

bearings and parts thereof (SPBs). Subsequently, two domestic producers, the Torrington Company and Federal-Mogul, and a number of other interested parties, filed lawsuits with the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT) challenging the final results. These lawsuits were litigated at the CIT and the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC). On February 23, 1998, as a result of a final court decision, we issued amended final results for all firms whose dumping margins had changed as a result of litigation except for NSK. See *Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof From France, et al.; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews* (63 FR 8908). At that time our determination of NSK's dumping margins was still subject to outstanding litigation.

On March 27, 1998, the CIT affirmed the Department's remand results for *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, NSK Ltd. And NSK Corporation v. United States*, Slip Op. 97-122 (CIT August 28, 1997), and dismissed this case. *NSK Ltd. and NSK Corp. v. United States*, Slip Op. 98-37 (CIT March 27, 1998). As a result of this and other litigation cited in our February 23, 1998, amended final results notice, the CIT (in some cases based on decisions by the CAFC) ordered the Department to make methodological changes and to recalculate the dumping margins for NSK. Specifically, the CIT ordered the Department, *inter alia*: (1) To change its methodology to account for value-added taxes with respect to the comparison of U.S. and home market prices; (2) not to deduct pre-sale inland freight incurred in the home market if the Department determined that there was no statutory authority to make such a deduction; (3) to develop a methodology which removes post-sale price adjustments and rebates paid on out-of-scope merchandise from any adjustment made to foreign market value or to deny such an adjustment if a viable method could not be found; (4) remove zero-priced United States sample sales from our antidumping calculations; and (5) to correct certain clerical errors.

As there is now a final and conclusive court decision with respect to NSK, we are amending our final results of review for this firm and we will subsequently instruct the U.S. Customs Service to liquidate NSK's entries subject to these reviews.

#### Amendment to Final Results

Pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act, we are now amending the

final results of administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on antifriction bearings (other than tapered roller bearings) and parts thereof from Japan for the period May 1, 1990, through April 30, 1991, with respect to NSK. The revised weighted-average percentage margins are as follows:

Company	BBs	CRBs	SPBs
NSK .....	4.63	12.47	( <sup>1</sup> )

<sup>1</sup> AA(1) No U.S. sales during the review period.

Accordingly, the Department will determine and the U.S. Customs Service will assess appropriate antidumping duties on entries of the subject merchandise made by NSK. Individual differences between United States price and foreign market value may vary from the percentages listed above. The Department will issue appraisal instructions to the U.S. Customs Service after publication of these amended final results of reviews.

This notice is published pursuant to section 751(a) of the Tariff Act.

Dated: May 7, 1998.

**Robert S. LaRussa,**  
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98-12884 Filed 5-13-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-549-813]

#### Notice of Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Canned Pineapple Fruit From Thailand; Correction

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Correction.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice corrects the case number previously published in the **Federal Register** on April 9, 1998 (Notice of Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 63 FR 17357). On page 17357, we used the incorrect case number to reference this case. The correct case number is "A-549-813."

Dated: May 7, 1998.

**Richard W. Moreland,**  
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98-12760 Filed 5-13-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-201-601]

#### Certain Fresh Cut Flowers From Mexico; Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order in Part

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order in Part.

**SUMMARY:** On January 9, 1998, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain fresh cut flowers from Mexico and intent to revoke in part with respect to respondent Rancho del Pacifico (Pacifico). This review covers one producer/exporter, Pacifico, and the period April 1, 1996 through March 31, 1997.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results; however, we received no comments from interested parties. We have not changed the results from those presented in the preliminary results of review. We have also determined to revoke the order in part, with respect to Pacifico.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 14, 1998.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Elfi Blum or Maureen Flannery, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0197 or (202) 482-3020, respectively.

#### Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations as codified at 19 CFR Part 353 (1996).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On January 9, 1998, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 1428) the preliminary results of the administrative review of the

antidumping duty order on certain fresh cut flowers from Mexico, 52 FR 13491 (April 23, 1987), wherein we gave notice of our intent to revoke the order with respect to Pacifico's sales of the subject merchandise. We did not receive any comments from interested parties.

#### Scope of the Review

The products covered by this review are certain fresh cut flowers, defined as standard carnations, standard

chrysanthemums, and pompon chrysanthemums (pompons). During the period of review (POR), such merchandise was classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) items 0603.10.7010 (pompons), 0603.10.7020 (standard chrysanthemums), and 0603.10.7030 (standard carnations). The HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes

only. The written description remains dispositive as to the scope of the order.

This review covers one manufacturer/exporter of fresh cut flowers from Mexico, Pacifico, and the period April 1, 1996 through March 31, 1997.

#### Final Results of Review and Revocation of the Order in Part

We determine that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists:

Manufacturer/exporter	Time period	Margin (percent)
Rancho del Pacifico .....	04/01/96–03/31/97	0.00

The Department shall determine, and the U.S. Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to the U.S. Customs Service.

We further determine that Pacifico sold fresh cut flowers at not less than NV for three consecutive review periods, including this review period, and it is not likely that Pacifico will in the future sell subject merchandise at less than NV. Additionally, Pacifico has submitted the required certifications, and has agreed to its immediate reinstatement in the antidumping duty order, as long as any firm is subject to the order, if the Department concludes under 19 CFR 353.22(f) that, subsequent to revocation, it sold the subject merchandise at less than NV. Furthermore, we received no comments from any interested party contesting the revocation. For these reasons, we are revoking the order on certain fresh cut flowers from Mexico with respect to Pacifico in accordance with section 751(d) of the Act and 19 CFR 353.25(a)(2).

This revocation applies to all entries of the subject merchandise from Pacifico entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after April 1, 1997. The Department will order the suspension of liquidation ended for all such entries and will instruct the Customs Service to release any cash deposit or bonds. The Department will further instruct the Customs Service to refund with interest any cash deposits on entries made on or after April 1, 1997.

The following deposit rates will be effective upon publication of these final results of administrative review for all shipments of certain fresh cut flowers from Mexico entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751 (a)(2)(C) of the Act:

(1) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (2) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (3) for all other producers and/or exporters of this merchandise, the cash deposit rate shall be the rate established in the investigation of sales at less than fair value, which is 18.20 percent. See 52 FR 6361 (March 3, 1987). These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.25(b) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d)(1). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review, revocation in part, and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the

Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22 and 353.25.

Dated: May 5, 1998.

**Robert S. LaRussa,**  
Assistant Secretary for Import  
Administration.

[FR Doc. 98-12885 Filed 5-13-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### Transition Orders; Final Schedule and Grouping of Five-Year Reviews

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

**ACTION:** Notice of final schedule and grouping of five-year reviews of transition orders.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce ("the Department") hereby publishes its final schedule for the conduct of the initial five-year reviews of transition orders and the International Trade Commission's ("the Commission") final grouping of reviews.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Melissa G. Skinner, Office of Policy, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, at (202) 482-1560, or Vera Libeau, Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, at (202) 205-3176.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On October 9, 1997, the Department published its proposed schedule for the conduct of the initial five-year reviews of transition orders and the Commission's proposal for grouping reviews (Transition Orders; Schedule and Grouping of Five-year Reviews, 62 FR 52686), as amended on November