# PART 4—LABELING AND ADVERTISING OF WINE

**Paragraph 1.** The authority citation for 27 CFR Part 4 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 27 U.S.C. 205.

**Paragraph 2.** Section 4.37 is amended by revising paragraphs (a)(2), (b)(1), and (b)(2) to read as follows:

#### § 4.37 Net contents.

- (a) \* \* \*
- (2) If less than one liter, net contents shall be stated in milliliters (ml) or centiliters (cl), or both.
  - (b) \* \* \*
- (1) For the metric standards of fill: 3 liters (101 fl. oz.); 1.5 liters (50.7 fl. oz.); 1 liter (33.8 fl. oz.); 750 ml or 75 cl (25.4 fl. oz.); 500 ml or 50 cl (16.9 fl. oz.); 375 ml or 37.5 cl (12.7 fl. oz.); 187 ml or 18.7 cl (6.3 fl. oz.); 100 ml or 10 cl (3.4 fl. oz.); and 50 ml or 5 cl (1.7 fl. oz.).
- (2) Equivalent volumes of less than 100 fluid ounces shall be stated in fluid ounces only, accurate to the nearest one-tenth of a fluid ounce; for example, 700 ml or 70 cl (23.7 fl. oz.).

**Paragraph 3.** Section 4.38 is amended by revising the first sentence in paragraphs (b)(1) and (b)(2) to read as follows:

#### § 4.38 General requirements.

- (a) \* \* \*
- (b) Size of type. (1) Containers of more than 187 milliliters (18.7 centiliters).
- (2) Containers of 187 milliliters (18.7 centiliters) or less. \* \*

#### § 4.71 [Amended]

**Paragraph 4.** Section 4.71(a)(3) is amended by adding "(18.7 centiliters)" after "187 milliliters".

**Paragraph 5.** Section 4.73(a) is revised to read as follows:

#### § 4.73 Metric standards of fill.

(a) Authorized standards of fill. The standards of fill for wine are the following:

3 liters

1.5 liters

1 liter

750 milliliters (or

75 centiliters)

500 milliliters (or 50 centiliters)

375 milliliters (or 37.5 centiliters)

187 milliliters (or

18.7 centiliters)

100 milliliters (or 10

centiliters)

50 milliliters (or 5

centiliters)

\* \* \* \* \* \* Signed: March 17, 1998.

John W. Magaw,

Director.

Approved: April 20, 1998.

#### John P. Simpso,

Deputy Assistant Secretary (Regulatory, Tariff and Trade Enforcement).

[FR Doc. 98–13017 Filed 5–14–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4810–31–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Coast Guard**

33 CFR Part 165

[CGD11-98-003]

RIN 2115-AA97

#### Security Zone; San Diego Bay

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard proposes to modify and expand the geographical boundaries of the permanent security zone codified at 33 CFR 165.1105 as follows: on the waters along the northern shoreline of Naval Air Station North Island, the area enclosed by the following points: Beginning at a point located at 32°42′53.0″ N, 117°11′45.0″ W, thence running northerly to a point located at 32°42′55.5" N, 117°11′45.0" W, thence running easterly to a point located at 32°42′55.0" N, 117°11′30.5" W, thence running southeasterly to a point located at 32°42′50.5" N, 117°11′26.0″ W, thence running northeasterly to a point located at 32°42′52.0″ N, 117°11′24.5″ W, thence running southeasterly to a point located at 32°42′43.5" N, 117°11′13.0" W thence running southerly to a point located at 32°42′30.5″ N, 117°11′18.0″ W, thence running southeasterly to a point located at 32°42′21.0″ N, 117°10'48.0" W, thence running southerly to a point located at 32°42′13.0" N, 117°10′51.0" W, thence running generally northwesterly along the shoreline of Naval Air Station North Island to the place of beginning. The perimeter of the security zone will continue to be marked and patrolled by United States Navy security patrol

There were previously only two aircraft carriers homeported at Naval Air Station North Island; however, a third aircraft carrier has recently been designated to homeport at Naval Air Station North Island. The modification and expansion of this security zone is needed to accommodate the

homeporting of this third aircraft carrier at Naval Air Station North Island. Entry into, transit through, or anchoring within this security zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before July 14, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to LT Michael A. Arguelles, Coast Guard Marine Safety Office, 2716 North Harbor Drive, San Diego, CA, 92101–1064, (619) 683–6484. The comments and other materials referenced in this notice will be available for inspection and copying at the above address. Normal office hours are between 7 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except federal holidays. Comments may also be hand-delivered to this address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lieutenant Mike Arguelles, USCG, c/o U.S. Coast Guard Captain of the Port, 2716 N. Harbor Drive, San Diego, CA 92101–1064, (619) 683–6484.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Request for Comments**

Interested persons are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting written views, data, or any other materials to the address listed under ADDRESSES in this preamble. Persons submitting comments should include their names and addresses, identify the docket number for this rulemaking (CGD11-98-003), the specific section of the proposal to which their comments apply, and give reasons for each comment. The Coast Guard requests that all comments and attachments be submitted in an unbound format suitable for copying and electronic filing. If not practical, a second copy of any bound materials is requested. Persons wanting acknowledgment of receipt of comments should enclose a stamped, selfaddressed postcard or envelope. The Coast Guard will consider all comments received during the comment period and may change this proposal in view of the comments.

No public hearing is planned, but one may be held if written requests for a hearing are received and it is determined that the opportunity to make oral presentations will aid in the rulemaking process. Persons may request a public hearing by writing to the address listed above in ADDRESSES. The request should include reasons why a hearing would be beneficial. If it determines that the opportunity for oral presentations will aid this rulemaking, the Coast Guard will hold a public hearing at a time and place announced by a later notice in the Federal Register.

#### **Background and Purpose**

This modification of 33 CFR 165.1105 is being proposed to accommodate the homeporting of a new aircraft carrier at Naval Air Station North Island. There were previously only two aircraft carriers homeported at Naval Air Station North Island; however, a third aircraft carrier has recently been designated to homeport at Naval Air Station North Island. The modification and expansion of this security zone is needed to accommodate the homeporting of this third aircraft carrier at Naval Air Station North Island.

The modification and expansion of this security zone will prevent recreational and commercial craft from interfering with military operations involving all naval vessels homeported at Naval Air Station North Island, and it will protect transiting recreational and commercial vessels, and their respective crews, from the navigational hazards posed by such military operations. Entry into, transit through, or anchoring within this security zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port.

#### Regulatory Evaluation

This regulation is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. It has been exempted from review by the Office of Management and Budget under that Order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040, February 26, 1979). The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this proposal to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10(e) of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary. This proposal will have minimal additional impact on vessel traffic because it is only a slight modification and expansion of the existing security zone codified at 33 CFR 165.1105.

#### **Small Entities**

Under 5 U.S.C. 601 et seq., the Coast Guard must consider whether this proposal would have significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. "Small entities" include independently owned and operated small businesses that are not dominant in their field and that otherwise qualify as "small business concerns" under section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632). The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of the proposal to be minimal on all entities. Because it

expects the impact of this proposal to be minimal, the Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposal, if adopted, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

#### **Collection of Information**

This proposed regulation contains no collection of information requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

#### **Federalism**

The Coast Guard has analyzed this proposed regulation under the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12612 and has determined that this regulation does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

#### **Environmental Assessment**

The Coast Guard has considered the environmental impact of this regulation and concluded that, under Figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g), of Commandant Instruction M16475.1C, it will have no significant environmental impact and it is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A Categorical Exclusion Determination and Environmental Analysis Checklist will be available for inspection and copying in the docket to be maintained at the address listed in ADDRESSES.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

## **Proposed Regulation**

In consideration of the foregoing, the Coast Guard proposes to amend subpart F of part 165 of Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, as follows:

1. The authority citation for 33 CFR part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g) 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46.

2. In § 165.1105 paragraph (a), is revised to read as follows:

## § 165.1105 Security Zone: San Diego Bay, CA.

(a) Location. The following area is a security zone: on the waters along the northern shoreline of Naval Air Station North Island, the area enclosed by the following points: beginning at a point located at 32°42′53.0″N, 117°11′45.0″W; thence running northerly to a point located at 32°42′55.5″N, 117°11′45.0″W; thence running easterly to a point located at 32°42′55.0″N; 117°11′30.5″W;

thence running southeasterly to a point located at 32°42′50.5″N, 117°11′26.0″W; thence running northeasterly to a point located at 32°42′52.0″N; 117°11′24.5″W; thence running southeasterly to a point located at 32°42′43.5″N: 117°11′13.0″W: thence running southerly to a point located at 32°42′30.5″N; 117°11′18.0″W; thence running southeasterly to a point located at 32°42′21.0″N; 117°10′48.0″W; thence running southerly to a point located at 32°42′13.0″N; 117°10′51.0″W; thence running generally northwesterly along the shoreline of naval Air Station North Island to the place of beginning. \*

Dated: April 20, 1998.

#### J. C. Card,

Vice Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Eleventh Coast Guard District. [FR Doc. 98–13016 Filed 5–14–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-M

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Parts 141 and 142

[FRL-6014-2]

#### National Primary Drinking Water Regulations: Consumer Confidence Reports

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of data availability; request for comments.

SUMMARY: In February 1998, EPA proposed the Consumer Confidence Report rule (62 FR 7606, Feb. 13, 1998). To test certain language that this rule would mandate, EPA convened focus group sessions. These groups of consumers provided comments on proposed definitions of regulatory terms and health effects language, as well as general comments on the consumer confidence reports. EPA will consider the input of the focus groups when making decisions regarding the final rule. The report of the focus group moderator, transcripts of the sessions, and supporting documents are available for review. EPA requests comments on the results of the focus group study. **DATES:** Comments must be post-marked

**DATES:** Comments must be post-marked by midnight June 15, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to CCR Docket Clerk (W–97–18); Water Docket (MC–4101); U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; 401 M Street, SW; Washington, DC 20460. Submit electronic comments to owdocket@epamail.epa.gov.

Please submit an original and three copies of your comments and enclosures