FTZ 40 was approved on September 29, 1978 (Board Order 135, 43 F.R. 46886, 10/11/78) and expanded in June 1982 (Board Order 194, 47 F.R. 27579, 6/25/82); April 1992 (Board Order 574, 57 F.R. 13694, 4/17/92); and, February 1997 (Board Order 870, 62 F.R. 7750, 2/ 20/97). The zone project currently consists of 5 sites in the Cleveland, Ohio, area: Site 1 (94 acres)-port of Cleveland complex on Lake Erie at the mouth of the Cuyahoga River, Cleveland; Site 2 (175 acres)—the IX Center (formerly the "Cleveland Tank Plant"), in Brook Park, Ohio, adjacent to Cleveland Hopkins International Airport; Site 3 (1,900 acres)—Cleveland Hopkins International Airport complex, Cleveland; Site 4 (450 acres)—Burke Lakefront Airport, 1501 North Marginal Road, Cleveland, and Site 5 (97 acres) within the Emerald Valley Business Park at the southeast corner of Cochran Road and Beaver Meadow Parkway, Glenwillow.

The applicant is now requesting authority to expand existing *Site 5* to include the entire Emerald Valley Business Park (298 acres, includes existing areas) in Glenwillow and to include 3 new sites (160 acres) in Cuyahoga County (Proposed Sites 6-8): Proposed Site 6 (30 acres)—Collinwood site, South Waterloo (South Marginal) Road and East 152nd Street, Cleveland; Proposed Site 7 (47 acres)—Water Tower Industrial Park, Coit Road and East 140th Street, Cleveland; and, Proposed Site 8 (83 acres)—Strongsville Industrial Park, Royalton Road (State Route 82), Strongsville, Proposed Sites 5, 6 and 8 are privately owned, while Proposed Site 7 is owned by the State of Ohio. No specific manufacturing requests are bing made at this time. Such requests would be made to the Board on a case-by-case basis.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties.
Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is August 24, 1998. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to September 8, 1998).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations:

U.S. Department of Commerce, Export Assistance Center, 600 Superior Avenue, East, #700 Cleveland, Ohio 44114

Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 3716, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: June 16, 1998.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–16683 Filed 6–22–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 30-98]

Foreign-Trade Zone 183—Austin, Texas, Application for Expansion and Request for Manufacturing Authority (Servers and Work Stations)

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) by the Foreign-Trade Zone of Central Texas, Inc., grantee of FTZ 183, requesting authority to expand its zone in the Austin, Texas area, and requesting on behalf of Dell Computer Corporation, authority to manufacture servers and workstations under zone procedures within FTZ 183 (Austin Customs port of entry). The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended, (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR Part 400). It was formally filed on June

FTZ 183 was approved on December 23, 1991 (Board Order 550, 57 FR 42, 1/2/92). The zone currently consists of seven sites in the Austin, Texas area:

Site 1—Austin Enterprise site (317 acres), consisting of seven parcels within the Austin Enterprise Zone Area along Highway 290 and the Ben White Boulevard-Montopolis Drive area, Austin;

Site 2—Balcones Research site (50 acres), located in north central Austin at the intersection of Burnett Road and Longhorn Boulevard;

Site 3—High Tech Corridor site (762 acres), consisting of ten parcels located along I–35, 14 miles north of downtown Austin (site straddles Austin-Round Rock city line);

Site 4—Cedar Park site (122 acres), some eight miles northwest of the Austin city limits, in Williamson County;

Site 5—Round Rock "SSC" site (246 acres), consisting of two parcels located along I–35 between Chandler Road and Westinghouse Road on the northern edge of the City of Round Rock;

Site 6—Georgetown site (246 acres), located along I–35 and U.S. 81, south of downtown Georgetown;

Site 7—San Marcos site (40 acres), located within the San Marcos Municipal Airport facility in eastern San Marcos, adjacent to State Highway 21, on the Hays County/Caldwell County line.

(An expansion request (Doc. 63–97) is currently pending with the FTZ Board to expand FTZ 183 to include the MET Center industrial park (200 acres) located between U.S. Highway 183 South and State Highway 71 East in southeast Austin.)

The applicant is now requesting authority to expand Site 3 to include 574 acres approximately two miles south of the High-Tech Corridor site, just east of I–35 on Parmer Lane in the City of Austin (Travis County) near the Williamson County border. This proposed expansion will expand the site to 1,336 acres.

The application also requests authority on behalf of Dell Computer Corporation to manufacture servers and workstations within FTZ 183 (within the proposed expansion area). Dell is already authorized to manufacture computers and related products, including servers and workstations, under zone procedures within Subzone 183A. Dell is building a 300,000 square foot facility (the "Enterprise Systems Facility") within the proposed Site 3 expansion area, and plans to transfer a portion of its manufacturing operations to the new facilities to meet requirements for additional capacity required by its growing server and workstation businesses. This proposal does not request any new manufacturing authority under FTZ procedures in terms of products or components, but it does involve a proposed increase in Dell's level of production under FTZ procedures within the FTZ 183 project overall corresponding to the proposed increase in facilities.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is August 24, 1998. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to September 8, 1998).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available

for public inspection at each of the following locations:

U.S. Department of Commerce, Export Assistance Center 1700 Congress, 2nd Floor, Austin, TX 78711

Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 3716, U.S. Department of Commerce 14th & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: June 12, 1998.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–16682 Filed 6–22–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-489-602]

Acetylsalicylic Acid From Turkey; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On April 30, 1998, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on acetylsalicylic acid from Turkey. This review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise during the period of review August 1, 1996, through July 31, 1997.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results; however, we received no comments. Therefore, these final results of review are the same as those presented in the preliminary results of review. The review indicates the existence of no dumping margin for the manufacturer/exporter during this period.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 23, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lisa Tomlinson, David Dirstine, or Richard Rimlinger, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4733.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as

amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Rounds Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to the regulations published on May 19, 1997 (62 FR 27296).

Background

On April 30, 1997, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 23720) the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on acetylsalicylic acid from Turkey (52 FR 32030, August 25, 1987). The review covers one company, Atabay Kimya Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (AKS), a Turkish manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise. The Department has now completed the administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Scope of Review

The product covered by this review is acetylsalicylic acid (aspirin) containing no additives, other than inactive substances (such as starch, lactose, cellulose, or coloring material), and/or active substances in concentrations less than that specified for particular nonprescription drug combinations of aspirin and active substances as published in the Handbook of Non-Prescription Drugs, eighth edition, American Pharmaceutical Association, and is not in tablet, capsule or similar forms for direct human consumption. This product is currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) subheading 2918.22.10. The HTS item number is provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written descriptions of the scope of this proceeding remains dispositive.

Final Results of Review

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results of review, but we received no comments. Therefore, the final results of review are the same as those presented in our preliminary results. As a result of the review, we determine that the weighted-average dumping margin is as follows:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (per- cent)
Atabay Kimya Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S	0.00

The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service. We will instruct the Customs Service not to assess antidumping duties on the merchandise subject to review.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for AKS will be zero percent; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, any prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review, the cash deposit rate will be 32.98 percent. This is the "All Others" rate from the LTFV investigation. (See Antidumping Duty Order: Acetylsalicylic Acid from Turkey, 52 FR 32030 (August 25, 1987).) These deposit rates shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under section 351.402(f)(2) of the Department's regulations to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 11, 1998.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration. [FR Doc. 98–16681 Filed 6–22–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P