Dated: May 21, 1998.

#### Matthew M. Crouch,

Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

[FR Doc. 98–14398 Filed 5–29–98; 8:45 am]

# NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

# Space Planning for the National Archives and Records Administration; Public Meeting

The National Archives and Records Administration announces a meeting on Wednesday, June 10, 1998, from 7 p.m. to 9 p.m. at the David Tandy Lecture Hall at the Central Library, 300 Taylor Street, in Fort Worth, Texas. This meeting will be open to the public.

This is the second in a series of meetings at which NARA is seeking public input for a study of its space needs for the next 10 years. NARA representatives will explain the reasons for undertaking a space plan, its objectives, and the planning process, and will invite comments and answer questions. In addition to helping NARA with its planning, this meeting is part of a National Performance Review initiative called Conversations With America: My Government Listens. NARA urges everyone interested to attend.

For further information, contact Kent Carter on 817–334–5515 or send an email to kent.carter@ftworth.nara.gov. Reservations are not required.

Dated: May 26, 1998.

#### John W. Carlin,

Archivist of the United States.
[FR Doc. 98–14380 Filed 5–29–98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7515–01–P

#### NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

#### Advisory Committee for Education and Human Resources; Committee of Visitors; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92– 463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following Committee of visitors meeting.

Name: Committee of visitors (COV) Review of the Comprehensive Partnerships for Mathematics and Science Achievement Program (1119).

Date and time: June 18–19, 1998; 8:30 am to 5:30 pm.

Type of meeting: Closed. Contact Persons: Dr. Alexandra King and Dr. Victor Santiago, National Science Foundation, (703) 306–1632 or (703) 306–1633.

Purpose of meeting: To provide oversight review of the Comprehensive Partnerships for Mathematics and Science Achievement Program.

Agenda: To carry out Committee of visitors' review, including examination of decisions on applications, reviewer comments, and other privileged materials.

Reason for closing: These meetings are closed to the public because the Committee will be reviewing proposal actions that will include privileged intellectual property and personal information that could harm individuals if they were disclosed. If discussions were open to the public, these matters that are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(c)(4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act would improperly be disclosed.

Dated: May 27, 1998.

#### M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. 98–14406 Filed 5–29–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-325 and 50-324]

#### Carolina Power & Light Company; Notice of Withdrawal of Application for Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission (the Commission) has
granted the request of Carolina Power &
Light Company (the licensee) to
withdraw its July 25, 1997, application
for proposed amendment to Facility
Operating License Nos. DPR-71 and
DPR-62 for the Brunswick Steam
Electric Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, located
in Brunswick County, North Carolina.

The proposed amendment would have clarified Technical Specification 4.0.5.f regarding the use of NRC-approved alternatives to the recommendations of NRC Generic Letter 88–01, "NRC Staff Position on IGSCC in BWR Austenitic Stainless Steel Piping." The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment published in the **Federal Register** on August 12, 1997 (62 FR 43187). However, by letter dated January 30, 1998, the licensee withdrew the proposed change.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated July 25, 1997, and the licensee's letter dated January 30, 1998, which withdrew the application for license amendment. The above documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building,

2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the University of North Carolina at Wilmington, William Madison Randall Library, 601 S. College Road, Wilmington, North Carolina 28403–3297.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of May 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

#### David C. Trimble,

Project Manager, Project Directorate II-1, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 98–14390 Filed 5–29–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-325 and 50-324]

#### Carolina Power & Light Company; Notice of Withdrawal of Application for Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has granted the request of Carolina Power & Light Company (the licensee) to withdraw its May 23, 1997, application for proposed amendment to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-71 and DPR-62 for the Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, located in Brunswick County, North Carolina.

The proposed amendment would have reduced the short-term limit for Dose Equivalent I–131 activity in the reactor coolant from 4.0 microcuries/gram to 3.0 microcuries/gram.

The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment published in the **Federal Register** on July 30, 1997 (62 FR 40847). However, by letter dated April 17, 1998, the licensee withdrew the proposed change.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated May 23, 1997, and the licensee's letter dated April 17, 1998, which withdrew the application for license amendment. The above documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the University of North Carolina at Wilmington, William Madison Randall Library, 601 S. College Road, Wilmington, North Carolina 28403-3297.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of May 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **David C. Trimble**,

Project Manager, Project Directorate II-1, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 98–14391 Filed 5–29–98; 8:45 am]

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-413 and 50-414]

Duke Energy Corporation; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF–35 and NPF–52, issued to Duke Energy Corporation (the licensee), for operation of the Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, located in York County, South Carolina.

The proposed amendments would revise Surveillance Requirement Section 4.4.3.3 of the Technical Specifications. Section 4.4.3.3 currently requires that the emergency power supply for the pressurizer heaters be demonstrated OPERABLE at least once per 18 months by manually transferring power from the normal to the emergency power supply. The licensee proposed to delete the "manual" requirement because the power supply transfer at the unit was designed to be automatic. The proposed requirement is to verify that required pressurizer heaters are capable of being powered from an emergency power supply once per 18 months.

The licensee requested approval on an exigent basis pursuant to its request for enforcement discretion. The staff verbally granted the enforcement discretion on May 22, 1998, and affirmed it by a subsequent notice of enforcement discretion (NOED) letter dated May 26, 1998. The NOED stated that the enforcement discretion is in effect until the issuance of amendments to revise Section 4.4.3.3. The staff intends to issue such an amendment within 4 weeks of the NOED letter. This issuance schedule would not be accommodated by the normal 30-day notice to the public.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendments, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.91(a)(6) for amendments to be granted under exigent circumstances, the NRC staff must determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

#### **First Standard**

Implementation of this amendment would not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated. Changing the requirements of SR [surveillance requirement] 4.4.3.3 as previously described will not have any impact on accident probabilities. It merely makes the TS [Technical Specification] requirement consistent with the design of the pressurizer heaters and the normal and emergency power supply arrangement. In addition, no impact on accident consequences will occur, since the design function of the pressurizer heaters will be maintained and the heaters will be tested according to the manner in which they were designed.

#### **Second Standard**

Implementation of this amendment would not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated. Changing the requirements of SR 4.4.3.3 will make the SR consistent with the actual design of the equipment it governs. No design changes are being made to the plant and no changes are being made to the manner in which the plant is operated or tested. Therefore, no new accident causal mechanisms are created.

#### **Third Standard**

Implementation of this amendment would not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. Margin of safety is related to the confidence in the ability of the fission product barriers to perform their design functions during and following an accident situation. These barriers include the fuel cladding, the reactor coolant system, and the containment system. The performance of the fission product barriers will not be impacted by implementation of this proposed amendment. The design function of the affected pressurizer heaters and power supplies will not be affected. Therefore, no safety margin will be adversely impacted.

Based upon the preceding analysis, [Duke Energy Corporation] has concluded that the proposed amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration. The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 14 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 14-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period, such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 14-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and should cite the publication date and page number of this Federal **Register** notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D59, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By July 1, 1998, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for