- (2) S/N of engines found with arc burns and approximate size of the arc burn.
- (3) S/N of engines repaired in accordance with paragraph (a) of this AD.
- (4) Hours and CIS since last shop visit and total hours and CIS of disks inspected in accordance with paragraph (a) of this AD.
- (5) Report to the Manager of the Engine Certification Office, within two business days of finding one of the following conditions as a result of inspecting a disk in accordance with paragraph (a) of this AD:
  - (i) A crack depth of more than 5 mils. (ii) More than 2 tie rod holes with cracks. (iii) Arc burn depth beyond 9 mils.
- (e) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Engine Certification Office. Operators shall submit their request through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Engine Certification Office.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this airworthiness directive, if any, may be obtained from the Engine Certification Office.

(f) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the aircraft to a location where the inspection requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on May 7, 1998.

## Thomas A. Boudreau,

Acting Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 98-12918 Filed 5-14-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-SW-61-AD]

Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell **Douglas Helicopter Systems Model** 369D, 369E, 369FF, 369H, MD500N, and **MD600N Helicopters** 

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking

(NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to McDonnell Douglas Helicopter Systems (MDHS) Model 369D, 369E, 369FF, 369H, MD500N, and MD600N helicopters. This proposal would require a one-time visual inspection of certain input shaft coupling assemblies for pitting. This proposal is prompted by three operators' reports of

discovering pitting on the internal spline teeth. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent failure of the spline teeth in the input shaft coupling assembly, loss of drive to the main rotor system, and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter.

DATES: Comments must be received by July 14, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97-SW-61-AD, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas 76137. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Bruce Conze, Aerospace Engineer, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Blvd., Lakewood, California, 90712, telephone (562) 627– 5261, fax (562) 627-5210.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. 97-SW-61-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

# **Availability of NPRMs**

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97-SW-61-AD, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas 76137.

### Discussion

This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to MDHS Model 369D, 369E, 369FF, 369H, MD500N, and MD600N helicopters. This proposal would require a one-time visual inspection of certain input shaft coupling assemblies for pitting below the solid film lubricant layer in the spline area. This proposal is prompted by three operators' reports of discovering pitting on the internal spline teeth. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent failure of the spline teeth in the input shaft coupling assembly, loss of drive to the main rotor system, and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter.

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other MDHS Model 369D, 369E, 369FF, 369H, MD500N, and MD600N helicopters of the same type design, the proposed AD would require a one-time visual inspection of affected input shaft coupling assemblies for pitting below the solid film lubricant layer in the spline area.

The FAA estimates that 82 helicopters of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 3 work hours per helicopter to accomplish the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Required parts would cost approximately \$638 per coupling assembly. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$67,076 if the coupling assembly is replaced in all 82 helicopters.

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action"

under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

## § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive to read as follows:

## McDonnell Douglas Helicopter Systems: Docket No. 97–SW–61–AD.

Applicability: Model 369D, 369E, 369FF, 369H, MD500N, and MD600N helicopters, with input shaft coupling assemblies, part number (P/N) 369F5133-1, serial number (S/N) 030829-0126 through 030829-0207, installed on main transmission, P/N 369F5100-503, and on overrunning clutch, P/N 369F5450, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each helicopter identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For helicopters that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must use the authority provided in paragraph (c) to request approval from the FAA. This approval may address either no action, if the current configuration eliminates the unsafe condition, or different actions necessary to address the unsafe condition described in this AD. Such a request should include an assessment of the effect of the changed configuration on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD. In no case does the presence of any modification, alteration, or repair remove any helicopter from the applicability of this AD.

*Compliance:* Required within 100 hours time-in-service after the effective date of this AD, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of the spline teeth in each input shaft coupling assembly (coupling assembly), loss of drive to the main rotor system, and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter, accomplish the following:

(a) Visually inspect the coupling assemblies, P/N 369F5133–1, installed on main transmission, P/N 369F5100–503, and on overrunning clutch, P/N 369F5450, for pitting under the solid film lubricant in the spline area of the coupling.

(b) If there is pitting in the splines, replace the coupling assembly with an airworthy coupling assembly, P/N 369F5133–1, that has been inspected as required by paragraph (a) of this AD.

**Note 2:** Boeing Service Bulletin SB369H–240, SB369E–085, SB500N–013, SB369D–192, SB369F–072, SB600N–003, dated September 26, 1997, pertains to this AD.

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may concur or comment and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office.

**Note 3:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the helicopter to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on May 7, 1998

### Eric Bries.

Acting Manager, Rotorcraft Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 98–12936 Filed 5–14–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

## 14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 98-ANM-05]

# Proposed Establishment of Class E Airspace, Moses Lake, WA

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This proposal would establish a Class E surface area at Grant County Airport, Moses Lake, WA. The intended effect of this action is to provide controlled airspace between the surface

and the en route phase of flight when the air traffic control tower is closed. **DATES:** Comments must be received on or before June 29, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on the proposal in triplicate to: Manager, Airspace Branch, ANM–520, Federal Aviation Administration, Docket No. 98–ANM–05, 1601 Lind Avenue SW, Renton, Washington 98055–4056.

The official docket may be examined in the office of the Assistant Chief Counsel for the Northwest Mountain Region at the same address.

Ān informal docket may also be examined during normal business hours in the office of the Manager, Air Traffic Division, Airspace Branch, at the address listed above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dennis Ripley, ANM–520.6, Federal Aviation Administration, Docket No. 98–ANM–05, 1601 Lind Avenue SW, Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone number: (425) 227–2527.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Comments Invited**

Interested parties are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy related aspects of the proposal. Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address listed above. Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments on this notice must submit, with those comments, a self-addressed stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Airspace Docket No. 98-ANM-05." The postcard will be date/ time stamped and returned to the commenter. All communications received on or before the specified closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available for examination at the address listed above, both before and after the closing date, for comments. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerned with this rulemaking will be filed in the docket.