- t. Emergency Payment—emergency includes hurricane, tornado, storm, flood, high water, wind-driven water, tidal wave, tsunami, earthquake, volcanic eruption, landslide, mud slide, snowstorm, drought, fire, explosion, or other catastrophe which requires Federal emergency assistance to supplement State and local efforts to save lives and property, and ensure public health and safety.
- u. Evaluated Receipts—contractually designated use of the acceptance document and the contract as the basis for payment without requiring a separate invoice.
- v. Fast Payment—under the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 13.3, the Fast Payment procedure allows payment under limited conditions to a vendor prior to the Government's verification that supplies have been received and accepted.
- w. Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR)—the regulation that governs most Federal acquisition and related payment issues. Agencies may also have supplements prescribing unique agency policies.
- x. Government Credit Card—internationally accepted credit card available to all Federal agencies under a General Services Administration contract for the purpose of making simplified acquisitions of up to \$100.000.
- y. Invoice—a bill, written document or electronic transmission, provided by a vendor requesting payment for property received or services rendered. A proper invoice must meet the requirements of section 8.b of this regulation. The term invoice can include receiving reports and delivery tickets contractually designated as invoices.
- z. Payment Date—the date on which a check for payment is dated or the date of an electronic fund transfer (EFT) payment (settlement date).
- aa. Receiving Office—the entity which physically receives the goods or services, may be separate from the accepting entity.
- bb. Receiving Report—written or electronic evidence of receipt of goods or services by a Government official. Receiving reports must meet the requirements of section 5.g. of this regulation.
- cc. Recurring Payments—Fixed Amounts—payments for services of a recurring nature, such as rents, building maintenance, transportation services, parking, leases, and maintenance for equipment, pagers and cellular phones, etc., which are performed under agencyvendor agreements providing for

payments of definite amounts at fixed periodic intervals.

dd. Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN)—nine digit Employer Identification Number or Social Security Number as defined in section 6109 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (26 U.S.C. 6109).

ee. Utilities and Telephones contractual or non-contractual purchase of electricity, water, sewage services, telephone services, and natural gas. Utilities can be regulated, unregulated, or under contract.

ff. Vendor—any person, organization, or business concern engaged in a profession, trade, or business and any not-for-profit entity operating as a vendor (including State and local governments and foreign entities and foreign governments, but excluding Federal entities).

19. Effective Dates

This regulation will be effective 30 days after final publication. For payments under contracts or purchase orders solicited on or after July 26, 1996, the requirement to collect banking information, for purposes of making an EFT payment pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 3332, as amended, will be effective 30 days after final publication. For payments under contracts or purchase orders solicited before July 26, 1996, the requirement to collect banking information is effective January 2, 1999.

[FR Doc. 98-15397 Filed 6-16-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3110-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

7 CFR Part 981

[Docket No. FV98-981-1 PR]

Almonds Grown in California; Revision of Requirements Regarding Quality Control Program

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This rule invites comments on a revision to the administrative rules and regulations of the California almond marketing order (order) pertaining to the quality control program. The order regulates the handling of almonds grown in California, and is administered locally by the Almond Board of California (Board). Under the terms of the order, handlers are required to obtain inspection on almonds received from growers to determine the percent of inedible almonds in each lot of any

variety. Handlers are then required to dispose of a quantity of almonds in excess of 1 percent of the weight of almonds reported as inedible to accepted users of such product. Accepted users are approved annually by the Board. This rule would clarify conditions upon which accepted users' status may be denied or revoked by the Board. This rule would help to ensure that inedible almonds are removed from human consumption channels, thereby maintaining the integrity of the quality control provisions of the order.

DATES: Comments must be received by July 17, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments concerning this proposal. Comments must be sent to the Docket Clerk, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, room 2525–S, P.O. Box 96456, Washington, DC 20090–6456; Fax: (202) 205–6632. All comments should reference the docket number and the date and page number of this issue of the Federal Register and will be made available for public inspection in the Office of the Docket Clerk during regular business hours.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Martin Engeler, Assistant Regional Manager, Čalifornia Marketing Field Office, Marketing Order Administration Branch, F&V, AMS, USDA, 2202 Monterey Street, suite 102B, Fresno, California 93721; telephone: (209) 487-5901, Fax: (209) 487–5906; or George Kelhart, Technical Advisor, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, room 2525-S, P.O. Box 96456, Washington, DC 20090-6456; telephone: (202) 690-3919, Fax: (202) 205-6632. Small businesses may request information on compliance with this regulation by contacting Jay Guerber, Marketing Order Administration Branch, F&V, AMS, USDA, room 2525-S, P.O. Box 96456, Washington, DC 20090-6456; telephone: (202) 720–2491, Fax: (202) 205–6632.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This proposal is issued under Marketing Order No. 981, as amended (7 CFR part 981), regulating the handling of almonds grown in California, hereinafter referred to as the "order." The marketing order is effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674), hereinafter referred to as the "Act."

The Department of Agriculture (Department) is issuing this rule in conformance with Executive Order 12866.

This rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. This rule is not intended to have retroactive effect. This rule will not preempt any State or local laws, regulations, or policies, unless they present an irreconcilable conflict with this rule.

The Act provides that administrative proceedings must be exhausted before parties may file suit in court. Under section 608c(15)(A) of the Act, any handler subject to an order may file with the Secretary a petition stating that the order, any provision of the order, or any obligation imposed in connection with the order is not in accordance with law and request a modification of the order or to be exempted therefrom. A handler is afforded the opportunity for a hearing on the petition. After the hearing the Secretary would rule on the petition. The Act provides that the district court of the United States in any district in which the handler is an inhabitant, or has his or her principal place of business, has jurisdiction to review the Secretary's ruling on the petition, provided an action is filed not later than 20 days after date of the entry of the ruling.

This proposal invites comments on revisions to the administrative rules and regulations pertaining to a quality control program under the California almond order. The proposal was recommended unanimously by the Board, and would clarify conditions under which the Board could deny or revoke the status of accepted users of inedible almonds.

Section 981.42 of the order provides authority for a quality control program. Section 981.42(a) requires handlers to obtain incoming inspection on almonds received from growers to determine the percent of inedible kernels in each lot of any variety. Handlers are required to report such inedible determination for each lot received to the Board. Section 981.42(a) also provides authority for the Board, with the approval of the Secretary, to establish rules and regulations necessary and incidental to the administration of the order's quality control provisions.

Section 981.442 of the order's administrative rules and regulations specifies that the weight of inedible kernels in each lot of any variety of almonds in excess of 1 percent of the kernel weight received by a handler shall constitute such handler's inedible disposition obligation. Handlers are required to deliver inedible kernels accumulated in the course of processing to Board-approved accepted users of such product in order to satisfy the disposition obligation. Accepted users then dispose of inedible kernels to nonhuman consumption outlets. Because inedible kernels are considered unfit for

human consumption, requiring handlers to meet this obligation helps to ensure that each handler's outgoing shipments of almonds are relatively free of almonds with serious damage, and the number of kernels with minor damage should be minimal.

Accepted users of inedible almonds file an application with the Board specifying certain terms and conditions with which they will voluntarily abide. The application also indicates they will dispose of the inedible almonds received from handlers in one or more of the following manners: crushing into oil, manufacturing into animal feed, or feeding directly to animals. The Board staff reviews and approves accepted user applications on an annual basis.

Section 981.442(a)(7) of the rules and regulations lists eligibility criteria for accepted users. These criteria are applied by the Board when reviewing and approving accepted users. However, the regulations do not specifically address when the Board may deny or revoke accepted user status. Situations have occurred in the past wherein accepted users have failed to completely meet these conditions, and the Board could not be assured the inedible almonds were being disposed of in non-human consumption outlets.

The Board met on March 25, 1998, and unanimously recommended adding language to § 981.442(a)(7) of the administrative rules and regulations stating that an accepted user's status may be denied or revoked if the eligibility requirements are not met or if the terms and conditions agreed to in the accepted user application are not met. The Board recommended that this change be made prior to August 1, 1998, so that it could be made effective at the beginning of the crop year, and to coincide with the approval cycle for accepted user applications.

This change would provide a clear foundation of understanding between the Board, handlers, and accepted users. The proposal would assist in maintaining the integrity of the Board's quality control program by providing clear authority to deny or revoke accepted user status. This would help to ensure inedible almonds are properly disposed of in non-human consumption outlets, which is in the interest of producers, handlers, and consumers.

Pursuant to requirements set forth in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), the Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) has considered the economic impact of this action on small entities. Accordingly, AMS has prepared this initial regulatory flexibility analysis.

The purpose of the RFA is to fit regulatory actions to the scale of

business subject to such actions in order that small businesses will not be unduly or disproportionately burdened.

Marketing orders issued pursuant to the Act, and rules issued thereunder, are unique in that they are brought about through group action of essentially small entities acting on their own behalf. Thus, both statutes have small entity orientation and compatibility.

There are approximately 97 handlers of California almonds who are subject to regulation under the order and approximately 7,000 almond producers in the regulated area. Small agricultural service firms have been defined by the Small Business Administration (13 CFR 121.601) as those having annual receipts of less than \$5,000,000, and small agricultural producers are defined as those having annual receipts of less than \$500,000.

Currently, about 58 percent of the handlers ship under \$5,000,000 worth of almonds and 42 percent ship over \$5,000,000 worth on an annual basis. In addition, based on acreage, production, and grower prices reported by the National Agricultural Statistics Service, and the total number of almond growers, the average annual grower revenue is approximately \$156,000. In view of the foregoing, it can be concluded that the majority of handlers and producers of California almonds may be classified as small entities.

There are currently 23 accepted users of inedible almonds approved by the Board. Accepted users may enter into a voluntary agreement with the Board to function as an outlet to which handlers can ship inedible almonds to satisfy an order obligation. While data concerning these entities is limited, based on a review of the quantity of inedible almonds delivered to each entity, it is believed that the majority may be classified as small entities.

This proposal invites comments on revisions to the quality control provisions of the administrative rules and regulations issued under the California almond order. Under the terms of the order, handlers are required to obtain inspection on almonds received from growers to determine the percent of inedible almonds in each lot of any variety. Handlers are then required to dispose of a quantity of almonds in excess of one percent of the weight of almonds reported as inedible to accepted users of such product. Accepted users are approved annually by the Board.

Section 981.442(a)(7) of the order's administrative rules and regulations provides criteria which accepted users must meet. This rule would revise this section to specify that an accepted

user's status may be denied or revoked if the criteria are not met. This rule would help maintain the integrity of the Board's quality control program.

This proposed change is not expected to impact handlers, other than to clarify to them that accepted user's status may be denied or revoked. Handlers are provided a listing of approved accepted users so they know who they can deliver inedible material to and receive credit against their obligation. In the event an application for accepted user status is denied or an accepted user's status is revoked, handlers would be notified by Board staff and provided an updated listing.

This rule would only impact applicants for accepted user status, or accepted users in the sense that it would clarify that accepted user status may be denied or revoked if the terms and conditions set forth in the rules and regulations and the accepted user application are not met. Accepted users are approved entities to which handlers may deliver inedible almonds and receive credit against their inedible disposition obligation. Accepted users voluntarily agree to meet certain terms and conditions so the Board may be assured that inedible almonds do not enter human consumption channels. If these dealers in inedible almonds do not agree to the terms and conditions, they are not approved by the Board. However, they may still operate in the business, although handlers do not receive credit against their inedible disposition obligation if they deliver product to such non-approved entities. Situations have occurred in the past wherein accepted users have failed to completely meet these conditions, and the Board could not be assured the inedible almonds were being disposed of in non-human consumption outlets.

One alternative to the proposal would be to maintain the regulatory language as it currently exists, in which case there would be no clarification. Another alternative would be to specify at length all possible reasons for denying or revoking an accepted user's status. The first alternative fails to address the issue, and the second would require unnecessary lengthy additions to regulatory language, and may be incomplete.

This proposed rule would not impose any additional reporting or recordkeeping requirements on either small or large almond handlers. As with all Federal marketing order programs, reports and forms are periodically reviewed to reduce information requirements and duplication by industry and public sector agencies.

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the information collection requirements that are contained in this rule have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and have been assigned OMB No. 0581-0071.

The Department has not identified any relevant Federal rules that duplicate, overlap or conflict with this proposed rule.

In addition, the Board's meeting was widely publicized throughout the almond industry and all interested persons were invited to attend the meeting and participate in Board deliberations. Like all Board meetings, the March 25, 1998, meeting was a public meeting and all entities, both large and small, were able to express their views on this issue. The Board itself is composed of ten members, of which five are producers and five are handlers.

Also, the Board has a number of appointed committees to review certain issues and make recommendations to the Board. The Board's Quality Control Committee met on February 25, 1998, and discussed this issue. That meeting was also a public meeting and both large and small entities were able to participate and express their views. Finally, interested persons are invited to submit information on the regulatory and informational impacts of this action on small businesses.

A 30-day comment period is provided to allow interested persons to respond to this proposal. Thirty days is deemed appropriate because this rule would need to be in effect prior to the 1998-99 crop year, which begins August 1, 1998. All written comments timely received will be considered before a final determination is made on this matter.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 981

Almonds, Marketing agreements, Nuts, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 981 is proposed to be amended as follows:

PART 981—ALMONDS GROWN IN **CALIFORNIA**

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 981 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 601-674.

2. Section 981.442 is amended by adding a new paragraph (a)(7)(iv) to read as follows:

§ 981.442 Quality Control.

(a) * * *

(iv) The Board may deny or revoke accepted user status at any time if the applicant or accepted user fails to meet the terms and conditions of § 981.442, or if the applicant or accepted user fails to meet the terms and conditions set forth in the accepted user application (ABC Form 34).

Dated: June 11, 1998.

Robert C. Keeney,

Deputy Administrator, Fruit and Vegetable Programs.

[FR Doc. 98-16011 Filed 6-16-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410-02-P

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

11 CFR Parts 9003 and 9033

[Notice 1998-11]

Electronic Filing of Reports by Publicly Financed Presidential Primary and General Election Candidates

AGENCY:Federal Election Commission. **ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Federal Election Commission requests comments on proposed changes to its regulations to address the electronic filing of reports by publicly financed Presidential primary and general election candidates. The proposed rules would specify that if Presidential candidates and their authorized committees have computerized their campaign finance records, they must agree to participate in the Commission's recently established electronic filing program as a condition of voluntarily accepting federal funding. These regulations would implement the provisions of the Presidential Election Campaign Fund Act ("Fund Act") and the Presidential Primary Matching Payment Account Act ("Matching Payment Act"), which establish eligibility requirements for Presidential candidates seeking public financing, as well as Public Law 104-97, which amended the reporting provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 ("FECA"). No final decisions have been made by the Commission on the proposed revisions in this Notice. Further information is provided in the supplementary information which follows.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before July 17, 1998.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to Ms. Susan E. Propper, Assistant General Counsel, and must be submitted in either written or electronic form. Written comments should be sent