger,

*Acting Associate Director for Projects, Office
of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 98-16911 Filed 6-24-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

Cumulative Report on Rescissions and Deferrals

June 1, 1998.

This report is submitted in fulfillment of the requirement of Section 1014(e) of

the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-344). Section 1014(e) requires a monthly report listing all budget authority for the current fiscal year for which, as of the first day of the month, a special message had been transmitted to Congress.

This report gives the status, as of June 1, 1998, of 24 rescission proposals and eight deferrals contained in two special messages for FY 1998. These messages were transmitted to Congress on February 3 and February 20, 1998.

Rescissions (Attachments A and C)

As of June 1, 1998, 24 rescission proposals totaling \$20 million had been transmitted to the Congress. Congress approved 21 of the Administration's rescission proposals in P.L. 105-174. A total of \$17.3 million of the rescissions proposed by the President was rescinded by that measure. Attachment C shows the status of the FY 1998 rescission proposals.

Deferrals (Attachments B and D)

As of June 1, 1998, \$3,187 million in budget authority was being deferred from obligation. Attachment D shows the status of each deferral reported during FY 1998.

Information From Special Messages

The special messages containing information on the rescission proposals and deferrals that are covered by this cumulative report are printed in the editions of the **Federal Register** cited below:

63 FR 7004, Wednesday, February 11, 1998

63 FR 10076, Friday, February 27, 1998

Jacob J. Lew,

Acting Director.

Attachments

ATTACHMENT A—STATUS OF FY 1998 RESCISSIONS

[In millions of dollars]

	Budgetary resources
Rescissions proposed by the President	20.1
Rejected by the Congress
Amounts rescinded by P.L. 105-174, the FY 1998 Supplemental Appropriations and Rescissions Act	- 17.3
Currently before the Congress	2.8