§71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of the Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9E, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated September 10, 1997, and effective September 16, 1997, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6005 Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth.

* ANM UT E5 Cedar City, UT [Revised]

*

Cedar City Regional Airport, UT (Lat. 37°42′03" N, long. 113°05′55" W)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface bounded by a line beginning at lat. 38°03′00" N, long. 113°13′30" W; to lat. 38°05′30" N long.112°58'30" W; to lat. 37°58'30" N, long. 112°45′30" W; to lat 35°45′00" N, long. 112°56′45″ W; to lat. 37°47′30″ N, long. 113°15′30″ W; thence to point of beginning; that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface bounded by a line beginning at lat. 38°00'00" N, long. 113°45′30″ W; to lat. 38°19′00″ N, long. 112°51′30″ W; to lat. 37°58′30″ N, long. 112°45′30″ W; to lat. 37°37′00″ N, long. 112°56′30″ W; to lat. 37°37′100″ N, long. 113°22′18″ W; thence to point of beginning, excluding Federal airways, the Milford, UT, and the St. George, UT, Class E airspace areas.

Issued in Seattle, Washington, on May 21,

Joe E. Gingles,

Acting Assistant Manager, Air Traffic Division, Northwest Mountain Region. [FR Doc. 98-14539 Filed 6-1-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 98-ANM-02]

Amendment of Class E Airspace; Cortez, CO

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action amends the Cortez, CO, Class E airspace by providing additional controlled airspace to accommodate the development of new Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAP) at Cortez Municipal Airport.

EFFECTIVE DATE: 0901 UTC, August 13, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dennis Ripley, ANM-520.6, Federal Aviation Administration, Docket No.

98-ANM-02, 1601 Lind Avenue S.W., Renton, Washington, 98055-4056; telephone number: (425) 227–2527. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

History

On March 30, 1998, the FAA proposed to amend Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations, part 71 (14 CFR part 71) by revising the Cortez, CO, Class E airspace area (63 FR 15111). This revision provides the additional airspace necessary to encompass two new SIAP's for the Cortez Municipal Airport, Cortez, CO. This action also makes two corrections. The first is the Cortez Airport VOR coordinates, which were updated since the proposal and are corrected herein. The other correction is the deletion of a coordinate which was inadvertently added to the legal description in the proposal and is corrected herein. Interested parties were invited to participate in the rulemaking proceeding by submitting written comments on the proposal. No comments were received.

The coordinates for the airspace docket are based on North American Datum 83. Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth are published in Paragraph 6005 of FAA Order 7400.9E, dated September 10, 1997, and effective September 16, 1997, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.7. The Class E airspace designation listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

This amendment to 14 CFR part 71 modifies Class E airspace at Cortez, CO, by providing the additional airspace necessary to fully contain two new flight procedures at Cortez Municipal Airport. This modification of airspace allows the holding patterns, and the transition procedure for the new SIAP's, to be fully encompassed within controlled airspace. The intended effect of this rule is designed to provide safe and efficient use of the navigable airspace and to promote safe flight operations under Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) at the Cortez Municipal Airport and between the terminal and en route transition stages.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore, (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a 'significant rule' under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3)

does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

Adoption of the Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A. CLASS B, CLASS C, CLASS D, AND **CLASS E AIRSPACE AREAS**; AIRWAYS; ROUTES; AND REPORTING **POINTS**

1. The authority citation for 14 CFR part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959-1963 Comp., p. 389.

§71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of the Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9E, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated September 10, 1997, and effective September 16, 1997, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6005 Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth.

ANM CO E5 Cortez, CO [Revised]

Cortez Municipal Airport, CO (Lat. 37°18′11″ N, long. 108°37′41″ W) Cortez VOR/DME (Lat. 37°23'24" N, long. 108°33'42" W)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 7-mile radius of the Cortez Municipal Airport, and within 3.1 miles each side of the Cortez VOR/DME 184° and 004° radials extending from the 7mile radius to 10.1 miles north of the VOR/ DME; that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface beginning at lat. 36°34′50″ N, long. 109°00′00″ W; to lat. 36°51′00″ N, long. 108°59′00″ W; to lat. 37°04′00" N, long. 108°57′00" W; to lat. 37°16′00″ N, long. 108°50′00″ W; to lat. 37°30′00″ N, long. 109°03′00″ W; to lat. 37°47′00" N, long. 109°03′00" W; to lat. $37^{\circ}52'00''$ N, long. $108'52^{\circ}00''$ W; to lat. 38°02'00" N, long. 108°33'00" W; to lat. 38°00′00" N., long. 108°19′00" W; to lat. 37°16′00" N, long. 108°22′00" W; to lat. 36°49′00″ N, long. 107°57′00″ W; to lat. 36°36′00″ N, long. 108°06′00″ W; to lat. 36°52′00" N, long. 108°38′00" W; to lat.

 $36^{\circ}31'00''$ N, long. $108^{\circ}35'00''$ W; thence to point of beginning.

Issued in Seattle, Washington, on May 21, 1998.

Joe E. Gingles,

Acting Assistant Manager, Air Traffic Division, Northwest Mountain Region. [FR Doc. 98–14538 Filed 6–1–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Office of the Secretary

15 CFR Part 2

[Docket No. 980515130-8130-01]

RIN 0690-AA29

Procedures for Handling and Settlement of Claims Under the Federal Tort Claims Act

AGENCY: Department of Commerce. **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is amending its procedures for handling and settlement of claims under the Federal Tort Claims Act. The amendments will bring the regulations into conformity with present practice and statutory and organizational changes that have taken place since the regulations were previously amended in 1983.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 2, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Donald Reed or M. Timothy Conner at 202–482–1067.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 7, 1967, the Department of Commerce (DOC) published procedures in accordance with the Attorney General's regulations at 28 CFR Part 14 which apply to claims asserted under the Federal Tort Claims Act. The DOC regulations delegated authority to settle or deny claims to the General Counsel and established procedures for the administrative adjudication of such claims. When the DOC regulations were issued, the Assistant General Counsel for Administration was responsible for all procedures concerning such claims. The Assistant General Counsel for Finance and Litigation now has this responsibility. In addition, paragraph (d) of section 2.2 is removed to make the regulations consistent with amendments made by Pub. L. 100-694 to the Federal Tort Claims Act. These amendments, at Section 2679, provided that employees acting within the scope of their employment have full personal immunity from all common law torts, not just motor vehicle accidents.

Paragraph (f) of section 2.2 is removed because it is outdated and no longer necessary, and Section 2.7 is removed because an annual report is no longer a Departmental requirement.

Rulemaking Requirements

This rule has been determined to be not significant for purposes of Executive Order 12866. This rule of agency organization, procedure and practice is exempt from all requirements of section 553 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553), including the requirements of notice and comment and delayed effective date. Because a notice of proposed rulemaking is not required by 5 U.S.C. 553 or any other law, the analytical requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.) are not applicable.

This rule does not contain information collection requirements subject to the procedures of the Paperwork Reduction Act.

List of Subjects in 15 CFR Part 2

Administrative practice and procedure, Claims, Law.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 15 CFR Part 2 is amended as follows:

PART 2—PROCEDURES FOR HANDLING AND SETTLEMENT OF CLAIMS UNDER THE FEDERAL TORT CLAIMS ACT

1. The authority for 15 CFR part 2 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: 28 U.S.C. 2672.

§ 2.2 [Amended]

2. In § 2.2, remove paragraphs (d) and (f) and redesignate paragraph (e) as (d) and (g) as (e), respectively.

§ 2.4 [Amended]

3. In § 2.4, in paragraphs (b) and (c) remove the word "Administration" and add in its place "Finance and Litigation".

§ 2.5 [Amended]

4. In § 2.5, in paragraphs (a) and (b) remove the word "Administration" and add in its place "Finance and Litigation".

§ 2.7 [Amended]

- 5. Remove § 2.7 and redesignate § 2.8 as § 2.7.
- 6. In the newly redesignated § 2.7, in paragraphs (a) and (b) remove the word "Administration" and add in its place "Finance and Litigation".
- 7. In addition to the amendments set forth above, in the newly redesignated § 2.7, in paragraph (a) remove the word "he" and add in its place "he/she".

8. In addition to the amendments set forth above, in the newly redesignated § 2.7, in paragraph (b) remove the word "his" and add in its place "his/her".

Dated: May 22, 1998.

Alden Abbott,

Assistant General Counsel for Finance and Litigation.

[FR Doc. 98-14505 Filed 6-1-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-BW-P

OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

15 CFR Part 2013

Developing and Least-Developed Country Designations under the Countervailing Duty Law

AGENCY: Office of the United States Trade Representative.

ACTION: Interim Final Rule and Request for Comments.

SUMMARY: This rule designates a list of members of the World Trade Organization ("WTO") that are eligible for special *de minimis* countervailable subsidy and negligible import volume standards under the countervailing duty

DATES: This rule is effective June 2, 1998. Comments on the Interim Final Rule should be submitted by July 31, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted to William D. Hunter, Office of General Counsel, Office of the United States Trade Representative, 600 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20508. Attn: Eligible Country List.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William D. Hunter, (202) 395–3582, whunter@ustr.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

General Background

In the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"), Pub. L. No. 103-465, Congress amended the countervailing duty ("CVD") law to conform to U.S. obligations under the Agreement on **Subsidies and Countervailing Measures** ("SCM Agreement") administered by the WTO. Under the SCM Agreement, WTO members that have not yet reached the status of a developed country are entitled to special treatment for purposes of countervailing measures. Specifically, imports from such Members are subject to different standards for purposes of determining whether countervailable subsidies are de minimis and whether import volumes are negligible.

Under section 771(36) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), 19