dozen cases of all shell eggs handled in the plant per billing period multiplied by \$0.040, except that the minimum charge per billing period shall be \$225 and the maximum charge shall be \$2,500. The minimum charge also applies where an approved application is in effect and no product is handled.

5. In § 56.54, paragraph (a)(2) is revised to read as follows:

§ 56.54 Charges for continuous grading performed on a nonresident basis.

(2) An administrative service charge equal to 25 percent of the grader's total salary costs. A minimum charge of \$250 will be made each billing period. The minimum charge also applies where an approved application is in effect and no product is handled.

PART 70—VOLUNTARY GRADING OF POULTRY PRODUCTS AND RABBIT PRODUCTS

6. The authority citation for part 70 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 1621-1627.

7. Section 70.71 is revised to read as follows:

§ 70.71 On a fee basis.

(a) Unless otherwise provided in this part, the fees to be charged and collected for any service performed, in accordance with this part, on a fee basis shall be based on the applicable rates specified in this section.

(b) Fees for grading services will be based on the time required to perform such services for class, quality, quantity (weight test), or condition, whether ready-to-cook poultry, ready-to-cook rabbits, or specified poultry food products are involved. The hourly charge shall be \$44.80 and shall include the time actually required to perform the work, waiting time, travel time, and any clerical costs involved in issuing a certificate.

- (c) Grading services rendered on Saturdays, Sundays, or legal holidays shall be charged for at the rate of \$51.60 per hour. Information on legal holidays is available from the Supervisor.
- 8. Section 70.72 is revised to read as follows:

§ 70.72 Fees for appeal grading, laboratory analysis, or examination or review of a grader's decision.

The costs of an appeal grading, laboratory analysis, or examination or review of a grader's decision, will be borne by the appellant on a fee basis at rates set forth in § 70.71, plus any travel and additional expenses. If the appeal grading, laboratory analysis, or examination or review of a grader's decision discloses that a material error was made in the original determination, no fee or expenses will be charged.

9. In § 70.76, paragraph (a)(2) is revised to read as follows:

§ 70.76 Charges for continuous poultry grading performed on a nonresident basis.

(a) * * *

(2) An administrative service charge equal to 25 percent of the grader's total salary costs. A minimum charge of \$250 will be made each billing period. The minimum charge also applies where an approved application is in effect and no product is handled.

- -

10. In § 70.77, paragraphs (a)(4) and (a)(5) are revised to read as follows:

§ 70.77 Charges for continuous poultry or rabbit grading performed on a resident basis.

(a) * * *

- (4) For poultry grading: An administrative service charge based upon the aggregate weight of the total volume of all live and ready-to-cook poultry handled in the plant per billing period computed in accordance with the following: Total pounds per billing period multiplied by \$0.00034, except that the minimum charge per billing period shall be \$225 and the maximum charge shall be \$2,500. The minimum charge also applies where an approved application is in effect and no product is handled.
- (5) For rabbit grading: An administrative service charge equal to 25 percent of the grader's total salary costs. A minimum charge of \$250 will be made each billing period. The minimum charge also applies where an approved application is in effect and no product is handled.

* * * * * * Dated: June 3, 1998.

Enrique E. Figueroa,

Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 98–15205 Filed 6–8–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

10 CFR Part 72

RIN 3150-AF80

Miscellaneous Changes to Licensing Requirements for the Independent Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel and High-Level Radioactive Waste

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory

Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission is proposing to amend its regulations to correct several inconsistencies and to clarify certain sections of the regulations. The amendments would differentiate the requirements for the storage of spent fuel under wet and dry conditions, clarify requirements for the content and submission of various reports, and specify that quality assurance (QA) records must be maintained as permanent records.

DATES: The comment period expires August 24, 1998. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the Commission is able to ensure consideration only for comments received on or before this date.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be sent to: Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555– 0001. Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff.

Deliver comments to: 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, between 7:30 am and 4:15 pm on Federal workdays.

You may also provide comments via the NRC's interactive rulemaking web site through the NRC home page (http://www.nrc.gov). This site provides the availability to upload comments as files (any format) if your web browser supports that function. For information about the interactive rulemaking site, contact Ms. Carol Gallagher, (301) 415–6215; e-mail CAG@nrc.gov.

Certain documents related to this rulemaking, including comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street NW., (Lower Level), Washington, DC. These same documents also may be viewed and downloaded electronically via the interactive rulemaking website established by NRC for this rulemaking.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: M. L. Au, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, telephone (301) 415–6181, e-mail mla@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Commission's licensing requirements for the independent storage of spent nuclear fuel and highlevel radioactive waste are codified in 10 CFR Part 72. The NRC experience in applying Part 72 has indicated that certain additions and clarifications to the regulations are necessary. This proposed rule would make eight miscellaneous changes to 10 CFR Part 72. These changes would ensure that necessary information is included in reports and that Quality Assurance records are maintained permanently when identified with activities and items important to safety. These reports and records are needed to facilitate NRC inspection to verify compliance with regulatory reporting requirements to ensure the protection of public health and safety, and the environment.

Discussion of Proposed Amendments

1. Modify §§ 72.1 and 72.2 to include spent fuel storage cask and remove the superseded information.

The purpose (§ 72.1) and scope (§ 72.2) were not modified when the Commission amended Part 72 on July 18, 1990 (55 FR 29181) to include a process for providing a general license to a reactor licensee to store spent fuel in an independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI) at power reactor sites (Subpart K) and a process for the approval of spent fuel storage casks (Subpart L). Although the language in these sections may be read to include the general license provisions of Subpart K, the approval process for spent fuel storage casks in Subpart L is not referenced. This rulemaking would make the purpose and scope sections complete by specifically referencing the Subpart L cask approval process. This rulemaking also would remove information in the purpose and scope sections regarding the Federal interim storage program since the time for its implementation has expired (61 FR 35935; July 9, 1996).

2. Change the requirement for making initial and written reports in §§ 72.4 and 72.216.

This change would be made to § 72.4 to provide that, except where otherwise specified, all communications and reports are to be addressed to NRC's Document Control Desk (DCD) rather than to the Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards (NMSS). Three current regulations govern the submission of written reports under Part 72 (§§ 72.75, 72.216(b), and 50.72(b)(2)(vii)(B) that is referenced in § 72.216(a)). Under § 72.75(d)(2) a report

3. Change the requirement for submittal of dry cask storage effluent report in § 72.44.

Currently, § 72.44(d)(3) requires that a dry cask storage effluent report be submitted to the appropriate NRC regional office within the first 60 days of each year. Section 50.36a(a)(2) requires that a similar report be submitted to the Commission once each year specifying liquid and gaseous effluents from reactor operations.

The proposed revision would permit reactor licensees to submit their dry cask storage effluent report to the NRC once each year at the same time as the effluent report from reactor operations. The time between submission of these reports would be no longer than 12 months. However, after the effective date of the final rule, the licensee may submit the first report for a shorter period of time to get on the same reporting schedule as the annual reactor effluent report.

4. Clarify the reporting requirements for specific events and conditions in § 72.75.

Section 72.75 contains reporting requirements for specific events and conditions, including the requirement in § 72.75(d)(2) for a follow-up written report for certain types of emergency and non-emergency notifications. The proposed rule would clarify the specific information required to meet the intent of the existing reporting requirement. A comparable reporting requirement already exists for similar reactor type events in § 50.73(b). The proposed rule would incorporate the format and content outlined in § 50.73(b) into § 72.75(d)(2) to clearly inform licensees of the information necessary for the NRC staff's review. Since the reporting requirement already exists, no significant increase in the licensee's reporting burden will occur by clarifying the format and content.

5. Clarify the requirement for capability for continuous monitoring of confinement storage systems in § 72.122(h)(4).

Currently, § 72.122(h)(4) requires the capability for continuous monitoring of storage confinement systems. The meaning of "continuous" is open to interpretation and does not differentiate between monitoring requirements for wet and dry storage of spent fuel. Wet storage requires active heat removal systems that involve a monitoring that is "continuous" in the sense of uninterrupted. Because of the passive nature of dry storage, active heat removal systems are not needed and monitoring can be less frequent. This proposed rule would clarify that the frequency of monitoring can be different for wet and dry storage systems. As part of the NRC approval process, the periodicity of monitoring is specified in the Certificate of Compliance.

6. Clarify the requirement specifying instrument and control systems for monitoring dry spent fuel storage in § 72.122(i).

Section 72.122(i) requires that instrumentation and control systems be provided to monitor systems important to safety but does not distinguish between wet and dry storage systems. For wet storage, systems are required to monitor and control heat removal. For dry storage, passive heat removal is used and a control system is not required. This proposed change would clarify that control systems are not needed for dry storage systems.

7. Clarify the requirement for dry spent fuel storage cask on methods of criticality control in § 72.124(b).

Section 72.124(b) requires specific methods for criticality control, including the requirement that where solid neutron absorbing materials are used, the design must provide for positive means to verify their continued efficacy. This requirement is appropriate for wet spent fuel storage systems but not for dry spent fuel storage systems. The potentially corrosive environment under wet storage conditions is not present in dry storage systems because an inert environment is maintained. Under these conditions, there is no mechanism to significantly degrade the neutron absorbing materials. In addition, the dry spent fuel storage casks are sealed and it is not practical to penetrate the integrity of the cask to make the measurements for verifying the efficacy of neutron absorbing materials. This proposed rule would clarify that positive means for verifying the continued efficacy of solid neutron absorbing materials are not required for dry storage systems, where the efficacy is demonstrated at the outset.

8. Clarify the requirements in § 72.140(d) concerning the previously

approved quality assurance program in conformance with Appendix B of 10 CFR Part 50.

Section 72.174 specifies that quality assurance (QA) records must be maintained by or under the control of the licensee until the Commission terminates the license. However, § 72.140(d) allows a holder of a Part 50 license to use its approved Part 50, Appendix B, QA program in place of the Part 72 QA requirements, including the requirement for QA records. Appendix B allows the licensee to determine what records will be considered permanent records, using Regulatory Guide 1.28. Thus, Part 50 licensees using an Appendix B, QA program could choose not to make permanent all records generated in support of Part 72 activities. This proposed rule would require these licensees to follow the Part 72 requirement to maintain QA records until termination of the license.

Environmental Impact: Categorical Exclusion

The NRC has determined that Items 1, 5, 6, and 7 of the proposed rule are the types of action described as a categorical exclusion in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(2) and Items 2, 3, 4 and 8 of the proposed rule are the types of action described as a categorical exclusion in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(3). Therefore, neither an environmental impact statement nor an environmental assessment has been prepared for this proposed regulation.

Paperwork Reduction Act Statement

Proposed Rule Containing Insignificant Information Collections

This proposed rule increases the burden on licensees by increasing the record retention period to life of license in 72.140(d). The public burden for this information collection is estimated to average 38 hours per request. Because the burden for this information collection is insignificant, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) clearance is not required. Existing requirements were approved by the Office of Management and Budget, approval number 3150–0132.

Public Protection Notification

If an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.

Send comments on any aspect of this proposed information collection, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the Records Management Branch (T–6 F33), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington,

DC 20555–0001, or by Internet electronic mail at BJS1@NRC.GOV; and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB–10202, (3150–0132), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments to OMB on the information collections or on the above issues should be submitted by July 9, 1998. Comments received after this will be considered if it is practical to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given to comments received after this date.

Regulatory Analysis

The NRC has prepared a regulatory analysis on this regulation. The analysis examines the costs and benefits of the alternatives considered by the NRC and concludes that the proposed rule results in an incremental improvement in public health and safety that outweighs the small incremental cost associated with this proposed change. The analysis is available for inspection in the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW (Lower Level), Washington. Single copies of the analysis may be obtained from M. L. Au, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, telephone (301) 415-6181.

Regulatory Flexibility Certification

In accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 as amended 5 U.S.C. 605(b) the Commission certifies that this proposed rule will not, if adopted, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This proposed rule would affect only the operators of independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI). These companies do not fall within the scope of the definition of "small entities" set forth in the Regulatory Flexibility Act or the Small Business Size Standards set out in regulations issued by the Small Business Administration at 13 CFR Part 121.

Backfit Analysis

The NRC has determined that the backfit rule, 10 CFR 72.62, does not apply to this rule, because these amendments do not involve any provisions that would impose backfits as defined in 10 CFR 72.62(a). Therefore, a backfit analysis is not required for this proposed rule.

List of Subjects in 10 CFR Part 72

Manpower training programs, Nuclear materials, Occupational safety and health, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Spent fuel.

For the reasons set out in the preamble and under the authority of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended; the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended, and 5 U.S.C. 553, the NRC is proposing to adopt the following amendments to 10 CFR Part 72.

PART 72—LICENSING REQUIREMENTS FOR THE INDEPENDENT STORAGE OF SPENT NUCLEAR FUEL AND HIGH-LEVEL RADIOACTIVE WASTE

1. The authority citation for part 72 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 51, 53, 57, 62, 63, 65, 69, 81, 161, 182, 183, 184, 186, 187, 189, 68 Stat. 929, 930, 932, 933, 934, 935, 948, 953, 954, 955, as amended, sec. 234, 83 Stat. 444, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2071, 2073, 2077, 2092, 2093, 2095, 2099, 2111, 2201, 2232, 2233, 2234, 2236, 2237, 2238, 2282); sec. 274, Pub. L. 86-373, 73 Stat. 688, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2021); sec. 201, as amended, 202, 206, 88 Stat. 1242, as amended, 1244, 1246 (42 U.S.C. 5841, 5842, 5846); Pub. L. 95-601, sec. 10, 92 Stat. 2951 as amended by Pub. L. 102-486, sec. 7902, 106 Stat. 3123 (42 U.S.C. 5851); sec. 102, Pub. L. 91-190, 83 Stat. 853 (42 U.S.C. 4332); secs. 131, 132, 133, 135, 137, 141, Pub. L. 97-425, 96 Stat. 2229, 2230, 2232, 2241, sec. 148, Pub. L. 100-203, 101 Stat. 1330-235 (42 U.S.C. 10151, 10152, 10153, 10155, 10157, 10161, 10168).

Section 72.44(g) also issued under secs. 142(b) and 148(c), (d), Pub. L. 100-203, 101 Stat. 1330-232, 1330-236 (42 U.S.C 10162(b), 10168(c), (d)). Section 72.46 also issued under sec. 189, 68 Stat. 955 (42 U.S.C. 2239); sec. 134, Pub. L. 97-425, 96 Stat. 2230 (42 U.S.C. 10154). Section 72.96(d) also issued under sec. 145(g), Pub. L. 100-203, 101 Stat. 1330-235 (42 U.S.C. 10165(g)). Subpart J also issued under secs. 2(2), 2(15), 2(19), 117(a), 141(h), Pub. L. 97-425, 96 Stat. 2202, 2203, 2204, 2222, 2224 (42 U.S.C. 10101, 10137(a), 10161(h)). Subparts K and L are also issued under sec. 133, 98 Stat. 2230 (42 U.S.C. 10153) and sec. 218(a), 96 Stat. 2252 (42 U.S.C. 10198).

2. Section 72.1 is revised to read as follows:

§72.1 Purpose.

The regulations in this part establish requirements, procedures, and criteria for the issuance of licenses to receive, transfer, and possess power reactor spent fuel and other radioactive materials associated with spent fuel storage in an independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI) and the terms and conditions under which the Commission will issue these licenses. The regulations in this part also establish requirements, procedures, and criteria for the issuance of licenses to the Department of Energy (DOE) to receive, transfer, package, and possess

power reactor spent fuel, high-level radioactive waste, and other radioactive materials associated with the spent fuel and high-level radioactive waste storage, in a monitored retrievable storage installation (MRS). Furthermore, the regulations in this part also establish requirements, procedures, and criteria for the issuance of Certificates of Compliance approving spent fuel storage casks.

3. In § 72.2, paragraph (e) is removed, paragraph (f) is redesignated as paragraph (e), and a new paragraph (f) is added to read as follows:

§72.2 Scope.

- (f) Certificates of Compliance approving the use of spent fuel storage casks shall be issued in accordance with the requirements of this part as stated in § 72.236.
- 4. Section 72.4 is revised to read as follows:

§72.4 Communications.

Except where otherwise specified, all communications and reports concerning the regulations in this part and applications filed under them should be addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

5. In § 72.44, paragraph (d)(3) is revised to read as follows:

§72.44 License conditions.

* * *

(d) * * *

- (3) An annual report be submitted to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555–0001, specifying the quantity of each of the principal radionuclides released to the environment in liquid and in gaseous effluents during the previous 12 months of operation and such other information as may be required by the Commission to estimate maximum potential radiation dose commitment to the public resulting from effluent releases. On the basis of this report and any additional information that the Commission may obtain from the licensee or others, the Commission may from time to time require the licensee to take such action as the Commission deems appropriate. The time between submission of reports must be no longer than 12 months.

6. In § 72.75, paragraph (d)(2) is revised, and paragraphs (d)(3), (d)(4), (d)(5), (d)(6) and (d)(7) are added to read as follows:

§72.75 Reporting requirements for specific events and conditions.

(d) * * *

- (2) Written report. Each licensee who makes an initial report required by paragraph (a) or (b) of this section shall submit a written follow-up report within 30 days of the initial report. Written reports prepared pursuant to other regulations may be submitted to fulfill this requirement if the reports contain all the necessary information and the appropriate distribution is made. These written reports must be sent to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555-0001. These reports must include the following:
- (i) A brief abstract describing the major occurrences during the event, including all component or system failures that contributed to the event and significant corrective action taken or planned to prevent recurrence;
- (ii) A clear, specific, narrative description of what occurred so that knowledgeable readers conversant with the design of ISFSI or MRS, but not familiar with the details of a particular facility, can understand the complete event; and the narrative description must include the following specific information as appropriate for the particular event:
- (A) ISFSI or MRS operating conditions before the event;
- (B) Status of structures, components, or systems that were inoperable at the start of the event and that contributed to the event:
- (C) Dates and approximate times of occurrences:
- (D) The cause of each component or system failure or personnel error, if
- (E) The failure mode, mechanism, and effect of each failed component, if known;
- (F) A list of systems or secondary functions that were also affected for failures of components with multiple functions;
- (G) For wet spent fuel systems storage only, after failure that rendered a train of a safety system inoperable, an estimate of the elapsed time from the discovery of the failure until the train was returned to service;
- (H) The method of discovery of each component or system failure or procedural error;
- (I)(1) Operator actions that affected the course of the event, including operator errors, procedural deficiencies, or both, that contributed to the event;
- (2) For each personnel error, the licensee shall discuss:

(i) Whether the error was a cognitive error (e.g., failure to recognize the actual facility condition, failure to realize which systems should be functioning, failure to recognize the true nature of the event) or a procedural error;

(ii) Whether the error was contrary to an approved procedure, was a direct result of an error in an approved procedure, or was associated with an activity or task that was not covered by an approved procedure:

(iii) Any unusual characteristics of the work location (e.g., heat, noise) that directly contributed to the error; and

(iv) The type of personnel involved (e.g., contractor personnel, utilitylicensed operator, utility nonlicensed operator, other utility personnel);

(J) Automatically and manually initiated safety system responses (wet spent fuel storage systems only);

(K) The manufacturer and model number (or other identification) of each component that failed during the event;

(L) The quantities and chemical and physical forms of the spent fuel or HLW involved;

(3) An assessment of the safety consequences and implications of the event. This assessment must include the availability of other systems or components that could have performed the same function as the components and systems that failed during the event;

(4) A description of any corrective actions planned as a result of the event, including those to reduce the probability of similar events occurring in the future;

- (5) Reference to any previous similar events at the same plant that are known to the licensee;
- (6) The name and telephone number of a person within the licensee's organization who is knowledgeable about the event and can provide additional information concerning the event and the plant's characteristics;
- (7) The extent of exposure of individuals to radiation or to radioactive materials without identification of individuals by name.
- 7. In § 72.122, paragraphs (h)(4) and (i) are revised to read as follows:

§72.122 Overall Requirements.

(h) * * *

(4) Storage confinement systems must have the capability for continuous monitoring in a manner such that the licensee will be able to determine when corrective action needs to be taken to maintain safe storage conditions. For dry storage, periodic monitoring is sufficient provided that periodic monitoring is consistent with the cask design requirements. The monitoring

period must be based upon the cask design requirements.

* * * * *

(i) Instrumentation and control systems. Instrumentation and control systems for wet spent fuel storage must be provided to monitor systems that are important to safety over anticipated ranges for normal operation and offnormal operation. Those instruments and control systems that must remain operational under accident conditions must be identified in the Safety Analysis Report. Instrumentation systems for dry spent fuel storage casks must be provided in accordance with cask design requirements to monitor conditions that are important to safety over anticipated ranges for normal conditions and off-normal conditions. Systems that are required under accident conditions must be identified in the Safety Analysis Report.

8. In § 72.124, paragraph (b) is revised to read as follows:

§ 72.124 Criteria for nuclear criticality safety.

* * * * *

(b) Methods of criticality control. When practicable the design of an ISFSI or MRS must be based on favorable geometry, permanently fixed neutron absorbing materials (poisons), or both. Where solid neutron absorbing materials are used, the design must provide for positive means of verifying their continued efficacy. For dry spent fuel storage systems, the continued efficacy may be confirmed by a demonstration and analysis before use, showing that significant degradation of the neutron absorbing materials cannot occur over the life of the facility.

9. In § 72.140, paragraph (d) is revised to read as follows:

§ 72.140 Quality assurance requirements.

(d) Previously approved programs. A Commission-approved quality assurance program which satisfies the applicable criteria of Appendix B to Part 50 of this chapter and which is established, maintained, and executed with regard to an ISFSI will be accepted as satisfying the requirements of paragraph (b) of this section except that a licensee using an Appendix B quality assurance program also shall meet the requirement of § 72.174 for recordkeeping. Prior to initial use, the licensee shall notify the Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, of its intent to apply its previously approved Appendix B

program to ISFSI activities. The licensee shall identify the program by date of submittal to the Commission, docket number, and date of Commission approval.

10. In § 72.216, paragraph (c) is revised to read as follows:

§72.216 Reports.

* * * * *

(c) The general licensee shall make initial and written reports in accordance with §§ 72.74 and 72.75, except for the events specified by § 72.75(b)(2) and (3) for which the initial reports will be made under paragraph (a) of this section.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 3rd day of June, 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **John C. Hoyle**,

Secretary of the Commission. [FR Doc. 98–15265 Filed 6–8–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-CE-02-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Alexander Schleicher

Segelflugzeugbau Models K 8 and K 8 B Sailplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) that would apply to all Alexander Schleicher Segelflugzeugbau (Alexander Schleicher) Models K 8 and K 8 B sailplanes. The proposed AD would require inspecting the canopy hood lock assembly to assure that the height of the cam is at least 2 millimeters (mm), and modifying or replacing any canopy hood lock assembly where the cam is less than 2 mm in height. The proposed AD is the result of mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for Germany. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent the canopy from coming open in flight because the height of the locking cam is less than 2 mm, which could result in loss of the canopy with consequent pilot injury.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before July 13, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98–CE–02–AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. Comments may be inspected at this location between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, holidays excepted.

Service information that applies to the proposed AD may be obtained from Alexander Schleicher Segelflugzeugbau, 6416 Poppenhausen, Wasserkuppe, Federal Republic of Germany. This information also may be examined at the Rules Docket at the address above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mike Kiesov, Project Officer, Sailplanes/ Gliders, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, 1201 Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 426–6934; facsimile: (816) 426–2169.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. 98–CE–02–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the