Executive Order 12988

This rule has reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. This rule: (1) Preempts all State and local laws and regulations that are in conflict with this rule; (2) has no retroactive effect; and (3) does not require administrative proceedings before parties may file suit in court challenging this rule.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule contains no information collection or recordkeeping requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501

List of Subject in 9 CFR Part 78

Animal diseases, Bison, Cattle, Hogs, Quarantine, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Transportation.

Accordingly, 9 CFR part 78 is amended as follows:

PART 78—BRUCELLOSIS

1. The authority citation for part 78 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 111-114a-1, 114g, 115, 117, 120, 121, 123–126, 134b, and 134f; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.2(d).

§78.41 [Amended]

2. In § 78.41, paragraph (a) is amended by removing "Louisiana,".

3. In § 78.41, paragraph (b) is amended by adding "Louisiana," immediately before "Mississippi,".

Done in Washington, DC, this 16th day of June 1998.

Charles Schwalbe.

Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 98–16749 Filed 6–23–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410-34-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Animal and Plant Health Inspection

9 CFR Part 78

[Docket No. 98-061-1]

Validated Brucellosis-Free States: Oklahoma

AGENCY: Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA.

ACTION: Interim rule and request for comments.

SUMMARY: We are amending the brucellosis regulations concerning the interstate movement of swine by adding Oklahoma to the list of validated

brucellosis-free States. We have determined that Oklahoma meets the criteria for classification as a validated brucellosis-free State. This action relieves certain restrictions on the interstate movement of breeding swine from Oklahoma.

DATES: Interim rule effective June 24, 1998. Consideration will be given only to comments received on or before August 24, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Please send an original and three copies of your comments to Docket No. 98-061-1, Regulatory Analysis and Development, PPD, APHIS, suite 3C03, 4700 River Road Unit 118, Riverdale, MD 20737-1238. Please state that your comments refer to Docket No. 98-061-1. Comments received may be inspected at USDA. room 1141, South Building, 14th Street and Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC, between 8 a.m., and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays. Persons wishing to inspect comments are requested to call ahead on (202) 690-2817 to facilitate entry into the comment reading room. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Arnold Taft, Senior Staff Veterinarian, National Animal Health Programs, VS, APHIS, 4700 River Road Unit 43, Riverdale, MD 20737-1231, (301) 734-4916.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Brucellosis is a contagious disease affecting animals and humans, caused by bacteria of the genus Brucella. The brucellosis regulations, contained in 9 CFR part 78 (referred to below as the regulations), prescribe conditions for the interstate movement of cattle, bison, and swine.

Under the swine brucellosis regulations, States, herds, and individual animals are classified according to their brucellosis status. Interstate movement requirements for swine are based upon the disease status of the individual animal or the herd or State from which the animal originates.

We are amending § 78.43 of the regulations, which lists validated brucellosis-free States, to include Oklahoma. A State may apply for validated brucellosis-free status when: (1) Any herd found to have swine brucellosis during the 2-year qualification period preceding the application has been depopulated. More than one finding of a swine brucellosisinfected herd during the qualification period disqualifies the State from validation as brucellosis-free; and (2) during the 2-year qualification period, the State has completed surveillance,

annually, by either complete herd testing, market swine testing, or statistical analysis.

Breeding swine originating from a validated brucellosis-free State or herd may be moved interstate without having been tested with an official test for brucellosis within 30 days prior to interstate movement, which would otherwise be required.

After reviewing its brucellosis program records, we have concluded that Oklahoma meets the criteria for classification as a validated brucellosisfree State. Therefore, we are adding Oklahoma to the list of States in § 78.43. This action relieves certain restrictions on the interstate movement of breeding swine from Oklahoma.

Immediate Action

The Administrator of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service has determined that there is good cause for publishing this interim rule without prior opportunity for public comment. Immediate action is warranted to remove unnecessary restrictions on the interstate movement of swine from Oklahoma.

Because prior notice and other public procedures with respect to this action are impracticable and contrary to the public interest under these conditions, we find good cause under 5 U.S.C. 553 to make it effective upon publication in the **Federal Register**. We will consider comments that are received within 60 days of publication of this rule in the Federal Register. After the comment period closes, we will publish another document in the **Federal Register**. It will include a discussion of any comments we receive and any amendments we are making to the rule as a result of the comments.

Executive Order 12866 and Regulatory Flexibility Act

This rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12866. For this action, the Office of Management and Budget has waived its review process required by Executive Order 12866.

This action removes the requirement that breeding swine be tested for brucellosis prior to movement interstate from Oklahoma.

Ninety-nine percent of swine herd producers in Oklahoma are small businesses (defined by the Small Business Administration as having annual gross receipts of less than \$500,000). Currently, these small producers have about 100,000 adult swine tested annually for brucellosis, at a cost to producers of approximately \$5 per test. We are not able to determine exactly how many of these tests are

performed for the purpose of certifying breeding swine for movement interstate, but we estimate the number to be small.

We anticipate, therefore, that this action will have a minimal positive economic impact, if any, on swine producers in Oklahoma.

Under these circumstances, the Administrator of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service has determined that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Executive Order 12372

This program/activity is listed in the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance under No. 10.025 and is subject to Executive Order 12372, which requires intergovernmental consultation with State and local officials. (See 7 CFR part 3015, subpart V.)

Executive Order 12988

This rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. This rule: (1) Preempts all State and local laws and regulations that are in conflict with this rule; (2) has no retroactive effect; and (3) does not require administrative proceedings before parties may file suit in court challenging this rule.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule contains no information collection or recordkeeping requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

List of Subjects in 9 CFR Part 78

Animal diseases, Bison, Cattle, Hogs, Quarantine, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Transportation.

Accordingly, 9 CFR part 78 is amended as follows:

PART 78—BRUCELLOSIS

1. The authority citation for part 78 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 111–114a–1, 114g, 115, 117, 120, 121, 123–126, 134b, and 134f; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.2(d).

§78.43 [Amended]

2. Section 78.43 is amended by adding "Oklahoma," immediately after "Ohio".

Done in Washington, DC, this 16th day of June 1998.

Charles Schwalbe,

Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 98–16748 Filed 6–23–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–34–P

FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

12 CFR Part 607

RIN 3052-AB83

Assessment and Apportionment of Administrative Expenses; Technical Change

AGENCY: Farm Credit Administration. **ACTION:** Direct final rule with opportunity for comment.

SUMMARY: The Farm Credit Administration (FCA or Agency), through the FCA Board (Board), issues a direct final rule that makes technical amendments to its assessment regulations in order to conform to the recently adopted FCA Board policy statement on its financial institution rating system. The Financial Institution Rating System (FIRS) is the rating system used by FCA examiners for evaluating and categorizing the safety and soundness of Farm Credit System (System) institutions on an ongoing, uniform, and comprehensive basis. The FIRS modified the FCA Rating System (which had been referred to as the CAMEL rating system) by adding a separate rating factor for sensitivity to market risk. In accordance with the FIRS policy statement, these technical amendments replace the reference to "composite CAMEL rating" (the acronym CAMEL referred to the following five rating components: capital, asset quality, management, earnings, and liquidity), with "composite Financial Institution Rating System (FIRS) rating" and replace references to "CAMEL" with "FIRS." The technical amendments do not substantively change the FCA assessment process or adversely affect System institutions.

DATES: If no significant adverse comment is received on or before July 24, 1998, these regulations shall be effective upon the expiration of 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register** during which either or both Houses of Congress are in session. Notice of the effective date will be published in the **Federal Register**. If significant adverse comment is received, the FCA will publish a timely notice of withdrawal of the regulations and indicate how the Agency expects to proceed with further rulemaking.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted via electronic mail to "regcomm@fca.gov" or facsimile transmission to (703) 734–5784. Comments also may be mailed or delivered to Patricia W. DiMuzio, Director, Regulation and Policy

Division, Office of Policy and Analysis, Farm Credit Administration, 1501 Farm Credit Drive, McLean, Virginia 22102–5090. Copies of all communications received will be available for review by interested parties in the Office of Policy and Analysis, Farm Credit Administration.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andrew D. Jacob, Senior Financial Analyst, Office of Policy and Analysis, Farm Credit Administration, McLean, Virginia 22102–5090, (703) 883–4498, TDD (703) 883–4444

Wendy R. Laguarda, Senior Attorney, Office of General Counsel, Farm Credit Administration, McLean, Virginia 22102–5090, (703) 883–4020, TDD (703) 883–4444.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Board is making technical amendments to its assessment regulations to replace the reference to "composite CAMEL rating" with "composite Financial Institution Rating System (FIRS) rating" and to replace references to "CAMEL" with "FIRS." The technical amendments reflect the Board's adoption, at its April 9, 1998 Board meeting, of a policy statement on the FIRS. The policy statement establishes six rating factor components and a composite rating that reflect the condition and overall safety and soundness of a System institution. The FIRS policy statement differs from the previous CAMEL rating system by the addition of a sixth rating componentthe "S" component for sensitivity to market risk. Hence, the six rating factor components of the FIRS are capital, assets, management, earnings, liquidity, and sensitivity ("S" component). The policy statement also sets forth the responsibility of the Chief Examiner to implement, maintain, and recommend to the FCA Board changes to the rating system and to establish appropriate evaluative criteria for determining FIRS composite and component ratings.

The FIRS is an internal rating system used by the FCA for evaluating the safety and soundness of System institutions on a uniform basis and for identifying those System institutions requiring special supervisory attention or concern. In addition, the FIRS also provides the Agency with valuable information for assessing risk and allocating resources based on the safety and soundness of regulated institutions. The FIRS is similar to the system known as the Uniform Financial Institutions Rating System (UFIRS), which is used by Federal and state supervisory