from review under Executive Order 12866.

F. Executive Order 13045

Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), applies to any rule that is (1) likely to be "economically significant" as defined under Executive Order 12866, and (2) the Agency has reason to believe that the environmental health or safety risk addressed by the rule may have a disproportionate effect on children. If a regulatory action meets both criteria, the Agency must evaluate the environmental health or safety effects of the planned rule on children, and explain why the planned regulation is preferable to other potentially effective and reasonably feasible alternatives considered by the Agency.

This rule is not subject to E.O. 13045, "Protection of Children from

Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" because this is not an "economically significant" regulatory action as defined by E.O. 12866, and because it does not involve decisions on environmental health or safety risks that may disproportionately affect children.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 63

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Hazardous substances, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority: This action is issued under the authority of section 112 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 7412.

Dated: May 4, 1998.

Felicia Marcus,

Regional Administrator, Region IX.

Title 40, chapter I, part 63 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 63—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 63 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401, et seq.

Subpart E—Approval of State Programs and Delegation of Federal Authorities

2. Section 63.99 is amended by adding and reserving paragraphs (a)(6) through (a)(27), and adding paragraph (a)(28) to read as follows:

§ 63.99 Delegated Federal authorities.

(a) * * *

(6)-(27) (Reserved)

(28) Nevada.

(i) The following table lists the specific part 63 standards that have been delegated unchanged to the air pollution control agencies in the State of Nevada. The (X) symbol is used to indicate each category that has been delegated.

DELEGATION STATUS FOR PART 63 STANDARDS—NEVADA

Subpart	Description	NDEP 1	WCDHD ²	CCHD3
A	Description General Provisions	X X X	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	CCHD ³
RR	Individual Drain Systems	X X		

¹ Nevada Department of Environmental Protection.

(ii) [Reserved]

[FR Doc. 98–13986 Filed 5–26–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 180

[OPP-30114; FRL-5775-4]

Tolerance Processing Fees

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule increases fees charged for processing tolerance petitions for pesticides under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act

(FFDCA). The change in fees reflects a 2.45 percent increase in locality pay for civilian Federal General Schedule (GS) employees working in the Washington, DC/Baltimore, MD metropolitan area in 1998.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 26, 1998.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information concerning this rule: By mail: Ed Setren, Immediate Office, Resources Management Staff (7501C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Office location, telephone number and e-mail address: Rm. 101E, CM#2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA (703) 305–5927, e-mail: setren.edward@epamail.epa.gov. For further information concerning tolerance petitions and individual fees

contact: Sonya Brooks at the same address, telephone (703) 308-6428, email: brooks.sonya@epamail.epa.gov. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The EPA is charged with administration of section 408 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA). Section 408 authorizes the Agency to establish tolerance levels and exemptions from the requirements for tolerances for food commodities. Section 408(o) requires that the Agency collect fees as will, in the aggregate, be sufficient to cover the costs of processing petitions for pesticide products, i.e., that the tolerance process be as self-supporting as possible.

The current fee schedule for tolerance petitions (40 CFR 180.33) was published in the **Federal Register** on May 9, 1997 (62 FR 25524) (FRL–5714–1) and

²Washoe County District Health Department.

³ Clark County Health Department.

became effective on June 9, 1997. At that time the fees were increased 3.33 percent in accordance with a provision in the regulation that provides for automatic annual adjustments to the fees based on annual percentage changes in Federal salaries. The specific language in the regulation is contained in paragraph (o) of § 180.33 and reads in part as follows:

(o) This fee schedule will be changed annually by the same percentage as the percent change in the Federal General Schedule (GS) pay scale... When automatic adjustments are made based on the GS pay scale, the new fee schedule will be published in the **Federal Register** as a final rule to become effective thirty days or more after publication, as specified in the rule.

The Federal Employees Pay Comparability Act of 1990 (FEPCA) initiated locality-based comparability pay, known as "locality pay". The intent of the legislation is to make Federal pay more responsive to local labor market conditions by adjusting General Schedule salaries on the basis of a comparison with non-Federal rates on a geographic, locality basis.

The processing and review of tolerance petitions is conducted by EPA employees working in the Washington, DC/Baltimore, MD pay area. The pay raise in 1998 for Federal General Schedule employees working in the Washington, DC/Baltimore, MD metropolitan pay area is 2.45 percent; therefore, the tolerance petition fees are being increased 2.45 percent. The entire fee schedule, § 180.33, is presented for the reader's convenience. (All fees have been rounded to the nearest \$25.00.)

This action does not require review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under Executive Order 12866, entitled Regulatory Planning and Review (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq., or Executive Order 13045, entitled Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997). Nor does it require any action under Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA) (Pub. L. 104-4), Executive Order 12875, entitled Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership (58 FR 58093, October 28, 1993), or Executive Order 12898, entitled Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994). Since this action does not require any proposal, no action is needed under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.).

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A), as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, the Agency will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the General Accounting Office prior to publication of this rule in today's **Federal Register**. This is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: May 6, 1998.

Marcia E. Mulkey,

Director, Office of Pesticide Programs.

Therefore, 40 CFR part 180 amended as follows:

PART 180-[AMENDED]

- 1. The authority citation for Part 180 continues to read as follows: **Authority**: 21 U.S.C. 346a and 371.
- 2. Section 180.33 is revised to read as follows:

§180.33 Fees.

(a) Each petition or request for the establishment of a new tolerance or a tolerance higher than already established, shall be accompanied by a fee of \$65,600, plus \$1,650 for each raw agricultural commodity more than nine on which the establishment of a tolerance is requested, except as provided in paragraphs (b), (d), and (h) of this section.

(b) Each petition or request for the establishment of a tolerance at a lower numerical level or levels than a tolerance already established for the same pesticide chemical, or for the establishment of a tolerance on additional raw agricultural commodities at the same numerical level as a tolerance already established for the same pesticide chemical, shall be accompanied by a fee of \$15,000 plus \$1,000 for each raw agricultural commodity on which a tolerance is requested.

(c) Each petition or request for an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance or repeal of an exemption shall be accompanied by a fee of

(d) Each petition or request for a temporary tolerance or a temporary exemption from the requirement of a tolerance shall be accompanied by a fee of \$26,200 except as provided in paragraph (e) of this section. A petition or request to renew or extend such temporary tolerance or temporary exemption shall be accompanied by a fee of \$3.725.

(e) A petition or request for a temporary tolerance for a pesticide chemical which has a tolerance for other uses at the same numerical level or a higher numerical level shall be accompanied by a fee of \$13,050 plus \$1,000 for each raw agricultural commodity on which the temporary

tolerance is sought.

(f) Each petition or request for repeal of a tolerance shall be accompanied by a fee of \$8,200. Such fee is not required when, in connection with the change sought under this paragraph, a petition or request is filed for the establishment of new tolerances to take the place of those sought to be repealed and a fee is paid as required by paragraph (a) of this section

(g) If a petition or a request is not accepted for processing because it is technically incomplete, the fee, less \$1,650 for handling and initial review, shall be returned. If a petition is withdrawn by the petitioner after initial processing, but before significant Agency scientific review has begun, the fee, less \$1,650 for handling and initial review, shall be returned. If an unacceptable or withdrawn petition is resubmitted, it shall be accompanied by the fee that would be required if it were being submitted for the first time.

(h) Each petition or request for a crop group tolerance, regardless of the number of raw agricultural commodities involved, shall be accompanied by a fee equal to the fee required by the analogous category for a single tolerance that is not a crop group tolerance, i.e., paragraphs (a) through (f) of this section, without a charge for each commodity where that would otherwise apply.

where that would otherwise apply.
(i) Objections under section 408(d)(5)
of the Act shall be accompanied by a

filing fee of \$3.275.

(j)(1) In the event of a referral of a petition or proposal under this section to an advisory committee, the costs shall be borne by the person who requests the referral of the data to the advisory committee.

(2) Costs of the advisory committee shall include compensation for experts as provided in § 180.11(c) and the expenses of the secretariat, including the costs of duplicating petitions and other related material referred to the committee.

(3) An advance deposit shall be made in the amount of \$32,750 to cover the costs of the advisory committee. Further advance deposits of \$32,750 each shall be made upon request of the Administrator when necessary to

prevent arrears in the payment of such costs. Any deposits in excess of actual expenses will be refunded to the depositor.

(k) The person who files a petition for judicial review of an order under section 408(d)(5) or (e) of the Act shall pay the costs of preparing the record on which the order is based unless the person has no financial interest in the petition for judicial review.

(l) No fee under this section will be imposed on the Inter-Regional Research Project Number 4 (IR-4 Program).

(m) The Administrator may waive or refund part or all of any fee imposed by this section if the Administrator determines in his or her sole discretion that such a waiver or refund will promote the public interest or that payment of the fee would work an unreasonable hardship on the person on whom the fee is imposed. A request for waiver or refund of a fee shall be submitted in writing to the Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Pesticide Programs, Registration Division (7505C), Washington, DC 20460. A fee of \$1,650 shall accompany every request for a waiver or refund, except that the fee under this sentence shall not be imposed on any person who has no financial interest in any action requested by such person under paragraphs (a) through (k) of this section. The fee for requesting a waiver or refund shall be refunded if the request is granted.

(n) All deposits and fees required by

the regulations in this part shall be paid by money order, bank draft, or certified check drawn to the order of the Environmental Protection Agency. All deposits and fees shall be forwarded to the Environmental Protection Agency, **Headquarters Accounting Operations** Branch, Office of Pesticide Programs (Tolerance Fees), P.O. Box 360277M, Pittsburgh, PA 15251. The payments should be specifically labeled "Tolerance Petition Fees" and should be accompanied only by a copy of the letter or petition requesting the tolerance. The actual letter or petition, along with supporting data, shall be forwarded within 30 days of payment to the Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Pesticide Programs, Registration Division, (7504C) Washington, DC 20460. A petition will not be accepted for processing until the required fees have been submitted. A petition for which a waiver of fees has been requested will not be accepted for processing until the fee has been waived or, if the waiver has been denied, the proper fee is submitted after notice of denial. A request for waiver or refund

will not be accepted after scientific review has begun on a petition.

(o) This fee schedule will be changed annually by the same percentage as the percent change in the Federal General Schedule (GS) pay scale. In addition, processing costs and fees will periodically be reviewed and changes will be made to the schedule as necessary. When automatic adjustments are made based on the GS pay scale, the new fee schedule will be published in the Federal Register as a Final Rule to become effective 30 days or more after publication, as specified in the rule. When changes are made based on periodic reviews, the changes will be subject to public comment.

[FR Doc. 98-13994 Filed 5-26-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Maritime Administration

46 CFR Part 360

[Docket No. MARAD-1998-3865]

RIN 2133—AB34 (FINAL)

Transfer of Marine Equipment to Ship Operators and Shipyards; Removal of Obsolete Regulations

AGENCY: Maritime Administration, Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Maritime Administration (MARAD) is removing as obsolete its regulations relating to the transfer of marine equipment to ship operators and shipyards.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 27, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eugene Magee, Chief, Division of

Eugene Magee, Chief, Division of Reserve Fleet, Maritime Administration, 400 Seventh St, S.W., Room 2112, Tel. (202) 366–5752.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: 46 CFR part 360 prescribes regulations for the transfer by MARAD of marine equipment on board vessels in the National Defense Reserve Fleet (NDRF) to operators of U.S.-flag merchant ships and to shipyards for the construction or repair of U.S.-flag merchant ships, on the basis of replacement at the earliest possible date.

The provisions contained in 46 CFR part 360 have been in effect over 25 years, and MARAD has found that such marine equipment on board NDRF vessels, which average 42 years in age, is now commercially obsolete and cannot be used by current U.S.-flag merchant ships. However, such

equipment may be needed to support inactive ships in MARAD's Ready Reserve Force Fleet or other retention ships in the NDRF and therefore should be retained.

Accordingly, the subject transfer regulation serves no useful purpose, and MARAD is hereby removing 46 CFR Part 360 as obsolete in this final rule.

Rulemaking Analyses and Notices

Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review)

This rulemaking is not considered to be an economically significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866. Also, it is not a major rule under Pub. L. 104–121, 5 U.S.C. 804, or a significant rule under the Department's Regulatory Policies and Procedures. Accordingly, it has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

MARAD has determined that this rulemaking presents no substantive issue which it could reasonably expect to produce meaningful public comment since it is merely removing obsolete regulations. Accordingly, MARAD has determined that the notice and public comment procedure otherwise required by the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553(c), is unnecessary and good cause exists, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), to make the changes effective upon publication.

Federalism

The Maritime Administration has analyzed this rulemaking in accordance with the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12612, and it has been determined that these regulations do not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Maritime Administration certifies that this rulemaking will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. 46 CFR Part 360 is being removed as obsolete, as it currently serves no useful purpose.

Environmental Assessment

The Maritime Administration has considered the environmental impact on this rulemaking and has concluded that an environmental impact statement is not required under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rulemaking contains no reporting requirement that is subject to OMB approval under 5 CFR Part 320,