

Dated: June 3, 1998.

**Sharon A. Kiser,**

*FAR Secretariat.*

[FR Doc. 98-15240 Filed 6-8-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-34-P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

#### Department of Defense Wage Committee; Notice of Closed Meetings

Pursuant to the provisions of section 10 of Public Law 92-463, the Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that closed meetings of the Department of Defense Wage Committee will be held on July 7, 1998; July 14, 1998; July 21, 1998; and July 28, 1998, at 10:00 a.m. in Room A105, The Nash Building, 1400 Key Boulevard, Rosslyn, Virginia.

Under the provisions of section 10(D) of Public Law 92-463, the Department of Defense has determined that the meetings meet the criteria to close meetings to the public because the matters to be considered are related to internal rules and practices of the Department of Defense and the detailed wage data to be considered were obtained from officials of private establishments with a guarantee that the data will be held in confidence.

However, members of the public who may wish to do so are invited to submit material in writing to the chairman concerning matters believed to be deserving of the Committee's attention.

Additional information concerning the meetings may be obtained by writing to the Chairman, Department of Defense Wage Committee, 4000 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-4000.

Dated: June 3, 1998.

**L.M. Bynum,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 98-15188 Filed 6-8-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Navy

#### Record of Decision and General Conformity Determination for Realignment of E-2 Squadrons From Marine Corps Air Station (MCAS) Miramar, California

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DOD.

**ACTION:** Notice of Record of Decision.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Navy, after carefully weighing the operational, environmental, and cost implications of

relocating E-2 aircraft from MCAS Miramar to other Naval installations, announces its decision to realign four E-2 squadrons to Naval Air Weapons Station (NAWS) Point Mugu, California.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ms. Kelly K. Knight, Southwest Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command (Code 553.KK), 1220 Pacific Highway, San Diego, CA 92132, telephone (619) 532-2456.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The text of the entire Record of Decision is provided as follows:

The Department of the Navy (DON), pursuant to the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (10 U.S.C. 2687), section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4331 et seq.), and the regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality that implement NEPA procedures (40 CFR part 1500-1508), hereby announces its decision to realign 16 E-2 aircraft, relocate 988 military and civilian personnel with their families, expand and construct facilities to support aircraft and personnel, and provide associated training functions at Naval Air Weapons Station (NAWS) Point Mugu, California. The realignment to NAWS Point Mugu was identified as the Preferred Alternative in the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS).

To support the additional personnel and operation and maintenance of the E-2 aircraft, eight construction projects, primarily consisting of modification or expansion of existing facilities, are required at NAWS Point Mugu.

Realignment of the E-2 squadrons will increase aircraft operations at NAWS Point Mugu. However, as these E-2 squadrons will continue to use the E-2 training ranges, including the Southern California Operations Area, there will be no increase in aircraft operations on the ranges.

Pursuant to section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7476(c)), the DON has determined that the realignment of the E-2 aircraft to NAWS Point Mugu conforms to California's State Implementation Plan for Ventura County. There were no comments on the draft conformity determination published as Appendix D to the FEIS. The final conformity determination is being distributed concurrent with the ROD.

Realignment of the E-2 aircraft and operational functions will begin in July 1998 and should be completed in January 1999.

#### Background

The 1993 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission (BRAC)

recommended the realignment of MCAS El Toro and MCAS Tustin to NAS Miramar. The Commission also recommended that the squadrons and related activities at NAS Miramar would move to other naval air stations, primarily NAS Lemoore and NAS Fallon in order to make room for the relocation of MCAS El Toro squadrons.

In 1995, the BRAC Commission revised the 1993 BRAC Commission recommendations as follows: "Change the receiving sites for squadrons and related activities at NAS Miramar specified by the 1993 Commission (BRAC Commission 1993) from NAS Lemoore and NAS Fallon to other naval air stations, primarily NAS Oceana, Virginia, NAS North Island, California, and NAS Fallon, Nevada."

As the 1995 BRAC Commission did not recommend realignment of NAS Miramar aircraft to a specific base, the DON conducted a multi-stage screening process to identify reasonable and feasible alternatives for realignment of Pacific Fleet E-2 aircraft to a west coast Naval air station. Other Navy aircraft stationed at NAS Miramar have already been realigned under separate NEPA actions.

#### Process

A Notice of Intent was published in the **Federal Register** on May 1, 1996, announcing that the DON would prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) which would analyze the environmental effects of the E-2 realignment and associated facilities construction.

The DON published a Notice of Availability of the Draft EIS (DEIS) in the **Federal Register** and local newspapers on November 21, 1997. Three public hearings were held in the cities of El Centro, Oxnard, and Lemoore, CA, between December 8, and December 10, 1997, to solicit comments on the DEIS. A total of 30 individuals, agencies, and organizations submitted written comments on the DEIS. The FEIS addressed all oral and written comments.

The DON published a Notice of Availability of the FEIS and a draft Final CAA Conformity Determination in the **Federal Register** and local newspapers on April 17, 1998. The DON received 13 comment letters during the 30-day public review period. Substantive comments are addressed later in this ROD.

#### Alternatives Considered

The DON conducted a screening process, based upon criteria set out in the DEIS, to identify a reasonable range of alternatives that would satisfy the

Navy's purpose and need. Based upon that screening process, the DON analyzed the environmental impacts of the realignment and associated construction at NAWS Point Mugu, NAS Lemoore, and NAF El Centro.

Although initially identified as a potential realignment location, NAS North Island was eliminated from further consideration in recognition of Clean Air Act requirements associated with the Marine Corps realignment to MCAS Miramar.

The DON evaluated operational, logistical, and personnel requirements, environmental impacts and costs at each of the alternative locations. Based upon this comparative analysis, the DON identified NAWS Point Mugu as the preferred alternative.

The environmentally preferred alternative is the realignment of E-2 assets and personnel to NAS Lemoore because all impacts, other than those to schools, would be less than significant. Impacts to schools would be significant but mitigable if the schools system successfully competed for federal impact aid payments.

#### **Environmental Impacts**

The DON analyzed the potential impacts of the proposed action at NAWS Point Mugu (Preferred Alternative), NAS Lemoore, and NAF El Centro for effects on biological resources, hydrology/surface water quality, land use and airspace, socioeconomics, traffic and circulation, air quality, noise, aesthetics and visual resources, utilities and services, cultural resources, public health and safety, and hazardous materials and wastes. The DON also considered whether the proposed action would be consistent with federal policies addressing environmental justice and environmental health risks to children.

This Record of Decision focuses on the significant impacts that will result from realignment of the E-2 aircraft to NAWS Point Mugu. The Preferred Alternative creates the potential for significant impacts on air quality, schools, and cultural resources at NAWS Point Mugu. Impacts on all other resources or functions analyzed in the FEIS were less than significant.

#### *Air Quality*

Emission sources under DON control will result in incremental emission increases that exceed the 25-ton-per-year de minimis threshold for ozone precursors (reactive organic compounds and nitrogen oxides) in Ventura County. The DON completed a conformity determination under section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act and EPA's

implementing regulations demonstrating that the projected increases in emissions of ozone precursors conforms with the State Implementation Plan (SIP) for Ventura County. Significant reductions have occurred in activity levels at NAWS Point Mugu since 1990 that are not reflected in the emission forecasts used in the 1994 ozone SIP for Ventura County. Thus, actual emission reductions at NAWS Point Mugu between 1990 and 1996 can be considered surplus emission reductions that have not already been used in the SIP for demonstrating attainment of the federal ozone standard. Since actual post-1990 emission reductions at NAWS Point Mugu exceed the actual emissions associated with the E-2 realignment action, emissions at NAWS Point Mugu will remain within the emission budgets contained in the 1994 ozone SIP for Ventura County. Additionally, growth allowances included in the regional air quality plan accommodate most, if not all, of the remaining emission increases. As part of this realignment decision, I approve the CAA Conformity Determination included in Appendix D of the FEIS.

#### *Schools*

Approximately 116 school children will be added to Ventura County schools in 1998-99 with the realignment of the E-2 squadrons to NAWS Point Mugu. Another 37 school children from support activities will be added to Ventura County schools in 1999-2000. All affected schools in Ventura County are operating over design and expansion capacity, therefore even this small increase in student population will exacerbate the existing adverse situation.

#### *Cultural Resources*

Prehistoric subsurface deposits which are potentially eligible for the National Register of Historic Preservation may be disturbed or destroyed during construction activities at NAWS Point Mugu.

#### **Mitigation**

##### *Schools*

School districts may be eligible for federal funding which aids local school districts in the education of military children. Schools must apply for impact aid, and funds are paid directly by the Department of Education. The DON will assist, to the extent practicable, affected schools in their pursuit for federal impact aid. Implementation of this mitigation may reduce the level of impact to one that is less than

significant. However, mitigation may not fully compensate school districts for the cost of education.

#### *Cultural Resources*

Any contract, lease, or permit for construction at NAWS Point Mugu in conjunction with the implementation of the proposed action will include a requirement to halt work in the event of a discovery of archaeological materials. In such an event, the Contracting Officer will be notified immediately, and the NAWS Point Mugu archaeologist will document and evaluate the resource before work in the discovery area continues. Implementation of this mitigation measure will reduce the impact to a less than significant level.

#### **Response to Comments Received Regarding the Final Environmental Impact Statement**

The DON received comments on the FEIS from two federal agencies, two state agencies, six local agencies, two citizen groups and one individual. Substantive comments are addressed below.

#### *General*

The Environmental Protection Agency requested more details on the baseline conditions at the Naval activities. The FEIS provided sufficient information to allow the decision maker and the public to identify the impacts of the proposed action.

#### *Traffic/Circulation*

One commenter stated the DON must pay a local Traffic Mitigation Fee for cumulative traffic impacts within Ventura County. The DON has no legal authority to pay this fee.

#### *Noise*

One commentator requested that DON conduct noise monitoring in adjacent communities. The noise modeling analyses presented in the FEIS are based on standard procedures widely used for commercial and military airfields. These procedures have been validated and are sufficient to predict the resultant noise levels in the NAWS Point Mugu vicinity from the additional aircraft operations.

#### *Utilities and Services*

One commentator expressed concern that the potential impacts to schools would be completely mitigated by federal payments to the school districts. The U.S. Department of Education (DOE) is the federal agency responsible for providing funds to school districts who educate large numbers of military children.

It is reasonable to expect that DOE will provide a portion of the cost for the military children's education. The precise dollar amount of the impacts cannot be calculated until the students are actually enrolled in the schools and the school district files an official application to DOE for receipt of impact aid funds.

#### Public Health and Safety

One commentor expressed concern that during Santa Ana wind conditions, the accident potential over the cities of Oxnard, Camarillo, and Port Hueneme would increase. The Air Installation Compatibility Use Zone Program included Santa Ana conditions in the calculations for the Accident Potential Zones ("APZs") shown in the FEIS. The APZs identified for Runway 03/21 mainly encompass agricultural land with the exception of the Naval Air Mobile Home Park.

#### Hazardous Material and Wastes

One commentor expressed concerns regarding the proportional increased risk of fuel spills from E-2 aircraft fuel handling. Spill prevention is an inherent part of NAWS Point Mugu fueling operations. All personnel who handle hazardous materials and wastes participate in a quarterly training update and are provided specific spill response guidance for their work areas.

#### Conclusions

In deciding where to realign E-2 aircraft from MCAS Miramar, I considered the following: the 1995 BRAC Commission recommendations; E-2 operational requirements; costs associated with construction of facilities, operation and maintenance of aircraft, and training of personnel; environmental impacts; and the comments received during the DEIS and FEIS review periods.

After carefully weighing all of these factors, I have decided, on behalf of the Department of the Navy, to direct realignment of four Pacific Fleet E-2 squadrons to NAWS Point Mugu. Environmental impacts are slightly more than the NAS Lemoore and NAF El Centro alternatives; however, the NAWS Point Mugu alternative is operationally preferred because of close proximity to operating areas, is the least expensive alternative and it fully uses excess capacity at NAWS Point Mugu.

Implementation of the Naws Point Mugu alternative will result in significant but manageable impacts to air quality and schools. Potentially significant adverse impacts to cultural resources will be mitigated to less than significant levels. The DON will

implement the mitigation measures identified in this Record of Decision.

Dated: June 2, 1998.

#### Duncan Holaday,

*Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Installations and Facilities).*

[FR Doc. 98-15328 Filed 6-8-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-FF-M

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Navy

#### Notice of Intent To Grant Exclusive Patent License; Envirionics, Inc.

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DOD.

ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Navy hereby gives notice of its intent to grant to Envirionics, Inc., a revocable, nonassignable, exclusive license in the United States to practice the Government owned invention described in U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/625,506 entitled "Atmospheric Ozone Concentration Detector," filed March 29, 1996.

**DATES:** Anyone wishing to object to the grant of this license must file written objections along with supporting evidence, if any, not later than August 10, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Written objections are to be filed with the Office of Naval Research, ONR 00CC, Ballston Tower One, 800 North Quincy Street, Arlington, Virginia 22217-5660.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. R.J. Erickson, Staff Patent Attorney, Office of Naval Research, ONR 00CC, Ballston Tower One, 800 North Quincy Street, Arlington, Virginia 22217-5660, telephone (703) 696-4001.

(Authority: 35 U.S.C. 207, 37 CFR Part 404)

Dated: May 26, 1998.

#### Lou Rae Langevin,

*LT, JAGC, USN, Alternate Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 98-15207 Filed 6-8-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P

## DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

### Sunshine Act Meeting

Pursuant to the provision of the "Government in the Sunshine Act" (5 U.S.C. § 552b), notice is hereby given of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board's (Board) meeting described below.

**TIME AND DATE OF MEETING:** 9:00 a.m., June 24, 1998.

**PLACE:** The Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, Public Hearing Room, 625 Indiana Avenue, NW, Suite 300, Washington, DC 20004.

**STATUS:** Open.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** The Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board will convene the seventh quarterly briefing regarding the status of progress of the activities associated with the DOE's Implementation Plan for the Board's Recommendation 95-2, Integrated Safety Management. In addition to overall status, discussions will focus on feedback and improvements programs, and preparation of lists of requirements based on DOE approved processes such as Work Smart Standards.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:** Robert M. Anderson, General Counsel, Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, 625 Indiana Avenue, NW, Suite 700, Washington, DC 20004, (800) 788-4016. This is a toll-free number.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board reserves its right to further schedule and otherwise regulate the course of this meeting, to recess, reconvene, postpone or adjourn the meeting, and otherwise exercise its authority under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended.

Dated: June 5, 1998.

#### John T. Conway,

*Chairman.*

[FR Doc. 98-15506 Filed 6-5-98; 3:48 pm]

BILLING CODE 3670-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Environmental Management Site-Specific Advisory Board, Nevada Test Site

AGENCY: Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, 86 Stat. 770) notice is hereby given of the following Advisory Committee meeting: Environmental Management Site-Specific Advisory Board (EM SSAB), Nevada Test Site. **DATES:** Wednesday, July 1, 1998: 5:30 p.m.—9:00 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** U.S. Department of Energy, Nevada Support Facility, Great Basin Room, 232 Energy Way, North Las Vegas, Nevada.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kevin Rohrer, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Environmental Management, P.O. Box 98518, Las Vegas, Nevada 89193-8513, phone: 702-295-0197.